



- [Table of Contents](#)
- [Index](#)
- [Reviews](#)
- [Examples](#)
- [Reader Reviews](#)
- [Errata](#)
- [Academic](#)

Java 1.5 Tiger: A Developer's Notebook

By [David Flanagan](#), [Brett McLaughlin](#)

Publisher: O'Reilly

Pub Date: June 2004

ISBN: 0-596-00738-8

Pages: 200

This no-nonsense, guide by bestselling Java authors Brett McLaughlin and David Flanagan jumps right into Tiger. Using the task-oriented format of this new series, you'll get complete practical coverage of generics, boxing and unboxing, varargs, enums, annotations, formatting, the for/in loop, concurrency, and more.



- [Table of Contents](#)
- [Index](#)
- [Reviews](#)
- [Examples](#)
- [Reader Reviews](#)
- [Errata](#)
- [Academic](#)

Java 1.5 Tiger: A Developer's Notebook

By [David Flanagan](#), [Brett McLaughlin](#)

Publisher: O'Reilly

Pub Date: June 2004

ISBN: 0-596-00738-8

Pages: 200

[Copyright](#)

[The Developer's Notebook Series](#)

[Notebooks Are...](#)

[Notebooks Aren't...](#)

[Organization](#)

[Preface](#)

[Organization](#)

[How This Book Was Written](#)

[About the Examples](#)

[Conventions Used in This Book](#)

[How to Contact Us](#)

[Acknowledgments from Brett](#)

[Acknowledgments from David](#)

[Chapter 1. What's New?](#)

[Section 1.1. Working with Arrays](#)

[Section 1.2. Using Queues](#)

[Section 1.3. Ordering Queues Using Comparators](#)

[Section 1.4. Overriding Return Types](#)

[Section 1.5. Taking Advantage of Better Unicode](#)

[Section 1.6. Adding StringBuilder to the Mix](#)

[Chapter 2. Generics](#)

[Section 2.1. Using Type-Safe Lists](#)

[Section 2.2. Using Type-Safe Maps](#)

[Section 2.3. Iterating Over Parameterized Types](#)

[Section 2.4. Accepting Parameterized Types as Arguments](#)

[Section 2.5. Returning Parameterized Types](#)

[Section 2.6. Using Parameterized Types as Type Parameters](#)

[Section 2.7. Checking for Lint](#)

[Section 2.8. Generics and Type Conversions](#)

[Section 2.9. Using Type Wildcards](#)

[Section 2.10. Writing Generic Types](#)

[Section 2.11. Restricting Type Parameters](#)

[Chapter 3. Enumerated Types](#)

[Section 3.1. Creating an Enum](#)

[Section 3.2. Declaring Enums Inline](#)

[Section 3.3. Iterating Over Enums](#)

[Section 3.4. Switching on Enums](#)

[Section 3.5. Maps of Enums](#)

[Section 3.6. Sets of Enums](#)

[Section 3.7. Adding Methods to an Enum](#)

[Section 3.8. Implementing Interfaces with Enums](#)

[Section 3.9. Value-Specific Class Bodies](#)

[Section 3.10. Manually Defining an Enum](#)

[Section 3.11. Extending an Enum](#)

[Chapter 4. Autoboxing and Unboxing](#)

[Section 4.1. Converting Primitives to Wrapper Types](#)

[Section 4.2. Converting Wrapper Types to Primitives](#)

[Section 4.3. Incrementing and Decrementing Wrapper Types](#)

[Section 4.4. Boolean Versus boolean](#)

[Section 4.5. Conditionals and Unboxing](#)

[Section 4.6. Control Statements and Unboxing](#)

[Section 4.7. Method Overload Resolution](#)

[Chapter 5. varargs](#)

[Section 5.1. Creating a Variable-Length Argument List](#)

[Section 5.2. Iterating Over Variable-Length Argument Lists](#)

[Section 5.3. Allowing Zero-Length Argument Lists](#)

[Section 5.4. Specify Object Arguments Over Primitives](#)

[Section 5.5. Avoiding Automatic Array Conversion](#)

[Chapter 6. Annotations](#)

[Section 6.1. Using Standard Annotation Types](#)

[Section 6.2. Annotating an Overriding Method](#)

[Section 6.3. Annotating a Deprecated Method](#)

[Section 6.4. Suppressing Warnings](#)

[Section 6.5. Creating Custom Annotation Types](#)

[Section 6.6. Annotating Annotations](#)

[Section 6.7. Defining an Annotation Type's Target](#)

[Section 6.8. Setting the Retention of an Annotation Type](#)

[Section 6.9. Documenting Annotation Types](#)

[Section 6.10. Setting Up Inheritance in Annotations](#)

[Section 6.11. Reflecting on Annotations](#)

[Chapter 7. The for/in Statement](#)

[Section 7.1. Ditching Iterators](#)

[Section 7.2. Iterating over Arrays](#)

[Section 7.3. Iterating over Collections](#)

[Section 7.4. Avoiding Unnecessary Typecasts](#)

[Section 7.5. Making Your Classes Work with for/in](#)

[Section 7.6. Determining List Position and Variable Value](#)

[Section 7.7. Removing List Items in a for/in Loop](#)

[Chapter 8. Static Imports](#)

[Section 8.1. Importing Static Members](#)

[Section 8.2. Using Wildcards in Static Imports](#)

[Section 8.3. Importing Enumerated Type Values](#)

[Section 8.4. Importing Multiple Members with the Same Name](#)

[Section 8.5. Shadowing Static Imports](#)

[Chapter 9. Formatting](#)

[Section 9.1. Creating a Formatter](#)

[Section 9.2. Writing Formatted Output](#)

[Section 9.3. Using the format\(\) Convenience Method](#)

[Section 9.4. Using the printf\(\) Convenience Method](#)

[Chapter 10. Threading](#)

[Section 10.1. Handling Uncaught Exceptions in Threads](#)

[Section 10.2. Using Thread-Safe Collections](#)

[Section 10.3. Using Blocking Queues](#)

[Section 10.4. Specifying Timeouts for Blocking](#)

[Section 10.5. Separating Thread Logic from Execution Logic](#)

[Section 10.6. Using Executor as a Service](#)

[Section 10.7. Using Callable Objects](#)

[Section 10.8. Executing Tasks Without an ExecutorService](#)

[Section 10.9. Scheduling Tasks](#)

[Section 10.10. Advanced Synchronizing](#)

[Section 10.11. Using Atomic Types](#)

[Section 10.12. Locking Versus Synchronization](#)

[Colophon](#)

[Index](#)

Java 1.5 Tiger: A Developer's Notebook™
by Brett McLaughlin and David Flanagan

Copyright © 2004 O'Reilly Media, Inc. All rights reserved.
Printed in the United States of America.

Published by O'Reilly Media, Inc., 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

O'Reilly books may be purchased for educational, business, or sales promotional use. Online editions are also available for most titles (safari.oreilly.com). For more information, contact our corporate/institutional sales department: (800) 998-9938 or corporate@oreilly.com.

Editor: Brett McLaughlin

Production Editor: Reg Aubry

Cover Designer: Edie Freedman

Interior Designer: Melanie Wang

Printing History:
June 2004: First Edition.

Nutshell Handbook, the Nutshell Handbook logo, and the O'Reilly logo are registered trademarks of O'Reilly Media, Inc. The *Developer's Notebook* series designations, *Java 1.5 Tiger: A Developer's Notebook*, the look of a laboratory notebook, and related trade dress are trademarks of O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Java™ and all Java-based trademarks and logos are trademarks or registered trademarks of Sun Microsystems, Inc., in the United States and other countries. Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and O'Reilly Media, Inc. was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in caps or initial caps.

While every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this book, the publisher and authors assume no responsibility for errors or omissions, or for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein.

ISBN: 0-596-00738-8
[M]

The Developer's Notebook Series

So, you've managed to pick this book up. Cool. Really, I'm excited about that! Of course, you may be wondering why these books have the oddlooking, college notebook sort of cover. I mean, this is O'Reilly, right? Where are the animals? And, really, do you *need* another series? Couldn't this just be a cookbook? How about a nutshell, or one of those cool hacks books that seems to be everywhere? The short answer is that a developer's notebook is none of those things-in fact, it's such an important idea that we came up with an entirely new look and feel, complete with cover, fonts, and even some notes in the margin. This is all a result of trying to get something into your hands you can actually use.

It's my strong belief that while the nineties were characterized by everyone wanting to learn everything (Why not? We all had six-figure incomes from dot-com companies), the new millennium is about information pain. People don't have time (or the income) to read through 600 page books, often learning 200 things, of which only about 4 apply to their current job. It would be much nicer to just sit near one of the ubercoders and look over his shoulder, wouldn't it? To ask the guys that are neck-deep in this stuff why they chose a particular method, how they performed this one tricky task, or how they avoided that threading issue when working with piped streams. The thinking has always been that books can't serve that particular need-they can inform, and let you decide, but ultimately a coder's mind was something that couldn't really be captured on a piece of paper.

This series says that assumption is patently wrong-and we aim to prove it.

A Developer's Notebook is just what it claims to be: the often-frantic scribbling and notes that a true-blue alpha geek mentally makes when working with a new language, API, or project. It's the no-nonsense code that solves problems, stripped of page-filling commentary that often serves more as a paperweight than an epiphany. It's hackery, focused not on what is nifty or might be fun to do when you've got some free time (when's the last time that happened?), but on what you need to simply "make it work." This isn't a lecture, folks-it's a lab. If you want a lot of concept, architecture, and UML diagrams, I'll happily and proudly point you to our animal and nutshell books. If you want every answer to every problem under the sun, our omnibus cookbooks are killer. And if you are into arcane and often quirky uses of technology, hacks books simply rock. But if you're a coder, down to your core, and you just want to get on with it, then you want a Developer's Notebook. Coffee stains and all, this is from the mind of a developer to yours, barely even cleaned up enough for print. I hope you enjoy it...we sure had a good time writing them.

Notebooks Are...

Example-driven guides

As you'll see in [Organization](#) section, developer's notebooks are built entirely around example code. You'll see code on nearly every page, and it's code that *does something*-not trivial "Hello World!" programs that aren't worth more than the paper they're printed on.

Aimed at developers

Ever read a book that seems to be aimed at pointy-haired bosses, filled with buzzwords, and feels more like a marketing manifesto than a programming text? We have too-and these books are the antithesis of that. In fact, a good notebook is incomprehensible to someone who can't program (don't say we didn't warn you!), and that's just the way it's supposed to be. But for developers...it's as good as it gets.

Actually enjoyable to work through

Do you really have time to sit around reading something that isn't any fun? If you do, then maybe you're into thousand-page language references-but if you're like the rest of us, notebooks are a much better fit. Practical code samples, terse dialogue centered around practical examples, and even some humor here and there-these are the ingredients of a good developer's notebook.

About doing, not talking about doing

If you want to read a book late at night without a computer nearby, these books might not be that useful. The intent is that you're coding as you go along, knee deep in bytecode. For that reason, notebooks talk code, code, code. Fire up your editor before digging in.

Notebooks Aren't...

Lectures

We don't let just anyone write a developer's notebook—you've got to be a bona fide programmer, and preferably one who stays up a little too late coding. While full-time writers, academics, and theorists are great in some areas, these books are about programming in the trenches, and are filled with instruction, not lecture.

Filled with conceptual drawings and class hierarchies

This isn't a nutshell (there, we said it). You won't find 100-page indices with every method listed, and you won't see full-page UML diagrams with methods, inheritance trees, and flow charts. What you will find is page after page of source code. Are you starting to sense a recurring theme?

Long on explanation, light on application

It seems that many programming books these days have three, four, or more chapters before you even see any working code. I'm not sure who has authors convinced that it's good to keep a reader waiting this long, but it's not anybody working on *this* series. We believe that if you're not coding within ten pages, something's wrong. These books are also chock-full of practical application, taking you from an example in a book to putting things to work on your job, as quickly as possible.

Organization

Developer's Notebooks try to communicate different information than most books, and as a result, are organized differently. They do indeed have chapters, but that's about as far as the similarity between a notebook and a traditional programming book goes. First, you'll find that all the headings in each chapter are organized around a specific task. You'll note that we said *task*, not *concept*. That's one of the important things to get about these books-they are first and foremost about doing something. Each of these headings represents a single *lab*. A lab is just what it sounds like-steps to accomplish a specific goal. In fact, that's the first heading you'll see under each lab: "How do I do that?" This is the central question of each lab, and you'll find lots of down-and-dirty code and detail in these sections.

Some labs have some things not to do (ever played around with potassium in high school chemistry?), helping you avoid common pitfalls. Some labs give you a good reason for caring about the topic in the first place; we call this the "Why do I care?" section, for obvious reasons. For those times when code samples don't clearly communicate what's going on, you'll find a "What just happened" section. It's in these sections that you'll find concepts and theory-but even then, they are tightly focused on the task at hand, not explanation for the sake of page count. Finally, many labs offer alternatives, and address common questions about different approaches to similar problems. These are the "What about..." sections, which will help give each task some context within the programming big picture.

And one last thing-on many pages, you'll find notes scrawled in the margins of the page. These aren't for decoration; they contain tips, tricks, insights from the developers of a product, and sometimes even a little humor, just to keep you going. These notes represent part of the overall communication flow-getting you as close to reading the mind of the developer-author as we can. Hopefully they'll get you that much closer to feeling like you are indeed learning from a master.

And most of all, remember-these books are...

All Lab, No Lecture

-Brett McLaughlin, Series Creator

Preface

Professional Java
Enterprise Java
Commercial Java

These are all terms that are commonplace in programming discussions these days-and for good reason. Gone are the days when Java was considered a toy language for creating web games, futilely trying to catch up to its "big brothers," C and C++. While AWT and Swing (and now SWT) are important parts of the Java language, Java has also evolved to take on more far-ranging tasks-database interaction, financial management, e-commerce, and more. Its speed is comparable to C, and its APIs are farreaching. As a result, the core language has undergone significant stabilization, and Java 1.3, and then 1.4, were largely steps towards maturing the platform, rather than radically changing it.

Enter Java 1.5-code-named Tiger. Actually, it's Java 5, version 1.5. Well, it's the J2SE, which I suppose makes it Java 2, Standard Edition, 5, version 1.5. Confusing enough for you? Thankfully, whatever the thing is called, the additions are worthy of all the hubbub; this isn't your father's Java (or to be more accurate, it's not your slightly older brother's Java) anymore.

Looking more like a completely new product than just a revision of an older language, Tiger is chock-full of dramatic changes to what you know as simply Java. You can't just read through the release notes and figure this one out; and since the new features are a lot more important than all the oddities about its versioning, I'll just call it Tiger throughout the book, and sidestep Java 2 version 5...er...version 1.5...well...as I said, Tiger.

Whatever Tiger ends up being called officially, it introduces so many new features to the language that it took nearly 200 pages to cover them- and you'll find that each page of this book is dense with code, example, and terse explanation. There isn't any wasted space. In fact, that's precisely what you're holding in your hands-a concise crash course in the next evolution of Java, Tiger. By the time you're through, you'll be typing your lists, taking your overloading to an entirely new level, writing compile-time checked annotations, and threading more efficiently than ever. And that doesn't take into account how much fun it is to type all sorts of new characters into your source code. You haven't lived until @, <, >, and % are strewn throughout your editor...well, maybe that's just me wanting to have a little more fun at the workplace. Whatever your reason for getting into Tiger, though, you'll find more tools at your disposal than ever before, and far more change in any version of Java since its initial 1.0 release. Fire up your code editor, buckle your seat belts, and get ready to hit the ground running.

Let's tame the Tiger.

Organization

This book is set up to be something of a cross between a learning exercise (where you would read from front to back), and a cookbook (where you can skip around without concern). For the most part, you can feel free to look through the table of contents or index, and find what you're looking for. However, as many of the subjects in this book are interrelated (such as generics, the `for/in` statement, and autoboxing), you may find yourself reading an article that assumes knowledge from a previous section of the book. In these cases, just browse the referenced chapter, and you should be all set. A little extra learning is a good thing anyway, right?

How This Book Was Written

This book is the result of an unusual, but fruitful collaboration between David Flanagan and Brett McLaughlin. David was at work on the fifth edition of *Java in a Nutshell*, but was eager to get coverage of the major language changes in Tiger out sooner than the production schedule for that book allowed. Brett, meanwhile, was the driving editorial force behind this innovative new series of *Developer's Notebooks*, and was eager to include a title on Tiger in the series.

The process went like this:

- David researched the new features of Tiger and wrote about them for *Java in a Nutshell*. He sent drafts of his new material to Brett.
- Brett feverishly ripped those chapters apart, rewrote almost everything, added new examples, and reassembled everything into the *Developer's Notebook* format.

The result is a book almost entirely written by Brett, based on research done by David. The tone of the writing and the engaging style of the book is Brett's, and readers of this book and *Java in a Nutshell* will be hard-pressed to find any duplication of prose. In a few cases, Brett has used code samples that also appear in *Java in a Nutshell*, and in each case that fact is mentioned in the margin.

About the Examples

This book has hundreds of code examples, spread throughout its pages. While some complete code listings are shown in the text, other examples are shown only in part. While some readers may enjoy typing in these programs on their own, many of us just don't have the time. Because of this, every single example, and almost all of the partial examples, are ready for compilation in Java source files, ready for download.

Additionally, the process of compilation (especially class path issues) remains one of Java's most problematic features. To help you out, an Ant buildfile is included with the samples, called *build.xml*. You'll need to download and install Ant (available at ant.apache.org) to take advantage of this buildfile, and I strongly urge you to do just that. Ant installation is easy, and you can always refer to *Ant: The Definitive Guide* (O'Reilly) if you need assistance. Your directory structure should look something like this:

```
<basedir>
|
+--src (contains build.xml)
|
+--classes
```

This is all taken care of for you if you just download the code and unzip it.

Navigate to your local *src* directory, and type `ant`. You'll get an error if you don't have Ant set up properly. Otherwise, you should see something like the following:

```
${basedir}\code\src>ant

Buildfile: build.xml
```

```
compile:
```

```
[echo] Compiling all Java files...
```

```
[javac] Compiling 41 source files to code\classes
```

```
[javac] Note: code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch06\DeprecatedTester.java  
        uses or overrides a deprecated API.
```

```
[javac] Note: Recompile with -Xlint:deprecation for details.
```

```
[javac] Note: Some input files use unchecked or unsafe operations.
```

```
[javac] Note: Recompile with -Xlint:unchecked for details.
```

```
BUILD SUCCESSFUL
```

```
Total time: 9 seconds
```

I'll leave it to you to explore the other targets within *build.xml*, there are also notes in most chapters about targets that apply to that chapter, or to a specific example. All this code is heavily tested, and mildly documented. Just make sure you've got Tiger as the first Java compiler on your classpath, or you'll get all sorts of nasty errors!

You may download this sample code, as well as check out errata, view related resources and online articles, and see the latest on this book, at www.oreilly.com/catalog/javaadn/ . Check this site often, as lots of new content may be available as time goes by and we update the examples.

Conventions Used in This Book

Italic is used for:

- Pathnames, filenames, program names, compilers, options, and commands
- New terms where they are defined
- Internet addresses, such as domain names and example URLs

Boldface is used for:

- Particular keys on a computer keyboard
- Names of user interface buttons and menus

Constant width is used for:

- Anything that appears literally in a JSP page or a Java program, including keywords, data types, constants, method names, variables, class names, and interface names
- Command lines and options that should be typed verbatim on the screen
- All JSP and Java code listings
- HTML documents, tags, and attributes

Constant width italic is used for:

- General placeholders that indicate that an item is replaced by some actual value in your own program

Constant width bold is used for:

- Text that is typed in code examples by the user



This icon designates a note, which is an important aside to the nearby text.



This icon designates a warning relating to the nearby text.

How to Contact Us

Please address comments and questions concerning this book to the publisher:

O'Reilly Media, Inc.

1005 Gravenstein Highway North

Sebastopol, CA 95472

(800) 998-9938 (in the United States or Canada)

(707) 829-0515 (international or local)

(707) 829-0104 (fax)

We have a web page for this book, where we list errata, examples, or any additional information. You can access this page at:

www.oreilly.com/catalog/javaadn/

To comment or ask technical questions about this book, send email to:

bookquestions@oreilly.com

For more information about our books, conferences, Resource Centers, and the O'Reilly Network, see our web site at:

www.oreilly.com/

Acknowledgments from Brett

The "I" you see in these pages is me-for better or for worse, I came up with this series, and am thrilled to be able to bring one of the first books in the series to you. But, that leads me to the enormously talented group of folks who made that possible.

There was a time when I loved writing acknowledgements, because I got to thank everybody involved in helping me get through another book. Of course, now I realize that there are so many people I forget to thank, that I'm a little scared...I guess that's the Oscar-acceptance-paranoia working itself out. In any case, any book such as this truly is a tremendous effort by a ton of people, and I couldn't go without at least *trying* to name most of them.

To Mike Loukides, who edits most of my books (this being the exception), and Mike Hendrickson, who's just all-around smart-thanks for paving the way for these new, inventive, cool little notebooks. I think you've done the programming world a real service with them. I need to thank David Flanagan for doing all the heavy lifting; the Sun folks, especially at CAP, for letting me see JDK 1.5 early on; and guys like Hans Bergsten, Bruce Perry, Bob McWhirter, and Steve Holzner for writing good books and letting me spend less time editing than I deserve to.

Finally, in trying to keep things brief (you'll think I'm funny because of that, right?), I owe the biggest debt to my family, as is always the case. My wife, Leigh, only gripes occasionally when I'm working a 9:00 at night. Of course, that's mostly because she's exhausted from chasing the two bits of inspiration I have; my older son, Dean, and my younger son, Robbie. When you guys can read, you'll see your names here, so thank the readers for the college fund, OK?

Acknowledgments from David

Thanks first and foremost to Brett for his enthusiasm, and for working overtime and pulling this book together so quickly. Thanks also to Mike Loukides for supporting the endeavor, and to Deb Cameron, my editor for *Java in a Nutshell*, for allowing me the time to work on it.

Chapter 1. What's New?

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Working With Arrays](#)
- [Using Queues](#)
- [Ordering Queues Using Comparators](#)
- [Overriding Return Types](#)
- [Taking Advantage of Better Unicode](#)
- [Adding StringBuilder to the Mix](#)

Even with nearly 200 pages before you, it's going to be awfully tough to cover all of Tiger's new features. Whether it's called Java 1.5, 2.0, Java 5, or something altogether different, this version of Java is an entirely new beast, and has tons of meat to offer.

Rather than waste time on introductory text, this chapter jumps right into some of the "one-off" features that are new for Tiger, and that don't fit into any of the larger chapters. These will get you used to the developer's notebook format if it's new for you, and introduce some cool tools along the way. Then [Chapter 2](#) gets downright serious, as generics are introduced, and from there to the last page, it's a race to cram everything in.

1.1 Working with Arrays

Tiger has a pretty major overhaul of its collection classes, most of which have to do with generic types and support for the new `for/in` loop. Without getting into those details yet, you can get some immediate bang for your buck by checking out the `java.util.Arrays` class, which is chock-full of static utility methods (many of which are new to Tiger).

1.1.1 How do I do that?

The `java.util.Arrays` class is a set of static methods that all are useful for working with arrays. Most of these methods are particularly helpful if you have an array of numeric primitives, which is what [Example 1-1](#) demonstrates (in varied and mostly useless ways).

Example 1-1. Using the Arrays utility class

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch01;

import java.util.Arrays;
import java.util.List;

public class ArraysTester {

    private int[] ar;

    public ArraysTester(int numValues) {
        ar = new int[numValues];

        for (int i=0; i < ar.length; i++) {
```

```
        ar[i] = (1000 - (300 + i));
    }
}

public int[] get( ) {
    return ar;
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    ArraysTester tester = new ArraysTester(50);
    int[] myArray = tester.get( );

    // Compare two arrays
    int[] myOtherArray = tester.get().clone( );
    if (Arrays.equals(myArray, myOtherArray)) {
        System.out.println("The two arrays are equal!");
    } else {
        System.out.println("The two arrays are not equal!");
    }

    // Fill up some values
    Arrays.fill(myOtherArray, 2, 10, new Double(Math.PI).intValue( ));
    myArray[30] = 98;

    // Print array, as is
    System.out.println("Here's the unsorted array...");
}
```

```
System.out.println(Arrays.toString(myArray));

System.out.println( );

// Sort the array
Arrays.sort(myArray);

// print array, sorted
System.out.println("Here's the sorted array...");
System.out.println(Arrays.toString(myArray));
System.out.println( );

// Get the index of a particular value
int index = Arrays.binarySearch(myArray, 98);
System.out.println("98 is located in the array at index " + index);

String[][] ticTacToe = { {"X", "O", "O"},
                          {"O", "X", "X"},
                          {"X", "O", "X"} };

System.out.println(Arrays.deepToString(ticTacToe));

String[][] ticTacToe2 = { {"O", "O", "X"},
                          {"O", "X", "X"},
                          {"X", "O", "X"} };

String[][] ticTacToe3 = { {"X", "O", "O"},
                          {"O", "X", "X"},
```

```
        {"X", "O", "X"};

    if (Arrays.deepEquals(ticTacToe, ticTacToe2)) {
        System.out.println("Boards 1 and 2 are equal.");
    } else {
        System.out.println("Boards 1 and 2 are not equal.");
    }

    if (Arrays.deepEquals(ticTacToe, ticTacToe3)) {
        System.out.println("Boards 1 and 3 are equal.");
    } else {
        System.out.println("Boards 1 and 3 are not equal.");
    }
}
}
```

The first method to take note of, at least for Tiger fans, is `toString()`. This handles the rather annoying task of printing arrays for you. While this is trivial to write on your own, it's still nice that Sun takes care of it for you now. Here's some program output, showing the effects of `Arrays.toString()` on an array:

NOTE

Running Ant and supplying a target of "run-ch01" automates this.

```
run-ch01:
```

```
[echo] Running Chapter 1 examples from Tiger: A Developer's Notebook
```



```
[echo] Running ArraysTester...  
[java] The two arrays are equal!  
[java] Here's the unsorted array...  
[java][700, 699, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 690, 689, 688, 687, 686, 685,  
684, 683, 682, 681, 680, 679, 678, 677, 676, 675, 674, 673, 672, 671, 98,  
669, 668, 667, 666, 665, 664, 663, 662, 661, 660, 659, 658, 657, 656, 655,  
654, 653, 652, 651]  
  
[java] Here's the sorted array...  
[java] [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 98, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657,  
658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 671, 672, 673,  
674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688,  
689, 690, 699, 700]  
  
[java] 98 is located in the array at index 8
```

Another similar, but also new, method is `deepToString()`. This method takes in an object array, and prints out its contents, including the contents of any arrays that it might contain. For example:

```
String[][] ticTacToe = { {"X", "O", "O"},  
                          {"O", "X", "X"},  
                          {"X", "O", "X"} };  
  
System.out.println(Arrays.deepToString(ticTacToe));
```

Here's the output:

```
[java] [[X, O, O], [O, X, X], [X, O, X]]
```

This starts to really come in handy when you've got three or four levels of arrays, and don't want to take the time to write your own recursion printing routines.

Finally, `Arrays` provides a `deepEquals()` method that compares multidimensional arrays:

```
String[][] ticTacToe = { {"X", "O", "O"},
                          {"O", "X", "X"},
                          {"X", "O", "X"} };

System.out.println(Arrays.deepToString(ticTacToe));

String[][] ticTacToe2 = { {"O", "O", "X"},
                          {"O", "X", "X"},
                          {"X", "O", "X"} };

String[][] ticTacToe3 = { {"X", "O", "O"},
                          {"O", "X", "X"},
                          {"X", "O", "X"} };

if (Arrays.deepEquals(ticTacToe, ticTacToe2)) {
    System.out.println("Boards 1 and 2 are equal.");
} else {
    System.out.println("Boards 1 and 2 are not equal.");
}

if (Arrays.deepEquals(ticTacToe, ticTacToe3)) {
```

```
        System.out.println("Boards 1 and 3 are equal.");  
    } else {  
        System.out.println("Boards 1 and 3 are not equal.");  
    }  
}
```

As expected, the first comparison returns `false`, and the second `true`:

```
[java] Boards 1 and 2 are not equal.  
[java] Boards 1 and 3 are equal.
```

1.1.2 What About...

...hash codes? Java 101 dictates that every good `equals()` method should be paired with an equivalent `hashCode()`, and the `Arrays` class is no exception. `Arrays` defines both `hashCode()` and `deepHashCode()` methods for just this purpose. I'll leave it to you to play with these, but they are self-explanatory:

```
int hashCode = Arrays.deepHashCode(ticTacToe);
```

1.2 Using Queues

Another cool collection addition is the `java.util.Queue` class, for all those occasions when you need FIFO (first-in, first-out) action. Using this class is a breeze, and you'll find it's a nice addition to the already robust Java Collection ...er...collection.

NOTE

Some queues are LIFO (last-in, first-out).

1.2.1 How do I do that?

The first thing to realize is that proper use of a `Queue` implementation is to *avoid* the standard collection methods `add()` and `remove()`. Instead, you'll need to use `offer()` to add elements. Keep in mind that most queues have a fixed size. If you call `add()` on a full queue, an unchecked exception is thrown—which really isn't appropriate, as a queue being full is a *normal* condition, not an exceptional one. `offer()` simply returns `false` if an element cannot be added, which is more in line with standard queue usage.

In the same vein, `remove()` throws an exception if the queue is empty; a better choice is the new `poll()` method, which returns `null` if there is nothing in the queue. Both methods attempt to remove elements from the head of the queue. If you want the head without removing it, use `element()` or `peek()`. [Example 1-2](#) shows these methods in action.

Example 1-2. Using the Queue interface

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch01;

import java.io.IOException;

import java.io.PrintStream;

import java.util.LinkedList;

import java.util.Queue;
```

```
public class QueueTester {

    public Queue q;

    public QueueTester( ) {

        q = new LinkedList( );

    }

    public void testFIFO(PrintStream out) throws IOException {

        q.add("First");

        q.add("Second");

        q.add("Third");

        Object o;

        while ((o = q.poll( )) != null) {

            out.println(o);

        }

    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        QueueTester tester = new QueueTester( );

        try {

            tester.testFIFO(System.out);

        } catch (IOException e) {

            e.printStackTrace( );

        }

    }

}
```

```
    }  
  }  
}
```

In `testFIFO()`, you can see that the first items into the queue are the first ones out:

```
[echo] Running QueueTester...  
[java] First  
[java] Second  
[java] Third
```

As unexciting as that may seem, that's the bulk of what makes `Queue` unique—the ordering it provides.

If you're paying attention, you might wonder about this bit of code, though:

```
public Queue q;  
  
public QueueTester( ) {  
    q = new LinkedList( );  
}
```

In Tiger, `LinkedList` has been retrofitted to implement the `Queue` interface. While you can use it like any other `List` implementation, it can also be used as a `Queue` implementation.

NOTE

I suppose you file this under the "fewer classes equals less clutter" theory.

1.2.2 What about...

...using a queue in a concurrent programming environment? This is a common usage of a queue, when producer threads are filling the queue, and consumer threads are emptying it. This is more of a threading issue, and so I've left it for [Chapter 10](#)-but there is plenty of coverage there.



1.3 Ordering Queues Using Comparators

While FIFO is a useful paradigm, there are times when you'll want a queue-like structure, ordered by another metric. This is exactly the purpose of `PriorityQueue`, another `Queue` implementation. You provide it a `Comparator`, and it does the rest.

1.3.1 How do I do that?

`PriorityQueue` works just as any other `Queue` implementation, and you don't even need to learn any new methods. Instead of performing FIFO ordering, though, a `PriorityQueue` orders its items by using the `Comparator` interface. If you create a new queue and don't specify a `Comparator`, you get what's called *natural ordering*, which applies to any classes that implement `Comparable`. For numerical values, for instance, this places highest values, well, highest! Here's an example:

```
PriorityQueue<Integer> pq =  
  
    new PriorityQueue<Integer>(20);  
  
// Fill up with data, in an odd order  
for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {  
    pq.offer(20-i);  
}  
  
// Print out and check ordering  
for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {  
    System.out.println(pq.poll( ));  
}
```

Since no `Comparator` implementation is given to `PriorityQueue`, it orders the numbers lowest to highest, even though they're not added to the queue in that order. So when peeling off elements, the

lowest item comes out first:

```
[echo] Running PriorityQueueTester...
```

```
[java] 1
```

```
[java] 2
```

```
[java] 3
```

```
[java] 4
```

```
[java] 5
```

```
[java] 6
```

```
[java] 7
```

```
[java] 8
```

```
[java] 9
```

```
[java] 10
```

```
[java] 11
```

```
[java] 12
```

```
[java] 13
```

```
[java] 14
```

```
[java] 15
```

```
[java] 16
```

```
[java] 17
```

```
[java] 18
```

```
[java] 19
```

```
[java] 20
```

However, this queue starts to really come into its own when you provide your own comparator, as shown in [Example 1-3](#). This is done via the constructor, and a custom implementation of `java.util.Comparator`.

Example 1-3. Using a PriorityQueue

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch01;

import java.util.Comparator;
import java.util.PriorityQueue;
import java.util.Queue;

public class PriorityQueueTester {

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        PriorityQueue<Integer> pq =
            new PriorityQueue<Integer>(20,
                new Comparator<Integer>( ) {
                    public int compare(Integer i, Integer j) {
                        int result = i%2 - j%2;
                        if (result == 0)
                            result = i-j;
                        return result;
                    }
                }
            );

        // Fill up with data, in an odd order
```

```
    for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {  
        pq.offer(20-i);  
    }  
  
    // Print out and check ordering  
    for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {  
        System.out.println(pq.poll( ));  
    }  
}  
}
```

The output from this is lowest to highest even numbers, and then lowest to highest odd numbers:

```
[echo] Running PriorityQueueTester...  
[java] 2  
[java] 4  
[java] 6  
[java] 8  
[java] 10  
[java] 12  
[java] 14  
[java] 16  
[java] 18  
[java] 20  
[java] 1  
[java] 3
```

[java] 5

[java] 7

[java] 9

[java] 11

[java] 13

[java] 15

[java] 17

[java] 19

 PREV

< Day Day Up >

1.4 Overriding Return Types

One of the most annoying features when you're using Java inheritance is the inability to override return types. This is most commonly desired when you've got a base class, and then a subclass adds a dimension (either literally or figuratively) to the base class. Typically, you're unable to return that extra dimension without defining a new method (and new name), since the method that the base class used probably had a narrower return type. Thankfully, you can solve this problem using Tiger.

1.4.1 How do I do that?

[Example 1-4](#) is a simple class hierarchy that demonstrates overriding the return type of a superclass's method.

NOTE

Keep in mind that none of this code compiles under Java 1.4, or even in Tiger without the "-source 1.5" switch

Example 1-4. Overriding the methods of a superclass

```
class Point2D {  
    protected int x, y;  
  
    public Point2D( ) {  
        this.x=0;  
        this.y=0;  
    }  
  
    public Point2D(int x, int y) {
```

```
        this.x = x;

        this.y = y;
    }
}
```

```
class Point3D extends Point2D {

    protected int z;

    public Point3D(int x, int y) {

        this(x, y, 0);
    }

    public Point3D(int x, int y, int z) {

        this.x = x;

        this.y = y;

        this.z = z;
    }
}
```

```
class Position2D {

    Point2D location;

    public Position2D( ) {

        this.location = new Point2D( );
    }
}
```

```
public Position2D(int x, int y) {
    this.location = new Point2D(x, y);
}

public Point2D getLocation( ) {
    return location;
}
}

class Position3D extends Position2D {
    Point3D location;

    public Position3D(int x, int y, int z) {
        this.location = new Point3D(x, y, z);
    }

    public Point3D getLocation( ) {
        return location;
    }
}
```

The key is the line `public Point3D getLocation()`, which probably looks pretty odd to you, but get used to it. This is called a *covariant return*, and is only allowed if the return type of the subclass is an extension of the return type of the superclass. In this case, this is satisfied by `Point3D` extending `Point2D`. It's accomplished through the annotation, covered in detail in [Chapter 6](#).

1.5 Taking Advantage of Better Unicode

While many of the features in this chapter and the rest of the book focus on entirely new features, there are occasions where Tiger has simply evolved. The most significant of these is Unicode support. In pre-Tiger versions of Java, Unicode 3.0 was supported, and all of these Unicode characters fit into 16 bits (and therefore a `char`). Things are different, now, so you'll need to understand a bit more.

1.5.1 How do I do that?

In Tiger, Java has moved to support Unicode 4.0, which defines several characters that don't fit into 16 bits. This means that they won't fit into a `char`, and that has some far-reaching consequences. You'll have to use `int` to represent these characters, and as a result methods like `Character.isUpperCase()` and `Character.isWhitespace()` now have variants that accept `int` arguments. So if you're needing values in Unicode 3.0 that are *not* available in Unicode 3.0, you'll need to use these new methods..

NOTE

Most of the new characters in Unicode 4.0 are Han ideographs.

1.5.2 What just happened?

To really grasp all this, you have to understand a few basic terms:

codepoint

A codepoint is a number that represents a specific character. As an example, 0x3C0 is the codepoint for the symbol π .

Basic Multilingual Plan (BMP)

The BMP is all Unicode codepoints from `\u0000` through `\uFFFF`. All of these codepoints fit into a Java `char`.

supplementary characters

These are the Unicode codepoints that fall outside of the BMP. There are 21-bit codepoints, with hex values from 010000 through 10FFFF, and must be represented by an `int`.

A `char`, then, represents a BMP Unicode codepoint. To get all the supplementary characters in addition to the BMP, you need to use an `int`. Of course, only the lowest 21 bits are used, as that's all that is needed; the upper 21 bits are zeroed out.

NOTE

This all applies to "StringBuffer" and "StringBuilder" as well.

All this assumes that you're dealing with these characters in isolation, though, and that's hardly the only use-case. More often, you've got to use these characters within the context of a larger `String`. In those situations, an `int` doesn't fit, and instead two `char` values are encoded, and called a *surrogate pair* when linked like this. The first `char` is from the *high-surrogates* range (`\uD800-\uDBFF`), and the second `char` is from the *low-surrogates* range (`\uDC00-\uDFFF`). The net effect is that the number of `chars` in a `String` is *not* guaranteed to be the number of codepoints. Sometimes two `chars` represent a single codepoint (Unicode 4.0), and sometimes they represent two codepoints (Unicode 3.0).

1.6 Adding StringBuilder to the Mix

As you work through this book, you'll find that in several instances, the class `StringBuilder` is used, most often in the manner that you're used to seeing `StringBuffer` used. `StringBuilder` is a new Tiger class intended to be a drop-in replacement for `StringBuffer` in cases where thread safety isn't an issue.

1.6.1 How do I do that?

Replace all your `StringBuffer` code with `StringBuilder` code. Really-it's as simple as that. If you're working in a single-thread environment, or in a piece of code where you aren't worried about multiple threads accessing the code, or synchronization, it's best to use `StringBuilder` instead of `StringBuffer`. All the methods you are used to seeing on `StringBuffer` exist for `StringBuilder`, so there shouldn't be any compilation problems doing a straight search and replace on your code. [Example 1-5](#) is just such an example; I wrote it using `StringBuffer`, and then did a straight search-and-replace, converting every occurrence of "StringBuffer" with "StringBuilder".

Example 1-5. Replacing StringBuffer with StringBuilder

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch01;

import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.Iterator;
import java.util.List;

public class StringBuilderTester {

    public static String appendItems(List list) {

        StringBuilder b = new StringBuilder( );
```

```
        for (Iterator i = list.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {  
            b.append(i.next( ))  
            .append(" ");  
        }  
  
        return b.toString( );  
    }  
  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        List list = new ArrayList( );  
        list.add("I");  
        list.add("play");  
        list.add("Bourgeois");  
        list.add("guitars");  
        list.add("and");  
        list.add("Huber");  
        list.add("banjos");  
  
        System.out.println(StringBuilderTester.appendItems(list));  
    }  
}
```

You'll see plenty of other code samples using `StringBuilder` in the rest of this book, so you'll be thoroughly comfortable with the class by book's end.

1.6.2 What about...

...all the new formatting stuff in Tiger, like `printf()` and `format()`? `StringBuilder`, as does `StringBuffer`, implements `Appendable`, making it usable by the new `Formatter` object described in [Chapter 9](#). It really is a drop-in replacement-I promise!



Chapter 2. Generics

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Using Type-Safe Lists](#)
- [Using Type-Safe Maps](#)
- [Iterating Over Parameterized Types](#)
- [Accepting Parameterized Types as Arguments](#)
- [Returning Parameterized Types](#)
- [Using Parameterized Types as Type Parameters](#)
- [Checking for Lint](#)
- [Generics and Type Conversions](#)
- [Using Type Wildcards](#)
- [Writing Generic Types](#)
- [Restricting Type Parameters](#)

Without any further ado, I'm going to dive right into the deep end of the pool. More than any other feature, Tiger (or whatever version it ends up being labeled as) brings to the table *generics*. While the name might throw you, generics actually bring a greater degree of type safety to Java than anything you could imagine. It's finally possible to create parameterized types, lists that only accept `Strings`, and ditch all that annoying class-casting code. Even better, you can limit types that your custom classes and methods accept, removing a huge amount of tedious errorchecking and type-checking code.

Additionally, generics are foundational to many of the other features specific to Tiger. Generics have a bearing on varargs, annotations, enumerations, collections, and even some of the new concurrency utilities of the language. While you may want to browse through other parts of this book, you'd do well to take your time and really work through this chapter, lab by lab. There, that's enough introduction for a *few* chapters-let's get to it.

2.1 Using Type-Safe Lists

One of Java's greatest strengths is its typing. Everything is an object, and, in fact, every class either explicitly or implicitly descends from `Object`. This provides a tremendous amount of type-safety-your methods can take `Integers`, `Strings`, `Lists`, `Maps`, or your own custom objects as parameters, and know at the outset what they'll have to work with.

With all this type-safety, Java has a gaping hole that Tiger finally fills-the ability to create type-safe arrays and lists, ensuring that collections of objects only allow for a certain type to be inserted.

2.1.1 How do I do that?

One of the most annoying tasks in Java is having to cast objects pulled out of a `List`, when you already know what's in the `List` (such as when you fill it yourself, or a trusted source handles populating it):

NOTE

Generics don't apply to primitive types.

```
List listOfStrings = getListOfStrings( );  
  
for (Iterator i = listOfStrings.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {  
    String item = (String)i.next( );  
  
    // Work with that string  
}
```

Remove that cast, though-pull out `(String)`-and you'll get a compiler error:

NOTE

This particular code sample is in `com.oreilly.tiger.ch02.GenericTester`.

```

[javac] Compiling 1 source file to code\classes
[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:17:
    incompatible types
[javac] found   : java.lang.Object
[javac] required: java.lang.String
[javac]         String item = i.next( );
[javac]         ^
[javac] Note: code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java uses
    unchecked or unsafe operations.
[javac] Note: Recompile with -Xlint:unchecked for details.
[javac] 1 error

```

No matter how much *you* trust the `getListOfStrings()` method, the compiler doesn't trust it one bit. It assumes the worst, and if you've ever had anyone else work with you, you realize the compiler is often right more than you are.

Generics let you finally get around this, by limiting the type that a particular `List` will accept:

```
List<String> listOfStrings;
```

While this syntax probably looks pretty odd, it does the trick- `listOfStrings` can now *only* be populated with `String` instances. You also need to assign it an instance that only accepts the same type:

```
List<String> listOfStrings = new LinkedList<String>( );
```

I realize that the syntax just gets weirder, but that's what you have to work with. Angle brackets everywhere! Now you can add `Strings` to this `List`, but you *cannot* add any other type:

NOTE

Here, and in other output dumps, I've made slight formatting changes to fit things on the printed page.

```
List<String> onlyStrings = new LinkedList<String>( );
onlyStrings.add("Legal addition");
```

```
onlyStrings.add(new StringBuilder("Illegal Addition"));
onlyStrings.add(25);
```

The compiler will let you know about the problem:

```
[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:24:
    cannot find symbol
[javac] symbol   : method add(java.lang.StringBuilder)
[javac] location: interface java.util.List<java.lang.String>
[javac]     onlyStrings.add(new StringBuilder("Illegal Addition"));
[javac]           ^
[javac] src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:25: cannot find
symbol
[javac] symbol   : method add(int)
[javac] location: interface java.util.List<java.lang.String>
[javac]     onlyStrings.add(25);
[javac]           ^
[javac] Note: code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java uses
unchecked or unsafe operations.
[javac] Note: Recompile with -Xlint:unchecked for details.
[javac] 2 errors
```

2.1.2 What just happened?

In pre-Tiger versions of Java, the method signature for `add()` in `List` looked like this:

```
public boolean add(Object obj);
```

In Tiger, though, things have changed:

```
public boolean add(E o);
```


Before you go looking up `E` in Javadoc, though, it's just a placeholder. It indicates that this method declares a type variable (`E`) and can be parameterized. The entire `List` class is generic:

```
public interface List<E> extends Collection, Iterable {
```

There's that `E` again. When you supply a type in the initialization of a `List`, you *parameterize* the type—you indicate what type its parameters can accept:

```
List<String> onlyStrings = new LinkedList<String>( );
```

One way to understand this is to imagine that the compiler replaces every occurrence of `E` with the type you supplied—in this case, a `String`. Of course, this is just done for this particular instance of `List`. You can have multiple `Lists`, all with different types, and all in the same program block.

The end result of all this is that `onlyStrings` no longer has a method `add(Object obj)`; it only has `add(String o)`. So, when the compiler sees `add()` with anything other than a `String` parameter, it kicks out an error. This is the power of generics, and parameterized types—they provide built-in type safety for your collection types.

2.1.3 What about...

...lists of primitive types? The types that are allowed by `Lists` (and other collection classes) are all objects; as a result, they don't work with primitive values. The introduction of generics, despite all of its wonder and magic, doesn't change this. So the following won't compile:

```
List<int> list = new LinkedList<int>( );
```

However, this will:

```
List<Integer> list = new LinkedList<Integer>( );
```

If you're thinking that now you've got to do all sorts of annoying conversion between `int` and `Integer`, that's just because you haven't made it to [Chapter 4](#) yet. In that chapter, you'll see that autoboxing makes this a particularly useful way to deal with primitives.

2.2 Using Type-Safe Maps

As cool as generics make the `List` class, it wouldn't be much good if that was the only collection that could be parameterized. All of the various collection classes are now generic types, and accept type parameters. Since most of these behave like `List`, I'll spare you the boring prose of covering each one. It is worth looking at `Map`, though, as it takes two type parameters, instead of just one. You use it just as you use `List`, but with two types at declaration and initialization.

2.2.1 How do I do that?

`java.util.Map` has a key type (which can be any type) and a value type (which can be any type). While it's common to use a numeric or `String` key, that's not built into the language, and you can't depend on it—at least, not until Tiger came along:

```
Map<Integer, Integer> squares = new HashMap<Integer, Integer>( );
```

```
for (int i=0; i<100; i++) {  
    squares.put(i, i*i);  
}
```

```
for (int i=0; i<10; i++) {  
    int n = i*3;  
    out.println("The square of " + n + " is " + squares.get(n));  
}
```

This is a simple example of where a new `Map` is declared, and both its key and value types are defined as `Integer`. This ensures that you don't have to do any casting, either in putting values into the `Map` or pulling them out. Pretty easy stuff, isn't it? Of course, you could use any of the following lines of code as well:

```
// Key and value are Strings
```

```
Map<String, String> strings = new HashMap<String, String>( );
```

```
// Key is a String, value is an Object  
Map<String, Object> map = new HashMap<String, Object>( );  
  
// Key is a Long, value is a String  
Map<Long, String> args = new HashMap<Long, String>( );
```

2.2.2 What just happened?

As briefly mentioned in [Using Type-Safe Lists](#), autoboxing helps when you want to stuff primitives into a collection. In this case, even though the `Map` is defined to take `Integers`, it's the `int` counter `i` that is used to create values. Without getting into the details covered in [Chapter 4](#), Java autoboxes the `int` value of `i` into an `Integer`, behind the scenes, meeting the requirements of the `squares` `Map`.



2.3 Iterating Over Parameterized Types

Although the `for/in` loop provides a means of almost completely avoiding the `java.util.Iterator` class, that particular feature of Tiger isn't covered until [Chapter 7](#). But until you get to that chapter (and probably occasionally after that), it's still useful to know how generic collection types affect `Iterator`. You'll need to perform an extra step to get the full power of generics.

2.3.1 How do I do that?

It would seem that once you've parameterized your collections, grabbing an `Iterator` and using it would be trivial:

```
List<String> listOfStrings = new LinkedList<String>( );

listOfStrings.add("Happy");

listOfStrings.add("Birthday");

listOfStrings.add("To");

listOfStrings.add("You");

for (Iterator i = listOfStrings.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {

    String s = i.next( );

    out.println(s);

}
```

However, all is not well. Here's what the compiler spits back to you:

```
[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:54:
           incompatible types
[javac] found    : java.lang.Object
[javac] required: java.lang.String
[javac]         String s = i.next( );
```

```
[javac]
^
[javac] Note: code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java
        uses unchecked or unsafe operations.
[javac] Note: Recompile with -Xlint:unchecked for details.
[javac] 1 error
```

The problem here is that while you've parameterized your `List`, you *haven't* parameterized your `Iterator`. It's still spitting out `Objects`, and doesn't know that it should only expect to receive and respond with `String` types. Just like the collections, `Iterator` is a generic type in Java, and is declared as `public interface Iterator<E>`. Its `next()` method, then, returns `E` (which is a placeholder, as detailed in "Using Type-Safe Lists"). To parameterize it, you use the same syntax as you did for collection classes:

```
List<String> listOfStrings = new LinkedList<String>( );

listOfStrings.add("Happy");

listOfStrings.add("Birthday");

listOfStrings.add("To");

listOfStrings.add("You");

for (Iterator<String> i = listOfStrings.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {

    String s = i.next( );

    out.println(s);

}
```

Now this `Iterator` only works with `String` types, and your code compiles (and runs) normally. You should always pair your `Iterators` with your collections like this-if the collection is parameterized, the `Iterator` should use the same parameter.

2.3.2 What about...

...if you define a typesafe `Iterator`, for a collection that *isn't* typesafe. Well, you're basically playing with fire, and assuming that someone filled the collection correctly. The following code, for example, compiles and runs without a problem (although you'll get `lint` warnings, detailed in "Checking for Lint"):

```
public void testTypeSafeIterators(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
  
    List listOfStrings = new LinkedList( );  
  
    listOfStrings.add("Happy");  
  
    listOfStrings.add("Birthday");  
  
    listOfStrings.add("To");  
  
    listOfStrings.add("You");  
  
  
    for (Iterator<String> i = listOfStrings.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {  
  
        String s = i.next( );  
  
        out.println(s);  
  
    }  
  
}
```

In this case, it was probably just an oversight that `List` wasn't also parameterized. However, the following code also compiles fine, but fails horribly at runtime:

```
public void testTypeSafeIterators(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
  
    List listOfStrings = getList( );  
  
  
    for (Iterator<String> i = listOfStrings.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {  
  
        String s = i.next( );  
  
        out.println(s);  
  
    }  
  
}  
  
  
private List getList( ) {  
  
    List list = new LinkedList( );  
  
    list.add(3);  
  

```

```
list.add("Blind");  
  
list.add("Mice");  
  
return list;  
}
```

The `getList()` method, which presumably could have been coded by a trusted (or even a non-trusted) source, is supposed to return only `String` objects (at least, that's inferred by the use of `Iterator<String>`). However, it adds a numeric object (an `int` that gets boxed into an `Integer`), and at runtime a nasty `ClassCastException` pops up. This is why you should always parameterize your collections if you want to parameterize your `Iterators`. If you took that step, you'd get an error at compile-time when trying to assign the `List` returned from `getList()` (which is not parameterized) to a `List<String>`. That error protects you from problems just like this one.



2.4 Accepting Parameterized Types as Arguments

So far, all of this parameterization has occurred in the same code block. However, that's unrealistic, and you'll quickly want to write methods that take advantage of parameterized types. This is where generics start to really become powerful. First, you need to understand how a method can tell the compiler that it only accepts a specific parameterization of a generic type.

2.4.1 How do I do that?

Just use the same syntax you've been using (and which should be getting oddly comfortable by this point) in your argument list:

```
private void printListOfStrings(List<String> list, PrintStream out)
    throws IOException {

    for (Iterator<String> i = list.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {
        out.println(i.next( ));
    }
}
```

This allows your method body to act on that parameterization, avoiding class casts and the like. In this example, it's possible to parameterize the `Iterator` as well, because the compiler ensures that only `List<String>` is passed into the method. Any other `List` types are refused (at compiletime).

2.4.2 What about...

...trying to pass in a plain old `List`, without any parameterization, even if it has only `Strings` in it? This actually will work, with the caveat that you're left to your own devices in ensuring that the `List` has in it what it's supposed to. If not, you'll get more `ClassCastException`s than you can shake a stick at, all at runtime. In either case, you'll get `lint` warnings, which are described in "Checking for Lint," later in this chapter.

2.5 Returning Parameterized Types

In addition to accepting parameterized types as arguments, methods in Tiger can return types that are parameterized.

2.5.1 How do I do that?

Remember the `getListOfStrings()` method, referred to in "Using Type-Safe Lists"? Here is the actual code for that method:

```
private List getListOfStrings( ) {  
  
    List list = new LinkedList ( );  
  
    list.add("Hello");  
  
    list.add("World");  
  
    list.add("How");  
  
    list.add("Are");  
  
    list.add("You?");  
  
    return list;  
  
}
```

While this is a workable method, it's going to generate all sorts of `lint` warnings (see [Checking for Lint](#) for details) because it doesn't specify a type for the `List`. Even more importantly, code that uses this method can't assume that it is really getting a `List` of `Strings`. To correct this, just parameterize the return type, as well as the `List` that is eventually returned by the method:

```
private List<String> getListOfStrings( ) {  
  
    List<String> list = new LinkedList<String>( );  
  
    list.add("Hello");  
  
    list.add("World");  
  
    list.add("How");  
  
}
```

```
list.add("Are");  
list.add("You?");  
  
return list;  
}
```

Pretty straightforward, isn't it? The return value of this method can now be used immediately in type safe ways:

```
List<String> strings = getListOfStrings( );  
  
for (String s : strings) {  
    out.println(s);  
}
```

This isn't possible, without compile-time warnings, unless `getListOfStrings()` has a parameterized return value.

2.6 Using Parameterized Types as Type Parameters

Collections in Tiger are generic types, and accept type parameters. However, these collections can store collections themselves, which are in turn also generics. This means that a parameterized type can be used as the type parameter to another generic type.

2.6.1 How do I do that?

The `Map` interface takes two type parameters: one for the key, and one for the value itself. While the key is usually a `String` or numeric ID, the value can be anything-including a generic type, like a `List` of `Strings`.

So `List<String>` becomes a parameterized type, which can be supplied to the `Map` declaration:

```
Map<String, List<String>> map = new HashMap<String, List<String>>( );
```

If that's not enough angle brackets for you, here's yet another layer of generics to add into the mix:

```
Map<String, List<List<int[]>>> map = getWeirdMap( );
```

Of course, where things get really nuts is actually accessing objects from this collection:

```
int value = map.get(someKey).get(0).get(0)[0];
```

What's cool about this is that all the casting is handled for you-you don't need to do any casting to `List`, but instead can just let the compiler unravel all your parameterized types for you.

2.7 Checking for Lint

Several times in this chapter, you've heard about `lint` warnings, which sounds more like something you get out of a dryer than a compiler. These warnings are a new feature of Tiger, though, and important in figuring out how to really bulletproof your code.

2.7.1 How do I do that?

Take a simple piece of code that used a type that can be parameterized, but without type parameters:

```
private List getList( ) {  
  
    List list = new LinkedList( );  
  
    list.add(3);  
  
    list.add("Blind");  
  
    list.add("Mice");  
  
    return list;  
  
}
```

If you compile this in Tiger, with the `-source 1.5` flag, you'll get this message:

NOTE

You can compile all of the examples for this book with `"-Xlint:unchecked"` by using the Ant target `"compilecheck"`.

Note: `GenericsTester.java` uses `unchecked` or `unsafe` operations.

Note: recompile with `-Xlint:unchecked` for details.

If you recompile with the suggested flag, you are telling the compile to show `lint` warnings (`-Xlint`), and specifically to show those warnings that are `unchecked`.

Here's some sample output with these warnings turned on:

```
[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:63: warning:
[unchecked] unchecked call to add(E) as a member of
the raw type java.util.List
[javac]     list.add(3);
[javac]         ^
[javac] src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:64: warning:
[unchecked] unchecked call to add(E) as a member of
the raw type java.util.List
[javac]     list.add("Blind");
[javac]         ^
[javac] src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:65: warning:
[unchecked] unchecked call to add(E) as a member of
the raw type java.util.List
[javac]     list.add("Mice");
[javac]         ^
[javac] 3 warnings
```

These warnings indicate that the compiler isn't able to ensure that the values added to the list (named `list` in this case) are the intended type. That's because `list` wasn't parameterized.

You can get rid of these warnings by specifying a type in your `List` construction:

```
private List getList( ) {
    List<Object> list = new LinkedList<Object>( );
    list.add(3);
    list.add("Blind");
    list.add("Mice");

    return list;
}
```

```
}
```

NOTE

Autoboxing is covered in Chapter 4 .

While this doesn't do much for type-safety, it does take care of the warnings, as the types being added to `list` are all of type `Object` (the literal `3` is autoboxed to an `Integer` , which is of course an `Object`).

2.7.2 What about...

NOTE

Annotations are covered in Chapter 6 .

...annotations? For those of you who may be ahead on Tiger, there is an annotation, called `SuppressWarnings` , which allows you to keep these warnings from showing up in a compilation using `-source 1.5` . You can also just recompile under Java 1.4, although that's obviously a pretty shortsighted solution. The best choice, if at all possible, is to parameterize your generic types, and enforce type-safety whenever possible.

< Day Day Up >

2.8 Generics and Type Conversions

Now that you have all your nifty parameterized types, you'll probably want to perform all sorts of nifty type conversions. This `List` of `Integers` gets tossed into that `Map` of `Numbers`...then again, it's not quite that easy. You'll need to take great care if you want these conversions to actually work.

2.8.1 How do I do that?

The key in casting generic types is to understand that as with normal, non-generic types, they form a hierarchy. What's unique about generics, though, is that the hierarchy is based on the base type, *not* the parameters to that type. For example, consider this declaration:

```
LinkedList<Float> floatList = new LinkedList<Float>( );
```

The conversion is based on `LinkedList`, *not* `Float`. So this is legal:

```
List<Float> moreFloats = floatList;
```

However, the following is not:

```
LinkedList<Number> numberList = floatList;
```

While `Float` is indeed a subclass of `Number`, it's the generic type that is important, not the parameter type.

2.8.2 What just happened?

There are two key things to understand if you want to know why type conversions work like this; the first is seeing how type conversions can be abused, and the second is erasure. First, consider this sample code, which is actually illegal in Tiger; it demonstrates why converting a `LinkedList<Float>` to a `LinkedList<Number>` (or even to a `LinkedList<Object>`) should indeed be illegal:

```
List<Integer> ints = new ArrayList<Integer>( );

ints.add(1);

ints.add(2);
```

```

// This is illegal, but use it for illustration purposes

List<Number> numbers = ints;

// Now a float is being added to a list of ints! This results in a
//   ClassCastException when the item is retrieved from the
//   list and used as an int (instead of a float)

numbers.add(1.2);

// This is even worse

List<Object> objects = ints;

objects.add("How are you doing?");

```

Clearly, it needs to be the base type that is considered in type conversions, not the parameter type.

The second concept you'll want to grasp is *erasure*. Generics in Tiger is a compile-time process, and all typing information is handled at *compiletime*. Once the classes are compiled, the typing information is erased (thus the term erasure). Consider the following two declarations:

```

List<String> strings = new LinkedList<String>( );

List<Integer> ints = new LinkedList<Integer>( );

```

This information is used at compile-time to perform type-checking, but then the typing information is dropped out at runtime. So, to the JVM, these declarations actually become:

```

List strings = new LinkedList( );

List ints = new LinkedList( );

```

The type parameters are gone, now. With that in mind, consider this (illegal) cast:

```

List<Integer> ints = new LinkedList<Integer>( );

List<Number> nums = ints;

```

While this may look OK at compile-time, at runtime there are simply two lists, one trying to be cast to the other-without any type-safety in play at all. Again, then, the compiler does the right thing by using the base type, rather than the parameterized type, for cast checks.

2.8.3 What about...

NOTE

Some folks get upset that parameterization is only a compiletime thing. A worthy gripe, but something (compile-time checking) is almost always better than nothing.

...defeating type-safety? Well, when you understand the reasons for casting restrictions and erasure, it actually becomes pretty easy to get around type checking. First, for backwards-compatibility, you can always cast a parameterized type to a *raw type*—that is, a generic type with no parameterization:

```
List<Integer> ints = new LinkedList<Integer>( );

// We can widen (due to backwards compatibility)
List oldList = ints;

// This line should be illegal, but it happily compiles and runs
oldList.add("Hello");

// Here's the problem!
Integer i = ints.get(0);
```

This obviously leads to a `ClassCastException` at runtime, but it compiles just fine. You'll get an unchecked warning (if you're compiling under Tiger), but that's it.

You can also use erasure to break type-safety. Remember that at runtime, erasure removes all your parameterization. This means that when you access parameterized types with reflection, you get the effects of erasure, at compile-time ([Example 2-1](#)):

Example 2-1. Breaking type safety with reflection

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch02;
```

```
import java.util.ArrayList;

import java.util.List;

public class BadIdea {

    private static List<Integer> ints = new ArrayList<Integer>( );

    public static void fillList(List<Integer> list) {

        for (Integer i : list) {

            ints.add(i);

        }

    }

    public static void printList( ) {

        for (Integer i : ints) {

            System.out.println(i);

        }

    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        List<Integer> myInts = new ArrayList<Integer>( );

        myInts.add(1);

        myInts.add(2);

        myInts.add(3);

        System.out.println("Filling list and printing in normal way...");

    }

}
```

```
fillList(myInts);  
  
printList( );  
  
try {  
    List list = (List)BadIdea.class.getDeclaredField("ints").get(null);  
    list.add("Illegal Value!");  
} catch (Exception e) {  
    e.printStackTrace( );  
}  
  
System.out.println("Printing with illegal values in list...");  
printList( );  
}  
}
```

When `list` is assigned the reference of the `ints List`, it does not have the `Integer` restriction that the `ints` member variable does. As a result, adding a `String` value (like "Illegal Value!") is perfectly legal-erasure has removed any parameterization. It's only at runtime, when iterating over the list and printing it, that the problem shows up.

2.9 Using Type Wildcards

NOTE

While I'm sure plenty of folks disagree, I think production code shouldn't issue warnings.

So now you've got generic types figured out, and even understand all the unchecked warnings your code is generating. Still, here are times when you really *do* want a plain old `List`, or `Map`, or whatever, without parameterization. This is going to result in unchecked errors, unless you employ the generics wildcard.

2.9.1 How do I do that?

To illustrate the problem, here's a really simple method that prints out all the members of a `List`:

```
public void printList(List list, PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    for (Iterator i = list.iterator(); i.hasNext(); ) {
        out.println(i.next().toString());
    }
}
```

NOTE

Since writing this, later versions of the compiler don't throw warnings here. Still, it makes a good point, so I've left it in for you.

The problem is that this generates unchecked warnings, something you should avoid whenever possible. What you're really saying, though, is that `printList()` takes *any* `List`. This is where the wildcard operator comes in, which for generics is a question mark (`?`). Make the following change:

```
public void printList(List<?> list, PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    for (Iterator<?> i = list.iterator(); i.hasNext(); ) {
        out.println(i.next().toString());
    }
}
```

```

    }
}

```

You've now expressed in syntax what you meant-any type is acceptable, and the unchecked warnings go away.

2.9.2 What about...

...using `List<Object>` to get around this same problem? You might want to review [Generics and Type Conversions](#), and see if you really want to do that. A `List<Integer>` *cannot* be passed to a method that takes a `List<Object>`, remember? So your `printList()` method would be limited to collections defined as `List<Object>`, which isn't much use at all. In these cases, the wildcard really is the only viable solution.

You should also be thinking about the declaration of methods in classes like this:

```

public interface List<E> {

    public E get( );

    public void add(E value);

}

```

NOTE

You would read this as a "list of unknown".

Since you've declared the list as a `List<?>`, `get()` now returns an `Object`, which is as close to "unknown" as Java gets. At the same time, this is very different from a `List<Object>`, which can *only* work with `Objects`. Where things get even odder is for the `add()` and other methods that take a parameter that matches the type of the collection. Since the compiler cannot check to ensure type-safety, it rejects any call to `add()`, `addAll()`, and `set()` for a `List<?>`. In other words, supplying the wildcard to a generic type effectively makes it read-only.

2.10 Writing Generic Types

With an arsenal of generic terminology under your belt, you're probably wondering about writing your own generic types. I'm wondering about it, too, so I figure it's worth covering. They're actually pretty simple to write, and you've already got the tools from earlier labs.

2.10.1 How do I do that?

If you need to define some sort of collection, or container, or other custom object that deals directly with another type, generics add a ton of options to your programming toolkit. For example, [Example 2-2](#) is a basic container structure useful mostly for illustrating important generic concepts.

NOTE

You can use anything you want for the type parameter, although a single letter is most common.

Example 2-2. A basic generic type

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch02;

import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;

public class Box<T> {

    protected List<T> contents;

    public Box( ) {

        contents = new ArrayList<T>( );
    }
}
```

```
    }

    public int getSize( ) {
        return contents.size( );
    }

    public boolean isEmpty( ) {
        return (contents.size( ) == 0);
    }

    public void add(T o) {
        contents.add(o);
    }

    public T grab( ) {
        if (!isEmpty( )) {
            return contents.remove(0);
        } else
            return null;
        }
    }
```

Just as you've seen in Tiger's pre-defined generic types, a single letter is used as the representative for a type parameter.

You create a new instance of this type exactly as you might expect:

```
Box<String> box = new Box<String>( );
```

This effectively replaces all the occurrences of `T` with `String` for that specific instance, and suddenly

you've got yourself a `String Box`, so to speak.

2.10.2 What about...

...static variables? Static variables are shared between object instances, but parameterization occurs on a per-instance basis. So you could feasibly have a `Box<Integer>`, a `Box<String>`, and a `Box<List<Float>>`, all with a shared static variable. That variable, then, cannot make assumptions about the typing of any particular instance, as they may be different. It also cannot use a parameterized type-so the following is illegal:

```
private static List<T> staticList = new ArrayList<T>( );
```

You can, however, use static methods that themselves have parameterized types:

```
public static int biggest(Box<T> box1, Box<U> box2) {  
  
    int box1Size = box1.getSize( );  
  
    int box2Size = box2.getSize( );  
  
    return Math.max(box1Size, box2Size);  
  
}
```


2.11 Restricting Type Parameters

Suppose that you want a version of `Box` that only accepts numbers—and further, that based on that, you want to add some functionality that's specific to numbers. To accomplish this, you need to restrict the types that are allowed.

2.11.1 How do I do that?

This is pretty simple—you can actually insert an `extends className` onto your type variable, and voila! Check out [Example 2-3](#).

Example 2-3. Restricting the parameterization type

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch02;

import java.util.Iterator;

public class NumberBox<N extends Number> extends Box<N> {

    public NumberBox( ) {
        super( );
    }

    // Sum everything in the box
    public double sum( ) {
        double total = 0;

        for (Iterator<N> i = contents.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {
            total = total + i.next( ).doubleValue( );
        }
    }
}
```

```

    }

    return total;
}
}

```

The only types allowed here are extensions of the class `Number` (or `Number` itself). So the following statement is illegal:

```
NumberBox<String> illegal = new NumberBox<String>( );
```

The compiler indicates that the *bound* of this type is not met. The bound, of course, is the restriction put upon typing, and that's exactly what the error message indicates:

```

[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:118:
           type parameter java.lang.String is not within its bound
[javac]           NumberBox<String> illegal = new NumberBox<String>( );
[javac]                ^
[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch02\GenericsTester.java:118:
           type parameter java.lang.String is not within its bound
[javac]           NumberBox<String> illegal = new NumberBox<String>( );
[javac]                ^

```

You can use this same syntax in method definitions:

```

public static double sum(Box<? extends Number> box1,
                        Box<? extends Number> box2) {

    double total = 0;

    for (Iterator<? extends Number> i = box1.contents.iterator( );
         i.hasNext( ); ) {

        total = total + i.next( ).doubleValue( );

    }

    for (Iterator<? extends Number> i = box2.contents.iterator( );

```

```

        i.hasNext( ); ) {
            total = total + i.next( ).doubleValue( );
        }
    return total;
}

```

This starts to get a little weird, I realize, but there's the breaks. It gets worse because you have to use the wildcard indicator, and then repeat the expression (`? extends Number`) in the method body. One way to clean this up is to declare your own type variable inline (and make your syntax even odder):

```

public static <A extends Number> double sum(Box<A> box1,
                                           Box<A> box2) {
    double total = 0;
    for (Iterator<A> i = box1.contents.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {
        total = total + i.next( ).doubleValue( );
    }
    for (Iterator<A> i = box2.contents.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {
        total = total + i.next( ).doubleValue( );
    }
    return total;
}

```

The portion of the method declaration right before the return value, `<A extends Number>`, provides a typing variable which is then used throughout the method declaration and body.

2.11.2 What about...

NOTE

You can also check out the in-depth chapter on generics in Java in a Nutshell, Fifth Edition

(O'Reilly).

...the other thousand-and-one variations on this theme? I say that only somewhat tongue-in-cheek, to stress that generics are a huge topic unto themselves. In fact, I suspect that there will be at least a few books entirely on generics alone before 2004 has come to a close, and those books will cover far more than this chapter. It's worth knowing that there are ways to extend classes and implement interfaces in your type variables, there are ways to require the *superclass* of a particular object, and a whole lot more.

Of course, there are ways to juggle knives also, but some things just don't fit into a developer's notebook. You should have more than enough tools to get most of your programming tasks done, and by the time you've got all this mastered, those other books will probably be on bookshelves. So enjoy this, take a deep breath, and turn the page for more Tiger antics.

Chapter 3. Enumerated Types

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Creating an Enum](#)
- [Declaring Enums Inline](#)
- [Iterating Over Enums](#)
- [Switching on Enums](#)
- [Maps of Enums](#)
- [Sets of Enums](#)
- [Adding Methods to an Enum](#)
- [Implementing Interfaces with Enums](#)
- [Value-Specific Class Bodies](#)
- [Manually Defining an Enum](#)
- [Extending an Enum](#)

In Java 1.4 and below, there were two basic ways to define new types: through classes and interfaces. For most object-oriented programming, this would seem to be enough. The problem is that there are still some very specific cases where neither is sufficient, most commonly when you need to define a finite set of allowed values for a specific data type. For instance, you might want a type called `Grade` that can only be assigned values of `A`, `B`, `C`, `D`, `F`, or `Incomplete`. Any other values are illegal for this type. This sort of construct is possible prior to Tiger, but it takes a lot of work, and there are still some significant problems.

Since we're good developers and try our best to avoid a lot of work whenever possible, Sun finally helped us out with the new enumerated type (generally referred to simply as an *enum*). This chapter deals with enums: how to create, use, and program with them.

3.1 Creating an Enum

Creating an enumerated type involves three basic components, at a minimum:

- The `enum` keyword
- A name for the new type
- A list of allowed values for the type

There are several optional components that may be defined as well:

- An interface or set of interfaces that the enum implements
- Variable definitions
- Method definitions
- Value-specific class bodies

These optional components are detailed in the labs throughout this chapter; this lab covers the most basic concepts of enumerated types.

3.1.1 How do I do that?

[Example 3-1](#) is about as basic of an enum as you'll find, representing a simple `Grade` object.

Example 3-1. A simple enumerated type

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public enum Grade { A, B, C, D, F, INCOMPLETE };
```

NOTE

Enums allow you to dump most of your "public static final" variable declarations.

You can then define a class that refers to this enum just as it would to any other Java class or interface, as shown in [Example 3-2](#).

NOTE

More often than not, you'll only need the basic enum functionality.

NOTE

The convention is to use all capital letters for enumerated type identifiers.

NOTE

"Grade" is used just like anyother Java type.

Example 3-2. Referring to an enum in another class

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public class Student {

    private String firstName;

    private String lastName;

    private Grade grade;

    public Student(String firstName, String lastName) {

        this.firstName = firstName;

        this.lastName = lastName;

    }

}
```

```
}
```

```
public void setFirstName(String firstName) {  
    this.firstName = firstName;  
}
```

```
public String getFirstName( ) {  
    return firstName;  
}
```

```
public void setLastName(String lastName) {  
    this.lastName = lastName;  
}
```

```
public String getLastName( ) {  
    return lastName;  
}
```

```
public String getFullName( ) {  
    return new StringBuffer(firstName)  
        .append(" ")  
        .append(lastName)  
        .toString( );  
}
```

```
public void assignGrade(Grade grade) {
```



```
        this.grade = grade;
    }

    public Grade getGrade( ) {
        return grade;
    }
}
```

Pretty basic, isn't it? The final piece is actually using this code in conjunction with the enum, as shown here:

```
public void testGradeAssignment(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    Student student1 = new Student("Brett", "McLaughlin");
    Student student2 = new Student("Ben", "Rochester");
    Student student3 = new Student("Dennis", "Erwin");

    student1.assignGrade(Grade.B);
    student2.assignGrade(Grade.INCOMPLETE);
    student3.assignGrade(Grade.A);
}
```

NOTE

This code is in the `com.oreilly.tiger.ch03.GradeTester` class.

I realize that you may have expected some complex treatment of enums, but I'm not sure I can make it any harder—enums are a nice, elegant feature of the language, and really don't take much explaining—at least for basic usage.

3.1.2 What just happened?

I know there are many of you out there wondering about what goes on under the hood. Here are the highlights about how you can use enums, and their basic construction:

Enums are classes

As a result, you get type-safety, compile-time checking, and the ability to use them in variable declarations. This beats the proverbial pants off of integer constants (see [What about...](#) in this lab).

Enums extend `java.lang.Enum`

`java.lang.Enum` is a new class in Tiger, and is not itself an enumerated type. All enumerated types implicitly extend `Enum`.

Enumerated types aren't integers

Each declared value is an instance of the enum class itself; this ensures type-safety and allows for even more compile-time checking.

Enums have no public constructor

This removes the ability to create additional instances of the enum not defined at compile-time. Only those instances defined by the enum are available.

Enum values are `public`, `static`, and `final`

Values cannot be overwritten, changed, or otherwise messed with in ways that affect your programming logic. The enum itself is effectively `final`, as it cannot be subclassed (see [Extending an Enum](#)). In fact, the specification says that you are not allowed to declare an enum as `final` or `abstract`, as the compiler will take care of those details.

Enum values can be compared with `==` or `equals()`

Because enums are effectively final, and there is a distinct set of values, you can use-for comparison. Additionally, enumerated types have a working `equals()`, for use in collection classes (see [Maps of Enums](#) and [Sets of Enums](#) later in this chapter).

Enums implements `java.lang.Comparable`

As a result, enum values can be compared with `compareTo()`, and ordering occurs in the same order as values are declared in the enum declaration.

Enums override `toString()`

The `toString()` method on an enumerated type returns the name of the value. `Grade.INCOMPLETE.toString()` returns the `String` "INCOMPLETE". However, this method isn't final, and can be overridden if desired.

Enums provide a `valueOf()` method

The static `valueOf()` method complements `toString()`. `Grade.valueOf("INCOMPLETE")` returns `Grade.INCOMPLETE`.

If you change the behavior of `toString()`, you need to also change the behavior of `valueOf()`. These two methods should always be mirror images of each other.

Enums define a final instance method named `ordinal()`

`ordinal()` returns the integer position of each enumerated value, starting at zero, based on the declaration order in the enum. This isn't a method you should use in your own code, but it's used by other enum-related functionality, so is worth knowing about.

Enums define a `values()` method

`values()` allows for iteration over the values of an enum, as detailed later in this chapter in [Iterating Over Enums](#).

NOTE

"Grade" is the type; A, B, C, and so forth are the values for that type. Enum terminology is a bit confusing, so it's good to keep these straight.

NOTE

Enums are not "final" when they have value-specific methods, discussed later in the chapter.

3.1.3 What about...

...doing this in Java 1.4 (and previous releases)? At first glance, you may not see all the advantages that enums offer, especially if you're comfortable with static and final variables (essentially constants) in pre-Tiger JDKs. In fact, the `Grade` class should look an awful lot like the `OldGrade` class shown in [Example 3-3](#), which is how you might write `Grade` in a pre-Tiger environment.

Example 3-3. Writing enums in pre-Tiger JDKs

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public class OldGrade {

    public static final int A = 1;

    public static final int B = 2;

    public static final int C = 3;

    public static final int D = 4;

    public static final int F = 5;

    public static final int INCOMPLETE = 6;

}
```

However, there are a lot of problems that aren't immediately apparent. First, consider that the following line of code is legal if you are using `OldGrade`:

```
student1.assignGrade(1);
```

If you move to Tiger, though, and declare that `assignGrade()` only accepts a `Grade` enum, that same line will result in a compiler error:

```
[javac] code\src\ch03\GradeTester.java:19:
      assignGrade(com.oreilly.tiger.ch03.Grade) in
      com.oreilly.tiger.ch03.Student can not be applied to (int)
[javac]      student1.assignGrade(1);
```

NOTE

Your error may look a little different-I formatted this to be readable on the page of a book.

Using the `OldGrade` class, you aren't passing in an object of a specific type; you're just passing in an `int`, that happens (in your specific program implementation) to be associated with the variable name `OldGrade.A`. You should see the enormous ability to misuse these integer constants, because they're not strongly typed to a specific grade.

Even worse, consider using this same system (`Student` and `OldGrade`), but with another "constant" class:

```
public class OldClass {
    public static final int EnglishLit      = 1;
    public static final int Calculus        = 2;
    public static final int MusicTheory     = 3;
    public static final int MusicPerformance = 4;
}
```

Now things get even hairier, because suddenly the following code is legal:

```
student1.assignGrade(OldClass.EnglishList);
```

Some junior programmer's typo suddenly gave this student an A! Enumerated types may seem like a minor convenience, but they turn out to be a major step forward for Java. Use them often, and let the compiler catch your mistakes, rather than a late-night debugging session.



3.2 Declaring Enums Inline

While it's useful to create a separate enum class, defined in its own source file, sometimes it's also useful to just define an enum, use it, and throw it away. This is possible through member types.

3.2.1 How do I do that?

Just define the enum within your class, as you would any other member variable. You might need a `DownloadStatus` enum, for example, but only within a `Downloader` class:

```
public class Downloader {  
  
    public enum DownloadStatus { INITIALIZING, IN_PROGRESS, COMPLETE };  
  
    // Class body  
}
```

Oddly enough, this same code may be written as follows:

```
public class Downloader {  
  
    public static enum DownloadStatus { INITIALIZING, IN_PROGRESS, COMPLETE };  
  
    // Class body  
}
```

In this case, the `static` modifier has been added. This has no effective change on the enum, as nested enums are implicitly `static`. In other words, it's sort of like declaring an interface `abstract`-it's redundant. Because of this redundancy, I'd recommend *against* using the `static` keyword in these declarations.

NOTE

Examples in the enum specification also omit "static" in nested declarations.



3.3 Iterating Over Enums

Ever been given a class with lousy documentation, no source code, and little instruction on its use? Welcome to the loosely knit organization of real-world programmers. In many cases, you can resort to reflection to figure out what a class has to offer in lieu of source code, and of course JavaDoc is always helpful. In the case of enumerated types, though, there's a nice built-in feature: the `values()` method. This method provides access to all of the types within an enum.

3.3.1 How do I do that?

Invoking the `values()` method on an enum returns an array of all the values in the type:

```
public void listGradeValues(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    Grade[] gradeValues = Grade.values();
    for (Grade g : Grade.values()) {
        out.println("Allowed value: " + g + "");
    }
}
```

This is a nice way to get a quick dump of all the allowed values for a particular enum:

```
run-ch03:
[echo] Running Chapter 3 examples from Java 1.5: A Developer's Notebook

[echo] Running GradeTester...

[java] Allowed value: 'A'

[java] Allowed value: 'B'
```

```
[java] Allowed value: 'C'  
[java] Allowed value: 'D'  
[java] Allowed value: 'F'  
[java] Allowed value: 'INCOMPLETE'
```

NOTE

Run this sample with the Ant target "run-ch03".

3.3.2 What just happened?

First, note that type-safety is employed. `values()` doesn't return an array of `String` values-instead it returns an array of `Grade` instances. In the `out.println()` statement, each `Grade` has its `toString()` method executed, which in turn *does* provide a `String` name for the value. At no point are you working with integer constants or even `String` values-the `Grade` object hides all these implementation details from you, and allows strict compile-time checking.

3.3.3 What about...

...using a `for/in` loop? Well, you're ahead of me-`for/in` isn't covered until [Chapter 7](#). Still, for those of you who are curious, you can indeed perform the same iteration with Tiger's new `for/in` capabilities:

```
// for/in loop  
  
for (Grade g : grade.values( )) {  
    out.println("Allowed value: '" + g + "'");  
}
```

3.4 Switching on Enums

As you begin to integrate enums into your own programs, one of the first tasks you'll want to accomplish is using an enum with a `switch` statement. This is a pretty obvious application; there's little value in using enums if you can't easily react to the set of values available.

3.4.1 How do I do that?

Prior to Java 1.4, `switch` only worked with `int`, `short`, `char`, and `byte` values. However, since enums have a finite set of values, Tiger adds `switch` support for them. Here's an example of using an enum in a `switch` statement:

```
public void testSwitchStatement(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
    StringBuffer outputText = new StringBuffer(student1.getFullName( ));  
  
    switch (student1.getGrade( )) {  
        case A:  
            outputText.append(" excelled with a grade of A");  
            break;  
        case B: // fall through to C  
        case C:  
            outputText.append(" passed with a grade of ")  
                .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));  
            break;  
        case D: // fall through to F  
        case F:  
            outputText.append(" failed with a grade of ")  
                .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));  
    }  
}
```

```
        break;

    case INCOMPLETE:

        outputText.append(" did not complete the class.");

        break;
}

out.println(outputText.toString( ));
}
```

NOTE

This code assumes that student1 has already been created; this is taken care of in the test class, "GradeTester".

The argument to `switch` must be an enumerated value; in this case, the return type of `getGrade()` is `Grade`, which meets these requirements. However, there is another requirement that makes this code a little odd--did you catch it? Note the format of each `case` clause:

```
case A:

case B:

case C:

case D:

case F:

case INCOMPLETE:
```

See anything missing? How about the enum class identifier:

```
case Grade.A:  
  
case Grade.B:  
  
case Grade.C:  
  
case Grade.D:  
  
case Grade.F:  
  
case Grade.INCOMPLETE:
```

For those of you up on Tiger, this may make you think about the `import static` feature of the language, which I cover in [Chapter 8](#). However, the two have no relation (except perhaps on an implementation level)-Tiger simply *requires* that you not preface each enumerated type with the enum class name. In fact, it's a compilation error if you do! Sort of a nice convenience function, I think.

There's another issue you should be careful about-not handling every enumerated type. In the following version of the `switch`, I've left out handling of `Grade.D`:

```
switch (student1.getGrade( )) {  
  
    case A:  
  
        outputText.append(" excelled with a grade of A");  
  
        break;  
  
    case B: // fall through to C  
  
    case C:  
  
        outputText.append(" passed with a grade of ")  
            .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));  
  
        break;  
  
    case F:  
  
        outputText.append(" failed with a grade of ")  
            .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));  
  
        break;
```

```

    case INCOMPLETE:

        outputText.append(" did not complete the class.");

        break;
}

```

NOTE

You can compile the book's code with warnings turned on with "ant checkcompile". All "-Xlint" warnings will be displayed.

It's not completely clear as to if the compiler will be *required* to issue a warning if all types aren't handled; however, it is clear that this is bad coding. You need to be sure that every possible enumerated type is handled, or get ready for some late-night debugging sessions.

As of this writing, the Tiger compiler did *not* issue a `-Xlint` warning in this situation.

3.4.2 What just happened?

The handling of an enumerated type by the compiler is a little different than the handling of an integral type. That difference stems from enum values not being compile-time constants; in other words, your code is not turned into the following at compile-time:

```

switch (student1.getGrade( )) {

    case 0:

        outputText.append(" excelled with a grade of A");

        break;

    case 1: // fall through to C

    case 2:

        outputText.append(" passed with a grade of ")

            .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));
}

```

```

        break;

    case 3: // fall through to F

    case 4:

        outputText.append(" failed with a grade of ")

            .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));

        break;

    case 5:

        outputText.append(" did not complete the class.");

        break;

}

```

Instead, assuming that the enum and `switch` statement exist in the same compilation unit, a *jump table* is created, relating each enumerated type to the value of `ordinal()`, invoked on each type. That results in nearly the same performance as the inlining shown above; the ordinal values aren't inserted into the code, but the compiler can look them up in the jump table extremely quickly. If the enum is changed and recompiled, the jump table is updated, and there's no problem.

More often than not, though, the `switch` and enum are *not* in the same compilation unit, and this is not possible. In these cases, most compilers turn the `switch` statement into a series of `if/else` statements:

```

Grade tmp = student1.getGrade( );

if (tmp == Grade.A)

    outputText.append(" excelled with a grade of A");

else if ((tmp == Grade.B) || (tmp == Grade.C))

    outputText.append(" passed with a grade of ")

        .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));

else if ((tmp == Grade.D) || (tmp == Grade.F))

    outputText.append(" failed with a grade of ")

        .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));

```

```

else if (tmp == Grade.INCOMPLETE)

    outputText.append(" did not complete the class.");

```

This isn't efficient as a jump table, but this ensures that if the enum is changed in one compilation unit, the `switch` statement (in a different unit) continues to function properly. It also removes the need to worry about reordering of an enum, which would affect a jump table.

3.4.3 What about...

...using the `default` keyword? It's perfectly legal, and in fact strongly recommended. Since enum is a new type in Java, it would be easy for someone to come along and add a new type to your enum without you knowing about it:

```

public enum Grade { A, B, C, D, F, INCOMPLETE,

                    WITHDREW_PASSING, WITHDREW_FAILING };

```

NOTE

"default" is best used in this way, to catch unexpected values-it's generally good programming practice to specifically handle every known value, though, as that makes your code much clearer. "default" should be for handling unknown conditions, and not used as a catch-all.

Now, your `switch` code will fail because it doesn't account for all the possible `Grade` values. It's better to plan for this with a little more robust code:

```

switch (student1.getGrade( )) {

    case A:

        outputText.append(" excelled with a grade of A");

        break;

    case B: // fall through to C

```



```
case C:

    outputText.append(" passed with a grade of ")

                .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));

    break;

case D: // fall through to F

case F:

    outputText.append(" failed with a grade of ")

                .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));

    break;

case INCOMPLETE:

    outputText.append(" did not complete the class.");

    break;

default:

    outputText.append(" has a grade of ")

                .append(student1.getGrade( ).toString( ));

}
```

An even better idea would be to throw some sort of error on an unexpected type--this will ensure that you and other programmers realize that something is out of sync:

```
default: throw new AssertionError("Unexpected enumerated value!");
```

3.5 Maps of Enums

Once you've gotten your fingers used to typing `public enum`, you'll start to find all sorts of interesting uses for enums. Once you've gotten past the very basic constant-replacement, you'll start to see that they also serve as great keys, or indices, in collection-type structures. Apparently the Sun guys thought the same thing, and provided a nice facility for working with enums as indices.

3.5.1 How do I do that?

In the old, archaic, pre-Tiger days (snicker, snicker), you might have used a constants class like `OldAntStatus` (you'll remember a similar class from [Example 3-3](#)):

```
public class OldAntStatus {  
  
    public static final int INITIALIZING = 0;  
  
    public static final int COMPILING    = 1;  
  
    public static final int COPYING      = 2;  
  
    public static final int JARRING      = 3;  
  
    public static final int ZIPPING      = 4;  
  
    public static final int DONE        = 5;  
  
    public static final int ERROR        = 6;  
  
}
```

You might then write a simple array of messages that are associated with each of these status codes

```
String[] antMessages = new String[] {  
  
    "Initalizing Ant...", // INITIALIZING  
  
    "Compiling Java classes...", // COMPILING
```

```

    "Copying files...",          // COPYING
    "JARring up files...",      // JARRING
    "ZIPping up files...",      // ZIPPING
    "Build complete.",          // DONE
    "Error occurred."           // ERROR
}

```

You can then access the appropriate message using the constant:

```

int antStatus = antProcess.getStatus( );

out.println("ant> " + antMessages[antStatus]);

```

It's a pretty valid desire to want to accomplish the same sorts of tasks with enumerated types, and get all the benefits of enums along the way. You'll need to use the `java.util.EnumMap` class to accomplish this, which is a new collection type just perfect for the job. First, you need to define the enum you want to use for a keyset, as shown in [Example 3-4](#).

Example 3-4. An enum to use for a keyset

```

package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public enum AntStatus {

    INITIALIZING,

    COMPILING,

    COPYING,

    JARRING,

    ZIPPING,
}

```

```
DONE ,  
  
ERROR  
  
}
```

You can now create a new `EnumMap`, in conjunction with generics declare the enumerated type you want to use for the key, and the class type you want to use for the value:

```
EnumMap<AntStatus, String> antMessages;
```

Then, when creating a new instance, you pass the `EnumMap` the `Class` object for the enum used for the keyset:

```
EnumMap<AntStatus, String> antMessages =  
  
    EnumMap<AntStatus, String>(AntStatus.class);
```

This should further emphasize the fact that enums are really just Java classes that the compiler handles specially. This is discussed in more detail in [Creating an Enum](#) lab.

NOTE

Remember to declare the types being used, key and value, in both the variable declaration and the variable instantiation.

Once the initialization is taken care of, things get very simple. You just seed the values, and use them:

```
public void testEnumMap(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
  
    // Create a map with the key and a String message
```

```
EnumMap<AntStatus, String> antMessages =  
    new EnumMap<AntStatus, String>(AntStatus.class);  
  
// Initialize the map  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.INITIALIZING, "Initializing Ant...");  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.COMPILING, "Compiling Java classes...");  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.COPYING, "Copying files...");  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.JARRING, "JARring up files...");  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.ZIPPING, "ZIPping up files...");  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.DONE, "Build complete.");  
antMessages.put(AntStatus.ERROR, "Error occurred.");  
  
// Iterate and print messages  
for (AntStatus status : AntStatus.values( )) {  
    out.println("For status " + status + ", message is: " +  
        antMessages.get(status));  
}  
}
```

NOTE

This code is in AntStatusTester.java.

Running this code nicely prints out all the status codes, and the associated message with each:

```
[echo] Running AntStatusTester...
```

```
[java] For status INITIALIZING, message is: Initializing Ant...
```

```
[java] For status COMPILING, message is: Compiling Java classes...  
[java] For status COPYING, message is: Copying files...  
[java] For status JARRING, message is: JARring up files...  
[java] For status ZIPPING, message is: ZIPping up files...  
[java] For status DONE, message is: Build complete.  
[java] For status ERROR, message is: Error occurred.
```

Of course, as an added benefit, `EnumMap` protects you from mis-ordering when initializing values, reordering in the enumerated type, and just about any other strange situation that can arise from more than one person working on code at the same time.



3.6 Sets of Enums

Another common usage of constants is to represent a feature set of a particular item, such as a car, or even something really exciting, like a guitar. You could then use bitwise operators to compare or check for specific features. As in the lab on [Maps of Enums](#), the Java language folks realized this, and added another collection class for the purpose, `java.util.EnumSet`.

3.6.1 How do I do that?

First, examine the way this scenario might be handled in pre-Tiger days. [Example 3-5](#) is a simple class that defines some common guitar features.

Example 3-5. Guitar feature set

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public class OldGuitarFeatures {

    public static final int ROSEWOOD      = 0x01; // back/sides
    public static final int MAHOGANY     = 0x02; // back/sides
    public static final int ZIRICOTE     = 0x04; // back/sides

    public static final int SPRUCE       = 0x10; // top
    public static final int CEDAR        = 0x12; // top

    public static final int AB_ROSETTE   = 0x30; // abalone rosette
    public static final int AB_TOP_BORDER = 0x32; // abalone top border
```

```
public static final int IL_DIAMONDS    = 0x40; // diamond/square inlay
public static final int IL_DOTS       = 0x42; // dots inlays

}
```

These are all features, and are all represented by powers of two. That allows them to be combined like this:

```
int bourgeoisD150 = OldGuitarFeatures.ROSEWOOD |
                   OldGuitarFeatures.SPRUCE |
                   OldGuitarFeatures.AB_ROSETTE |
                   OldGuitarFeatures.IL_DIAMONDS;
```

With this initial work done (you could represent every guitar in a line this way), you can test a specific guitar for a specific features, using the bitwise AND operator:

```
boolean hasAbRosette = (bourgeoisD150 & OldGuitarFeatures.IL_DIAMONDS) != 0;
```

Looking at the constants in `OldGuitarFeatures`, you should see that they are just another case of an enumerated type, and could be represented in Tiger as shown in [Example 3-6](#).

Example 3-6. Representing guitar features in Tiger

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;
```



```
public enum GuitarFeatures {  
  
    ROSEWOOD, // back/sides  
  
    MAHOGANY, // back/sides  
  
    ZIRICOTE, // back/sides  
  
  
    SPRUCE, // top  
  
    CEDAR, // top  
  
  
    AB_ROSETTE, // abalone rosette  
  
    AB_TOP_BORDER, // abalone top border  
  
  
    IL_DIAMONDS, // diamond/square inlay  
  
    IL_DOTS // dots inlays  
  
}
```

NOTE

Be sure and continue to compile with the "-source 1.5" switch. Using the provided Ant scripts takes care of this, by the way.

However, operating on these constants with bitwise operators isn't possible, at least without the help of a new class, `java.util.EnumSet`. Here are the methods of this class you should be concerned with, most of which are factories:

```
// Returns a new EnumSet with all elements from the supplied type  
  
public static EnumSet allOf(Class elementType);  
  
  
  
// Returns a new EnumSet of the same type as the supplied set, but
```

```
// with all the values not in the supplied set; a mirror image
public static EnumSet complementOf(EnumSet e);

// Returns a new EnumSet from the provided collection
public static EnumSet copyOf(Collection c);

// Returns a new EnumSet with no values in it
public static EnumSet noneOf(Class elementType);

// Various methods to create an EnumSet with the supplied elements in it
public static EnumSet of(E e[, E e2, E e3, E e4, E e5]);

//Varargs version
public static EnumSet of(E... e);

// Creates an EnumSet with a range of values
public static EnumSet range(E from, E to);

// returns a copy of the current set - not a factory method
public EnumSet clone( );
```

You may have to look at this for a minute to get the sense of things-the format is rather odd. But, to create a new `EnumSet` of all guitar features, just use the following:

```
EnumSet allFeatures = EnumSet.allOf(GuitarFeatures);
```

Simple enough, right? If you want only the back and side woods, you could use this notation:

```
EnumSet backSides = EnumSet.of(GuitarFeatures.ROSEWOOD,  
                                GuitarFeatures.MAHOGANY,  
                                GuitarFeatures.ZIRICOTE);
```

You could also use the `range()` operator:

```
EnumSet backSides = EnumSet.range(GuitarFeatures.ROSEWOOD,  
                                   GuitarFeatures.ZIRICOTE);
```

This is really a bad idea-reordering of the enum screws this up, and you can never really completely insulate yourself from that possibility. Always use `of()` instead of `range()`, if at all possible.

`complementOf()` is also a handy method:

```
EnumSet noAbalone = EnumSet.complementOf(  
    EnumSet.of(GuitarFeatures.AB_ROSETTE, GuitarFeatures.AB_TOP_BORDER));
```

With your setup done, you can just use the `contains()` method, available to all collection classes, to check for a value or values:

```
EnumSet bourgeoisD150 = EnumSet.of(GuitarFeatures.ROSEWOOD,  
                                    GuitarFeatures.SPRUCE,
```

```
GuitarFeatures.AB_ROSETTE,  
GuitarFeatures.IL_DIAMONDS);
```

```
boolean hasAbRosette = bourgeoisD150.contains(GuitarFeatures.AB_ROSETTE);
```

NOTE

You can't use varargs to work with the `of()` method, due to the usage of generic types in the factory method. Variable arguments are detailed in [Chapter 5](#).



3.7 Adding Methods to an Enum

Those of you paying attention should have noticed something pretty important that I said earlier in this chapter in [Creating an Enum](#) lab—that enums are just Java classes. They have some special behavior that you get for free, but ultimately they are indeed compiled classes. What's important about this is that it implies that you can do some pretty cool things with enums beyond the basics you've seen so far—such as adding methods to the enum. This is a great way to make your enum even more valuable to application programmers.

3.7.1 How do I do that?

Adding methods to an enum works just like adding methods to a normal Java class. [Example 3-7](#) is a beefed-up version of `GuitarFeatures` that adds several new methods.

Example 3-7. Adding methods to an enum

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public enum GuitarFeatures {

    ROSEWOOD(0),          // back/sides

    MAHOGANY(0),          // back/sides

    ZIRICOTE(300),        // back/sides

    SPRUCE(0),            // top

    CEDAR(0),             // top

    AB_ROSETTE(75),       // abalone rosette

    AB_TOP_BORDER(400),   // abalone top border
```

```
IL_DIAMONDS(150),    // diamond/square inlay
IL_DOTS(0);         // dots inlays

/** The upcharge for the feature */
private float upcharge;

GuitarFeatures(float upcharge) {
    this.upcharge = upcharge;
}

public float getUpcharge( ) {
    return upcharge;
}

public String getDescription( ) {
    switch(this) {
        case ROSEWOOD:      return "Rosewood back and sides";
        case MAHOGANY:      return "Mahogany back and sides";
        case ZIRICOTE:      return "Ziricote back and sides";
        case SPRUCE:        return "Sitka Spruce top";
        case CEDAR:         return "Wester Red Cedar top";
        case AB_ROSETTE:    return "Abalone rosette";
        case AB_TOP_BORDER: return "Abalone top border";
        case IL_DIAMONDS:
    }
```

```
        return "Diamonds and squares fretboard inlay";

    case IL_DOTS:

        return "Small dots fretboard inlay";

    default: return "Unknown feature";

}

}

}
```

There are quite a few things here that you'll need to take note of. First, the class now has a constructor that takes in a `float` parameter for the upcharge of each feature. As a result, each enumerated type now passes in a parameter to the constructor:

```
ROSEWOOD(0),          // back/sides

MAHOGANY(0),          // back/sides

ZIRICOTE(300),        // back/sides

SPRUCE(0),            // top

CEDAR(0),              // top

AB_ROSETTE(75),       // abalone rosette

AB_TOP_BORDER(400),   // abalone top border


IL_DIAMONDS(150),     // diamond/square inlay

IL_DOTS(0);           // dots inlays
```

This looks a little odd in the code, but opens up a world of possibilities for information to be passed in for each value. You should also notice that the final value is followed by a semicolon. This denotes the

end of the values section, and is required.

Then, variables are declared, and methods appear, just like any other class. In the example, `getUpcharge()` returns the value supplied to the constructor, and `getDescription()` supplies a human-readable version of the feature. You'll see that `switch` is used, as described in [Switching on Enums](#), and this makes the method body simple to read and understand.



You cannot put your variable declarations before the enumerated values. The following code, for example, won't compile:

```
public enum GuitarFeatures {
    private float upcharge;

    ROSEWOOD,
    MAHOGANY, // etc.
}
```

All declarations must follow the enumerated type declarations.

3.7.2 What about...

...limiting access to the enum constructor? Enum constructors are implicitly private, so this is taken care of for you. In some programming techniques, such as singletons, access modifiers are placed in front of the constructor so that it can't be directly accessed:

```
public enum GuitarFeatures {

    ROSEWOOD(0),          // back/sides
    MAHOGANY(0),          // back/sides
    ZIRICOTE(300),        // back/sides

    SPRUCE(0),            // top
```



```
CEDAR(0),          // top

AB_ROSETTE(75),    // abalone rosette

AB_TOP_BORDER(400), // abalone top border

IL_DIAMONDS(150),  // diamond/square inlay

IL_DOTS(0);        // dots inlays

/** The upcharge for the feature */

private float upcharge;

private GuitarFeatures(float upcharge) {

    this.upcharge = upcharge;

}

// Other method bodies

}
```

This compiles, but it just explicitly does what the compiler takes care of for you-making the constructor private. However, you *cannot* supply the standard `public` modifier:

```
public GuitarFeatures(float upcharge) {

    this.upcharge = upcharge;

}
```

If you try this, you'll get a compiler error:

```
[javac] src\ch03\GuitarFeatures.java:21:
           modifier public not allowed here
[javac]   public GuitarFeatures(float upcharge) {
[javac]           ^
```

So leave *all* modifiers off of enum constructors.



3.8 Implementing Interfaces with Enums

Now that you're starting to add methods to your enums (see the previous lab on [Adding Methods to an Enum](#) for details if you're skipping around), you may find that you want to define methods in an interface, and implement that interface with one, two, or even more enums. You may also want to have an enum implement an interface, and have classes implement that same interface. All this is possible, and even quite simple.

3.8.1 How do I do that?

[Example 3-8](#) is a very simple interface that could describe enumerated types that represent features on all sorts of instruments (not just guitars).

Example 3-8. Base interface for feature enums

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public interface Features {

    /** Get the upcharge for this feature */
    public float getUpcharge( );

    /** Get the description for this feature */
    public String getDescription( );

}
```

It's trivial to make `GuitarFeatures` implement this interface, as the methods are already written:

```
public enum GuitarFeatures implements Features {
```

Now you can create `BanjoFeatures`, `MandolinFeatures`, and more, all using the same interface as a starting point. This creates a nice sense of uniformity among your enums, and is highly recommended.



3.9 Value-Specific Class Bodies

In covering the more advanced features of enums, I can't leave out the ability to define *value-specific class bodies*. That sounds sort of fancy, but all it means is that each enumerated value within a type can define value-specific methods. This is a rather obscure bit of functionality, but sort of cool to talk about around the water cooler.

3.9.1 How do I do that?

[Example 3-9](#) is an example of a class that determines how the `perform()` method is executed based on the enumerated value. It's a perfect example of value-specific class bodies.

Example 3-9. Value-specific class bodies in an enum

```
// These are the opcodes that our stack machine can execute.
enum Opcode {

    // Push the single operand onto the stack
    PUSH(1) {
        public void perform(StackMachine machine, int[] operands) {
            machine.push(operands[0]);
        }
    }, // Remember to separate enum values with commas

    // Add the top two values on the stack and put the result
    ADD(0) {
        public void perform(StackMachine machine, int[] operands) {
            machine.push(machine.pop( ) + machine.pop( ));
        }
    }
}
```

```
    }  
},  
  
/* Other opcode values have been omitted for brevity */  
  
// Branch if Equal to Zero  
BEZ(1) {  
    public void perform(StackMachine machine, int[] operands) {  
        if (machine.pop( ) == 0) machine.setPC(operands[0]);  
    }  
}; // Remember the required semicolon after last enum value  
  
// This is the constructor for the type.  
Opcode(int numOperands) { this.numOperands = numOperands; }  
  
int numOperands; // how many integer operands does it expect?  
  
// Each opcode constant must implement this abstract method in a  
// value-specific class body to perform the operation it represents.  
public abstract void perform(StackMachine machine, int[] operands);  
}
```

NOTE

This example is lifted straight out of Java in a Nutshell, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly).

Skipping past the individual types (which you should already understand), the method that each value should implement is defined: `perform()`, which takes two arguments. Finally, each value is followed by an opening curly brace, one or more value-specific methods, and then a closing curly brace. This works in conjunction with any constructor that must be supplied a value, as this enum has. The end result, frankly, is one of the oddest looking Java constructs you'll ever see.

3.9.2 What just happened?

In the lab on [Creating an Enum](#), I mentioned that enumerated type values are created and marked as `final` (in addition to being `public` and `static`), ensuring that they aren't changed by some malicious or unknowing programmer. In the case of a value-specific class body, though, this isn't possible. Instead, an anonymous subclass of the type is created, and the value becomes a singleton instance of that subclass. This still ensures that multiple instances of the same value aren't floating around, but it does change what's going on at the compiler level a bit. Despite this, you still can't extend an enum (see [Extending an Enum](#) for more details).

NOTE

I suppose you could really clutter things up with generics and varargs, but you get the idea... value-specific class bodies are often a pain to debug for even mid-level programmers, because of their unusual syntax.

3.9.3 What about...

...just using a more generic method that determines what to do based on a `switch` statement? Well, that's a better idea, to be honest. Here's the (much cleaner) way to write `OpCode`:

```
// These are the the opcodes that our stack machine can execute.
abstract static enum OpCode {
    PUSH(1),
    ADD(0),
    BEZ(1); // Remember the required semicolon after last enum value

    int numOperands;

    OpCode(int numOperands) { this.numOperands = numOperands; }
```

```
public void perform(StackMachine machine, int[] operands) {  
    switch(this) {  
        case PUSH: machine.push(operands[0]); break;  
        case ADD: machine.push(machine.pop( ) + machine.pop( )); break;  
        case BEZ: if (machine.pop( ) == 0) machine.setPC(operands[0]); break;  
        default: throw new AssertionError( );  
    }  
}  
}
```

This is so painfully simpler than the first version of `OpCode` that I hesitated to even include this lab-but for completeness, here it is. If at all possible, though, consider using `switch` in your method bodies to direct program flow, rather than value-specific class bodies.

3.10 Manually Defining an Enum

You'll recall from the first lab, [Creating an Enum](#), that all enums implicitly extend the new `java.lang.Enum` class. This class looks a bit like [Example 3-10](#); I've trimmed the method implementations and just left the declarations in for clarity.

Example 3-10. The `java.lang.Enum` class

```
package java.lang;

public class Enum<E extends Enum<E>> implements Comparable<E>, Serializable {

    protected Enum(String name, int ordinal);

    protected Object clone( );

    public int compareTo(E o);

    public boolean equals(Object other);

    public Class<E> getDeclaringClass( );

    public int hashCode( );

    public String name( );

    public int ordinal( );

    public String toString( );

    public static <T extends Enum<T>> T valueOf(Class<T> enumType, String name);
}
```

NOTE

This code listing is extracted from the Java 1.5 JavaDoc. Source code isn't available as of the time of this writing.

If you're a bit of a hack, that may get your mind wandering...couldn't I just manually define my own enum, then? Good question.

3.10.1 How do I do that?

You don't-at least, not in Tiger. While this is very much an accessible class, and is indeed the base class of all enumerated types in Tiger, the compiler won't let you extend it, as [Example 3-11](#) tries to do.

Example 3-11. Attempting to extend java.lang.Enum

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public class ExtendedEnum extends Enum {
}

```

Attempting to compile this class give you the following error:

```
[javac] src\ch03\ExtendedEnum.java:3:
           classes cannot directly extend java.lang.Enum
[javac] public class ExtendedEnum extends Enum {
[javac]           ^

```

3.11 Extending an Enum

It's often easy to define a hierarchy of enumerations. In this scenario, one enum represents a base type of allowed values. Subclasses of that enum would add additional values to the base enum, perhaps specialized to a certain task.

3.11.1 How do I do that?

Here's another one of those pesky, "You don't" labs. Tiger does not allow extension of an enum. For example, consider [Example 3-12](#), a simple extension of the `Grade` enum defined back in [Example 3-1](#).

Example 3-12. Extending the `Grade` enum

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;

public enum CollegeGrade extends Grade { DROP_PASSING, DROP_FAILING }
```

In theory, this would take the values `Grade.A`, `Grade.B`, and so forth, and add to them two new values, `CollegeGrade.DROP_PASSING` and `CollegeGrade.DROP_FAILING`. However, you'll get compilation errors if you try this:

```
compile-ch03-errors:

    [echo] Compiling all Java files...

    [javac] Compiling 13 source files to classes

    [javac] src\ch03\CollegeGrade.java:3: '{' expected

    [javac] public enum CollegeGrade extends Grade {DROP_PASSING, DROP_
    FAILING}
```

```
[javac] ^
[javac] src\ch03\CollegeGrade.java:3: <identifier> expected
[javac] public enum CollegeGrade extends Grade {DROP_PASSING, DROP_
FAILING}
[javac]
[javac] ^
[javac] 2 errors
```

NOTE

Use the Ant target "compilech03- errors" to try and compile the CollegeGrade. java source file.

3.11.2 What about...

...using a class to extend an enum, instead of another enum? That doesn't work either. There's just no getting around this limitation, at least that I've been able to find..

NOTE

If you find a sneaky way to extend an enum, let us know! We'll add it to the next edition.

Chapter 4. Autoboxing and Unboxing

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Converting Primitives to Wrapper Types](#)
- [Converting Wrapper Types to Primitives](#)
- [Incrementing and Decrementing Wrapper Types](#)
- [Boolean Versus boolean](#)
- [Conditionals and Unboxing](#)
- [Control Statements and Unboxing](#)
- [Method Resolution Overload](#)

When you begin to study Java, one of the first lessons is always about objects. In fact, you could say that `java.lang.Object` is the very cornerstone of Java. Practically 99% of everything you do in the language revolves around that class, or one of its subclasses. It's the 1% of the time, though, that can be a pain-when you suddenly find yourself having to convert between your objects and Java primitives.

Primitives in Java are your `ints`, `shorts`, `chars`, and so on-types that aren't objects at all. As a result, Java has *wrapper classes*, such as `Integer`, `Short`, and `Character`, which are object versions of the primitive types. Where things get annoying is when you have to go back and forth between the two-converting a primitive to its wrapper, using it, then converting the object's value back to a primitive. Suddenly, methods such as `intValue()` begin to litter your code.

Happily, Tiger finally takes care of this issue, at least as much as can be expected without tossing out primitives completely. This is handled through two new conversion features: boxing and unboxing. And, just to add some more words to the English language, it does these conversions automatically, so we now talk about autoboxing and auto-unboxing.

4.1 Converting Primitives to Wrapper Types

Literal values in Java are always primitives. The number `0`, for example, is an `int`, and must be converted to an object through code like this:

```
Integer i = new Integer(0);
```

This is pretty silly, for obvious reasons, and Tiger removes the need for such nonsense through boxing.

4.1.1 How do I do that?

You can now dispense with the manual conversions, and let the Java virtual machine (VM) handle conversion of primitives to objectwrapper types:

```
Integer i = 0;
```

In the background, Java handles taking this primitive and turning it into a wrapper type. The same conversion happens with explicit primitive types:

```
int foo = 0;  
Integer integer = foo;
```

If you're not completely convinced of the value of this, try typing these statements into a pre-Tiger compiler, and watch in amazement as you get some rather ridiculous errors:

NOTE

The "compile-1.4" target compiles the examples from this chapter with the "-source 1.4" switch.

```
compile-1.4:
```

```
[echo] Compiling all Java files...
```

```
[javac] Compiling 1 source file to classes
```

```
[javac] src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch04\ConversionTester.java:6: incompatible
```

```
types
```

```

[javac] found      : int

[javac] required: java.lang.Integer

[javac]      Integer i = 0;

[javac]      ^

[javac] src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch04\ConversionTester.java:9: incompatible
types

[javac] found      : int

[javac] required: java.lang.Integer

[javac]      Integer integer = foo;

[javac]      ^

[javac] 2 errors

```

These errors "magically" disappear in Tiger when using the `-source 1.5` switch.

4.1.2 What just happened?

Behind the scenes, these primitive values are *boxed*. Boxing refers to the conversion from a primitive to its corresponding wrapper type: `Boolean`, `Byte`, `Short`, `Character`, `Integer`, `Long`, `Float`, or `Double`. Because this happens automatically, it's generally referred to as *autoboxing*.

It's also common for Java to perform a widening conversion in addition to boxing a value:

```
Number n = 0.0f;
```

Here, the literal is boxed into a `Float`, and then widened into a `Number`.

NOTE

It's possible to specifically request a boxing conversion—that's basically a new form of casting. It's just easier to let the VM handle it, though.

Additionally, the Java specification indicates that certain primitives are always to be boxed into the same immutable wrapper objects. These objects are then cached and reused, with the expectation that these are commonly used objects. These special values are the `boolean` values `true` and `false`, all `byte` values, short and `int` values between -128 and 127, and any `char` in the range `\u0000` to

\u007F. As this all happens behind the scenes, it's more of an implementation detail than something you need to worry much about.



4.2 Converting Wrapper Types to Primitives

Just as Tiger converts primitives to wrapper types as needed, the reverse is also true. Like boxing, *unboxing* involves little effort on the part of the programmer.

4.2.1 How do I do that?

Here's some more simple code that does both boxing and unboxing, all without any special instruction:

```
// Boxing
int foo = 0;
Integer integer = foo;

// Simple Unboxing
int bar = integer;

Integer counter = 1;    // boxing
int counter2 = counter; // unboxing
```

Pretty simple, isn't it?

4.2.2 What about...

...`null` value assignment? Since `null` is a legal value for an object, and therefore any wrapper type, the following code is legal:

```
Integer i = null;
int j = i;
```

`i` is assigned `null` (which is legal), and then `i` is unboxed into `j`. However, `null` isn't a legal value for a primitive, so this code throws a `NullPointerException`.



4.3 Incrementing and Decrementing Wrapper Types

When you begin to think about the implications of boxing and unboxing, you'll realize that they are far-reaching. Suddenly, every operation available to a primitive should be available to its wrapper-type counterpart, and vice versa. One of the immediate applications is the increment and decrement operations: `++` and `--`. Both of these operations now work for wrapper types.

4.3.1 How do I do that?

Well, without much work, actually:

```
Integer counter = 1;

while (true) {

    System.out.printf("Iteration %d%n", counter++);

    if (counter > 1000) break;

}
```

The variable `counter` is treated just as an `int` in this code.

4.3.2 What just happened?

It's worth noting that more happened here than perhaps meets the eye. Take this simple portion of the example code:

```
counter++
```

Remember that `counter` is an `Integer`. So the value in `counter` was first auto-unboxed into an `int`, as that's the type required for the `++` operator.

This is actually an important point—the `++` operator has *not* been changed to work with object wrapper types—it's only through auto-unboxing that this code works.

Once the value is unboxed, it is incremented. Then, the new value has to be stored back in `counter`, which requires a boxing operation. All this in a fraction of a second!

You might also notice that the `Integer` value of `counter` was compared to the literal, and therefore primitive, value `1000`. This is just another example of autounboxing at work.



4.4 Boolean Versus boolean

The `boolean` type is a little bit of a special case for Java primitives, mostly because it has several logical operators associated with it, such as `!` (not), `||` (or), and `&&` (and). With unboxing, these are now useful for `Boolean` values as well.

4.4.1 How do I do that?

Any time you have an expression that uses `!`, `||`, or `&&`, any `Boolean` values are unboxed to `boolean` primitive values, and evaluated accordingly:

```
Boolean case1 = true;
Boolean case2 = true;
boolean case3 = false;

Boolean result = (case1 || case2) && case3;
```

In this case, the result of the expression, a `boolean`, is boxed into the `result` variable.

NOTE

For inquiring minds, primitives are boxed up to wrapper types in equality comparisons. For operators such as `<`, `>=`, and so forth, the wrapper types are unboxed to primitive types.

4.4.2 What about...

...direct object comparison? Object comparison works as it always has:

```
Integer i1 = 256;
```

```
Integer i2 = 256;

if (i1 == i2) System.out.println("Equal!");

else System.out.println("Not equal!");
```

The result of running this code, at least in my JVM, is the text "Not equal!" In this case, there *is not* an unboxing operation involved. The literal `256` is boxed into two different `Integer` objects (again, in my JVM), and then those *objects* are compared with `==`. The result is *false*, as the two objects are different instances, with different memory addresses. Because both sides of the `==` expression contain objects, no unboxing occurs.



You can't depend on this result; it's merely used as an illustration. Some JVMs may choose to try and optimize this code, and create one instance for both `Integer` objects, and in that case, the `==` operator would return a true result.

But, watch out! Remember (from "Converting Primitives to Wrapper Types"), that certain primitive values are unboxed into constant, immutable wrapper objects. So, the result of running the following code might be surprising to you:

```
Integer i1 = 100;

Integer i2 = 100;

if (i1 == i2) System.out.println("Equal!");

else System.out.println("Not equal!");
```

Here, you would get the text "Equal!" Remember that `int` values from -127 to 127 are in that range of immutable wrapper types, so the VM actually uses the same object instance (and therefore memory address) for both `i1` and `i2`. As a result, `==` returns a true result. You have to watch out for this, as it can result in some very tricky, hard-to-find bugs.

4.5 Conditionals and Unboxing

One of the odder features of Java is the conditional operator, often called the *ternary operator*. This is the operator version of an `if/else` statement, represented by the `?` character. Since it evaluates an expression, the unboxing features of Tiger affect it, too. You can use it with all sorts of new types.

4.5.1 How do I do that?

Here is the format of this operator:

```
[conditional expression] ? [expression1] : [expression2]
```

If `[conditional expression]` evaluates to `true`, then `[expression1]` is executed; otherwise `[expression2]` is. In pre-Tiger Java, `[conditional expression]` had to result in a `boolean` value. This was a bit of a pain if you had a method that returned a `Boolean` wrapper type, or an expression that involved a `Boolean`. In Tiger, this is no longer a problem, and the ternary operator happily gobbles up any unboxed `Boolean` values:

```
Boolean arriving = false;
```

```
Boolean late = true;
```

```
System.out.println(arriving ? (late ? "It's about time!" : "Hello!") :
    (late ? "Better hurry!" : "Goodbye"));
```

4.5.2 What just happened?

The ternary operator is a little tricky, in both Java 1.4 and Tiger, so it's worth mentioning some additional details. In pre-Tiger environments, `[expression1]` and `[expression2]` had to either be of the same type, or one had to be assignable to the other. So both had to be `String` values, or one could be an `int` and the other a `float` (as an `int` could be widened to a `float`). In Tiger, the restrictions loosen a bit due to unboxing. One or both expressions can be unboxed, so one could be an `Integer` and the other could be a `Float`, for example. However, *both* will be unboxed, and the `int` will be widened to `float`, so the return type of the expression would be a `float`—the result is *not* boxed back into a `Float`.

Another addition to Tiger is automatic casting of reference to their intersection type. That's a mouthful, so here's an example:

NOTE

Thanks to Java in a Nutshell, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly) for this example.

```
String s = "hello";

StringBuffer sb = new StringBuffer("world");

boolean mutable = true;

CharSequence cs = mutable ? sb : s;
```

In pre-Tiger environments, this would generate an error, as `sb` (a `StringBuffer`) and `s` (a `String`) cannot be assigned to each other. However, this code should really work, as both `String` and `StringBuffer` implement the `CharSequence` interface. However, you have to perform some casting:

```
CharSequence cs = mutable ? (CharSequence)sb : (CharSequence)s;
```

In Tiger, though, any valid *intersection* of the two operands can be used. This is essentially any object, walking up the inheritance chain, that is common to both operands. In this case, `CharSequence` fits that criteria, and so is a valid return type.

NOTE

Technically, this is a feature of the generic support in Tiger, but it seemed appropriate to mention it here. Generics are covered in detail in [Chapter 2](#).

As a side effect of this, note that two reference types (objects) *always* share `java.lang.Object` as a common ancestor, so any result of a ternary operation involving non-primitive operands can be assigned to `java.lang.Object`.

4.6 Control Statements and Unboxing

There are several control statements in Java that take as an argument a `boolean` value, or an expression that results to a `boolean` value. It shouldn't be much of a surprise that these expressions now also take `Boolean` values. Additionally, the `switch` statement has an array of new types it will accept.

4.6.1 How do I do that?

`if/else`, `while`, and `do` all are affected by Tiger's ability to unbox `Boolean` values to `boolean` values. By now, this shouldn't require much explanation:

```
Boolean arriving = false;

Boolean late = true;

Integer peopleInRoom = 0;

int maxCapacity = 100;

boolean timeToLeave = false;

while (peopleInRoom < maxCapacity) {

    if (arriving) {

        System.out.println("It's good to see you.");

        peopleInRoom++;

    } else {

        peopleInRoom--;

    }

    if (timeToLeave) {

        do {

            System.out.printf("Hey, person %d, get out!\n", peopleInRoom);
```

```
        peopleInRoom--;  
    } while (peopleInRoom > 0);  
}  
}
```

NOTE

You might want to be cautious running this code-it's actually an infinite loop.

There are several boxing and unboxing operations going on here, in several control statements. Browse through this code, and work mentally through each operation.

Another statement that benefits from unboxing is `switch`. In pre-Tiger JVMs, the `switch` statement accepts `int`, `short`, `char`, or `byte` values. With unboxing in play, you can now supply it with `Integer`, `Short`, `Char`, and `Byte` values as well, in addition to the introduction of `enums`.

NOTE

Enums are covered in [Chapter 3](#).

4.7 Method Overload Resolution

Boxing and unboxing offer a lot of solutions to common problems (or, at least annoyances) in Java programming. However, these solutions manage to introduce a few quirks of their own, particularly in the area of *method resolution*. Method resolution is the process by which the Java compiler determines which method is being invoked. You'll need to be careful, as unboxing and boxing affect this process.

4.7.1 How do I do that?

In the normal case, Java handles method resolution by using the name of the method. In cases where a method is overloaded, though, an extra step must be taken. The arguments to the method are examined, and matched up with the arguments that a specific version of the requested method accepts. If no matching argument list is found, you get a compiler error. Sounds simple enough, right? Well, consider the following two methods:

```
public void doSomething(double num);

public void doSomething(Integer num);
```

Now supposed that you invoked `doSomething()`:

```
int foo = 1;
doSomething(foo);
```

Which method is called? In a pre-Tiger environment, this is easy to determine. The `int` is widened to a `double`, and `doSomething(double num)` is called. However, in a Tiger environment, it would seem that boxing would occur, and `doSomething(Integer num)` would be what the method invocation would resolve to. While that's reasonable, it is *not* what happens.

Imagine writing a program like this, compiling and testing it in Java 1.4, and then recompiling it under Tiger. Suddenly, things start going haywire! Obviously, this isn't acceptable. For that reason, method resolution in Tiger will *always* select the same method that would have been selected in Java 1.4. As a rule, you really shouldn't mess around with this sort of overloading anyway, if at all possible. Be as specific as possible in your method naming and argument lists, and this issue goes away.

4.7.2 What just happened?

In Tiger, because of these restrictions, method resolution is a three-pass process:

1. The compiler attempts to locate the correct method without any boxing, unboxing, or vararg invocations. This will find any method that would have been invoked under Java 1.4 rules.

2. If the first pass fails, the compiler tries method resolution again, this time allowing boxing and unboxing conversions. Methods with varargs are *not* considered in this pass.
3. If the second pass fails, the compiler tries method resolution one last time, allowing boxing and unboxing, and also considers vararg methods.

These rules ensure that consistency with pre-Tiger environments is maintained.

NOTE

Varargs are detailed in [Chapter 5](#).



< Day Day Up >

Chapter 5. varargs

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Creating a Variable-Length Argument List](#)
- [Iterating Over Variable-Argument Lists](#)
- [Allowing Zero-Length Argument Lists](#)
- [Specify Object Arguments Over Primitives](#)
- [Avoiding Automatic Array Conversion](#)

One of the coolest features of Java, and of any object-oriented language, is method overloading. While many might think Java's strengths are its typing, or all the fringe APIs it comes with, there's just something nice about having the same method name with a variety of acceptable arguments:

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Bourgeois", "Country Boy Deluxe",  
    GuitarWood.MAHOGANY, GuitarWood.ADIRONDACK,  
    1.718);
```

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Martin", "HD-28");
```

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Collings", "CW-28"  
    GuitarWood.BRAZILIAN_ROSEWOOD, GuitarWood.ADIRONDACK,  
    1.718,  
    GuitarInlay.NO_INLAY, GuitarInlay.NO_INLAY);
```

This code calls three versions of the constructor of a (fictional) `Guitar` class, meaning that information can be supplied when it's available, rather than forcing a user to know everything about their guitar at one time (many professionals couldn't tell you their guitar's width at the nut). Here are the constructors used:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model) {  
  
}  
  
public Guitar(String builder, String model,  
             GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,  
             float nutWidth) {  
  
}  
  
public Guitar(String builder, String model,  
             GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,  
             float nutWidth,  
             GuitarInlay fretboardInlay, GuitarInlay topInlay) {  
  
}
```

NOTE

Enums, which are used in these examples, are detailed in [Chapter 3](#).

However, things start to get a little less useful when you want to add information that isn't finite. For example, suppose you want to allow additional, unspecified features to be added to this constructor. Here are some possible invocation examples:

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Collings", "CW-28"
```

```
GuitarWood.BRAZILIAN_ROSEWOOD, GuitarWood.ADIRONDACK,  
1.718,  
GuitarInlay.NO_INLAY, GuitarInlay.NO_INLAY,  
"Enlarged Soundhole", "No Popsicle Brace");
```

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Martin", "HD-28V",  
"Hot-rodDED by Dan Lashbrook", "Fossil Ivory Nut",  
"Fossil Ivory Saddle", "Low-profile bridge pins");
```

For these two cases alone, you'd have to add another constructor that takes two additional strings, and yet another that takes four additional strings. Try and apply these same versions to the already-overloaded constructor, and you'd end up with 20 or 30 versions of that silly constructor!

It's here where *variable arguments*, more often called *varargs*, come in. Another of Tiger's additions, varargs solve the problem detailed here once and for all, in a pretty slick way. This chapter covers this relatively simple feature in all its glory, and will have you writing better, cleaner, more flexible code in no time.

NOTE

All of the new formatting methods, which are detailed in [Chapter 9](#), use varargs.

5.1 Creating a Variable-Length Argument List

Variable arguments allow you to specify that a method can take multiple arguments of the same type, and don't require that the number of arguments be pre-determined (at compile- or runtime). This is one of the integral parts of Tiger, in fact, as several of the new features of the language actually incorporate varargs..

5.1.1 How do I do that?

First, get used to typing the ellipsis (...). Those three little dots are the key to varargs, and you'll be typing them quite often. Here's a version of the `Guitar` constructor that uses varargs to allow for an indeterminate number of `String` features:

NOTE

All these constructors are shown, completed, in the source code for the `com.oreilly.tiger.ch05.Guitar` class.

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model, String... features);
```

The argument `String... features` indicates that any number of `String` arguments may be supplied. So all of the following invocations are legal:

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Martin", "HD-28V",  
    "Hot-rodded by Dan Lashbrook", "Fossil Ivory Nut",  
    "Fossil Ivory Saddle", "Low-profile bridge pins");
```

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Bourgeois", "OMC",  
    "Incredible flamed maple bindings on this one.");
```



```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Collings", "OM-42",
    "Once owned by Steve Kaufman--one of a kind");
```

You could add the same variable-length argument to the other constructors:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model,
    GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
    float nutWidth, String... features)
```

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model,
    GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
    float nutWidth,
    GuitarInlay fretboardInlay, GuitarInlay topInlay,
    String... features)
```

[Example 5-1](#) shows a simple class that puts this all together, and even uses delegation to pass some varargs around.

Example 5-1. Using varargs in constructors

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch05;

public class Guitar {

    private String builder;
```

```
private String model;

private float nutWidth;

private GuitarWood backSidesWood;

private GuitarWood topWood;

private GuitarInlay fretboardInlay;

private GuitarInlay topInlay;

private static final float DEFAULT_NUT_WIDTH = 1.6875f;

public Guitar(String builder, String model, String... features) {
    this(builder, model, null, null, DEFAULT_NUT_WIDTH, null, null, features);
}

public Guitar(String builder, String model,
    GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
        float nutWidth, String... features) {
    this(builder, model, backSidesWood, topWood, nutWidth, null, null, features);
}

public Guitar(String builder, String model,
    GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
    float nutWidth,
    GuitarInlay fretboardInlay, GuitarInlay topInlay,
    String... features) {

    this.builder = builder;
```

```
    this.model = model;

    this.backSidesWood = backSidesWood;

    this.topWood = topWood;

    this.nutWidth = nutWidth;

    this.fretboardInlay = fretboardInlay;

    this.topInlay = topInlay;
}
}
```

5.1.2 What just happened?

When you specify a variable-length argument list, the Java compiler essentially reads that as "create an array of type *<argument type>*". You typed:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model, String... features)
```

NOTE

You'll get a compiler error from this, and one that's not all that descriptive of the real problem.

However, the compiler interprets this as:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model, String[] features)
```

This means that iteration over the argument list is simple (as shown in "Iterating Over Variable-Length Argument Lists"), as is any other programming tasks you need to undertake. You can work with varargs just as you would with arrays.

However, there are some limitations. First, you can only use one ellipsis per method. Thus, the following is illegal:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model,  
             String... features, float... stringHeights)
```

Additionally, the ellipsis must appear as the *last* argument to a method.

5.1.3 What about...

...if you don't have any features to pass in? That's fine. Just call the constructor in the old way:

```
Guitar guitar = new Guitar("Martin", "D-18");
```

Look closely, though-there is no constructor with the following signature:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model)
```

So, what gives? Well, as an added bonus to *varargs*, *not* passing in an argument is a legitimate option. So when you see `String... features`, you should think "zero or more `String` arguments." That saves you from creating another constructor without the *varargs* parameter.

5.2 Iterating Over Variable-Length Argument Lists

All this varargs business is well and good, but unless you can actually use them in your methods, it's obviously just eye-candy and window dressing. However, you can work with vararg parameters just as you do an array, making usage a piece of cake.

5.2.1 How do I do that?

Make sure you read "Creating a Variable-Length Argument List," which lets you know the most important piece of information relating to vararg methods-variable-length arguments are treated just as arrays. So, continuing with the previous example, you could do something like this:

```
public Guitar(String builder, String model,
             GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
             float nutWidth,
             GuitarInlay fretboardInlay, GuitarInlay
             String... features) {

    this.builder = builder;
    this.model = model;
    this.backSidesWood = backSidesWood;
    this.topWood = topWood;
    this.nutWidth = nutWidth;
    this.fretboardInlay = fretboardInlay;
    this.topInlay = topInlay;

    for (String feature : features) {
        System.out.println(feature);
    }
}
```

```
}  
}
```

NOTE

The for/in loop is covered in detail in [Chapter 7](#).

This isn't particularly sexy, but it should get the point across. As another example, here's a simple method that calculates the maximum from a set of numbers:

NOTE

This example is yanked straight out of Java in a Nutshell, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly).

```
public static int max(int first, int... rest) {  
    int max = first;  
    for (int i : rest) {  
        if (i > max)  
            max = i;  
    }  
    return max;  
}
```

Simple enough, right?

5.2.2 What about...

...storing variable-length arguments? Since the Java compiler treats these like arrays, an array is obviously a great choice for storage, as seen in [Example 5-2](#), which is a modified version of [Example](#)

[5-1.](#)

Example 5-2. Storing variable-length arguments as member variables

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch05;

public class Guitar {

    private String builder;

    private String model;

    private float nutWidth;

    private GuitarWood backSidesWood;

    private GuitarWood topWood;

    private GuitarInlay fretboardInlay;

    private GuitarInlay topInlay;

    private String[] features;

    private static final float DEFAULT_NUT_WIDTH = 1.6875f;

    public Guitar(String builder, String model, String... features) {
        this(builder, model, null, null, DEFAULT_NUT_WIDTH, null, null, features);
    }

    public Guitar(String builder, String model,
        GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
        float nutWidth, String... features) {
```

```
        this(builder, model, backSidesWood, topWood, nutWidth, null, null, features);
    }

    public Guitar(String builder, String model,
        GuitarWood backSidesWood, GuitarWood topWood,
        float nutWidth,
        GuitarInlay fretboardInlay, GuitarInlay topInlay,
        String... features) {

        this.builder = builder;
        this.model = model;
        this.backSidesWood = backSidesWood;
        this.topWood = topWood;
        this.nutWidth = nutWidth;
        this.fretboardInlay = fretboardInlay;
        this.topInlay = topInlay;
        this.features = features;
    }
}
```

You could also store these in Java collection classes easily:

```
// Variable declaration
private List features;

// Assignment in method or constructor body
```



```
this.features = java.util.Arrays.asList(features);
```

NOTE

The `java.util.Arrays` class has several nice methods for working with arrays, all of which are of interest in varargs methods.



< Day Day Up >

5.3 Allowing Zero-Length Argument Lists

One particularly nice feature about varargs is that a variable-length argument can take from *zero* to *n* arguments. This means that you can actually invoke one of these methods *without* any parameters, and things still behave. On the other hand, this means that, as a programmer, you better realize you must safeguard against this condition.

5.3.1 How do I do that?

Remember in "Iterating Over Variable-Length Argument Lists," you saw this simple method:

```
public static int max(int first, int... rest) {  
    int max = first;  
    for (int i : rest) {  
        if (i > max)  
            max = i;  
    }  
    return max;  
}
```

You can call this method in several ways:

```
int max = MathUtils.max(1, 4);  
  
int max = MathUtils.max(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10);  
  
int max = MathUtils.max(18, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0);
```

What's not so nice is that there are many cases where you may already have the numbers to pass in stored as an array, or at least in some collected form:

```
// Get the numbers from some method  
  
int[] numbers = getListOfNumbers( );
```

It's impossible to just pass these numbers on to the `max()` method. You would need to check the list length, and strip off the first object (if it's available), then check the type to ensure it's an `int`. That would be passed in, along with the rest of the array (which can be iterated over, or converted manually to a suitable format). In general, this process is a real pain and is a lot of work for what should be trivial. To get around this, remember that this method is treated by the compiler as the following:

```
public static int max(int first, int[] rest)
```

NOTE

Autounboxing helps some, as "Integer" objects are freely converted to `int` primitives. Autounboxing is covered in [Chapter 4](#).

So, by extension, you could convert `max()` to look like this:

```
public static int max(int... values) {  
  
    int max = Integer.MIN_VALUE;  
  
    for (int i : values) {  
  
        if (i > max)  
  
            max = i;  
  
    }  
  
    return max;  
  
}
```

You've now created a method that can easily be used with arrays:

```
// Get the numbers from some method
int[] numbers = getListOfNumbers( );

int max = MathUtils.max(numbers);
```

While using a single variable-length argument made this task easier, it introduces problems if you pass in a zero-length array—in the best case, you're going to get unexpected results. To account for this, you now need a little error checking. [Example 5-3](#) is a complete code listing for the `MathUtils` class, which at this point is more of a `MathUtil` class!

Example 5-3. Handling zero-argument methods

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch05;

public class MathUtils {

    public static int max(int... values) {
        if (values.length == 0) {
            throw new IllegalArgumentException("No values supplied.");
        }
        int max = Integer.MIN_VALUE;
        for (int i : values) {
            if (i > max)
                max = i;
        }
    }
}
```

```
    }  
    return max;  
}  
}
```

Anytime you have the possibility for a zero-length argument list, you need to perform this type of error checking. Generally, a nice informative `IllegalArgumentException` is a great solution.

5.3.2 What about...

...invoking this same method with normal non-array arguments? That's perfectly legal, of course. The following are all legitimate ways to invoke the `max()` method:

```
int max = MathUtils.max(myArray);  
  
int max = MathUtils.max(new int[] { 2, 4, 6, 8 });  
  
int max = MathUtils.max(2, 4, 6, 8);  
  
int max = MathUtils.max(0);  
  
int max = MathUtils.max( );
```

NOTE

Whatever you do, please don't throw a checked exception-you just add hassle for programmers using your code, and for what is a fringe case, rather than a normal problem.

5.4 Specify Object Arguments Over Primitives

As discussed in [Chapter 4](#), Tiger adds a variety of new features through *unboxing*. This allows you, in the case of varargs, to use object wrapper types in your method arguments.

5.4.1 How do I do that?

Remember that every class in Java ultimately is a descendant of `java.lang.Object`. This means that any object can be converted to an `Object`; further, because primitives like `int` and `short` are now automatically converted to their object wrapper types (`Integer` and `Short` in this case), *any* Java type can be converted to an `Object`.

Thus, if you want to accept the widest variety of argument types in your vararg methods, use an object type as the argument type. Better yet, go with `Object` for the absolute most in versatility. For example, take a method that did some printing:

```
private String print(Object... values) {  
  
    StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder( );  
  
    for (Object o : values) {  
  
        sb.append(o)  
  
        .append(" ");  
  
    }  
  
    return sb.toString( );  
  
}
```

The basic idea here is to print anything and everything. However, the more obvious way to declare this method is like this:

```
private String print(String... values) {  
  
    StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder( );  
  

```

```
for (Object o : values) {  
    sb.append(o)  
        .append(" ");  
}  
return sb.toString();  
}
```

The problem here is that now this method won't take `Strings`, `ints`, `floats`, `arrays`, and a variety of other types, all of which you might want to legitimately print.

By using a more general type, `Object`, you obtain the ability to print anything and everything.



5.5 Avoiding Automatic Array Conversion

Tiger adds all sorts of automatic conversions and conveniences, which is pretty cool...about 99% of the time. Unfortunately, there are times when all those helps turn into hindrances. The conversion of `Object...` to `Object[]` in a varargs method can be one of those cases, and you'll find that in rare cases, you need to work around Java.

5.5.1 How do I do that?

Before getting into the details of getting around this issue, be sure you understand the problem. Take Java's new `printf()` method, a real convenience:

```
System.out.printf("The balance of %s's account is $%(,6.2f\n",  
    account.getOwner().getFullName( ), account.getBalance( ));
```

If you look at the Javadoc for `printf()`, you'll see its a varargs method, with two parameters: a `String` for the formatting string, and then `Object...` for all the arguments passed in for use in that formatting string:

```
PrintStream printf(String format, Object... args)
```

NOTE

`printf()`, along with the other new Tiger formatting methods, are detailed in [Chapter 9](#).

By now, you can mentally convert this to the following:

```
PrintStream printf(String format, Object[] args)
```


All good, right? Well, most of the time. Consider the following code:

```
Object[] objectArray = getObjectArrayFromSomewhereElse( );
out.printf("Description of object array: %s\n", obj);
```

NOTE

I realize this isn't the most common scenario. Then again, if all I covered were common scenarios, we'd all be debugging right now, wouldn't we?

This might seem a bit far-fetched-however, consider this as normal fare for *introspective code*. That's a ten-cent word for code that investigates other code. If you are writing a code analysis tool, or an IDE, or anything else that might use reflection or a similar API to figure out what objects an application uses, this suddenly becomes a normal usecase. Here, you're not really interested in the contents of the object array as much as you are with the array itself. What type is it? What's its memory address? What is its `String` representation? Keep in mind that all these questions apply to the array itself, and *not* to the contents of the array. For example, let's say the array is something like this:

```
public Object[] getObjectArrayFromSomewhereElse( ) {
    return new String[] {"Hello", "to", "all", "of", "you"};
}
```

In that case, you might write some code like this to begin to answer some questions about this array

```
out.printf("Description of object array: %s\n", obj);
```

However, the output isn't what you expect:

```
run-ch05:
```

```
[echo] Running Chapter 5 examples from Java Tiger: A Developer's
Notebook
```

```
[echo] Running VarargsTester...
```

```
[java] Hello
```

What in the world? This is hardly what you'd expect to see-however, the compiler did just what it always did-it converted `Object...` in the `printf()` method to `Object[]`. When it read your method invocation, it saw an argument that was, in fact, `Object[]`! So instead of treating the array as an object itself, it broke it up into its various parts. The first argument became the `String` "Hello", which was passed to the format string (`%s`), and the result was "Hello" being printed out.

To get around this, you need to tell the compiler that you want the entire object array, `obj`, treated as a *single* object, and not as a grouping of arguments. Here's the magic bullet:

```
out.printf("Description of object array: %s\n", new Object[] { obj });
```

Alternatively, here's an even shorter approach:

```
out.printf("Description of object array: %s\n", (Object)obj);
```

In both cases, the compiler no longer sees an array of objects, it simply sees a single `Object` (which just happens to be an array of objects). The result is what you should want (at least in this rather odd scenario):

```
run-ch05:
```

```
[echo] Running Chapter 5 examples from Java Tiger: A Developer's
Notebook
```

```
[echo] Running VarargsTester...
```

```
[java] [Ljava.lang.String;@c44b88
```

While this may look like gibberish to you, it's probably what reflection-based or other introspective code wants to take a look at.



Chapter 6. Annotations

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Using Standard Annotation Types](#)
- [Annotating an Overriding Method](#)
- [Annotating a Deprecated Method](#)
- [Suppressing Warnings](#)
- [Creating Custom Annotation Types](#)
- [Annotating Annotations](#)
- [Defining an Annotation Type's Target](#)
- [Setting the Retention of an Annotation Type](#)
- [Documenting Annotation Types](#)
- [Setting Up Inheritance in Annotations](#)
- [Reflecting on Annotations](#)

One of the more popular terms being tossed around in programming these days is *metadata*. Metadata is simply information about information. It resides somewhere in the spectrum between Java source code, which is raw information for a compiler, and Javadoc, which is pure documentation. Metadata typically makes statements about source code that is interpreted at some point, usually by a code or data analysis tool.

Your first thought might be, "Well, Javadoc takes care of that, right?" Consider this-how many ways are there to say, "This variable should not be null." In Javadoc, you might say "non-null," you might say "This variable shouldn't be null," you might say "Don't assign null to this." All are valid in terms of documentation, but there is no consistency among them. There isn't any tool that could analyze and account for all the variances in how you might state this simple condition. Annotations, new to Tiger, seek to solve that problem by providing a well-defined metadata mechanism.

In a nutshell, annotations are modifiers that can be applied to package and type declarations, constructors, methods, fields, parameters, and even variables. They take the form of *name=value* pairs, and you can use Java's built-in types, as well as define custom annotation types of your own.



6.1 Using Standard Annotation Types

Standard annotation types are those that are provided "out of the box" in Tiger. There are three of these, and all three are defined in the `java.lang` package. These annotation types can be used without any extra work on your part in your own programs.

6.1.1 How do I do that?

The three standard annotation types that are pre-defined in Tiger are listed here, detailed briefly, and then covered more fully in the following labs:

NOTE

None of these have to be imported, as they are all in the "java.lang" package, and are automatically available.

Override

`java.lang.Override` is used to indicate that a method overrides a method in its superclass.

Deprecated

`java.lang.Deprecated` indicates that use of a method or element type is discouraged.

SuppressWarnings

`java.lang.SuppressWarnings` turns off compiler warnings for classes, methods, or field and variable initializers..

Here's an example of using the `Override` annotation type:

```
@Override
public String toString( ) {
    return super.toString( ) + " [modified by subclass]";
}
```

```
}
```

Here's a sample of using `Deprecated`:

```
@Deprecated public class Betamax { ... }
```

And finally, here's `SuppressWarnings` in action:

```
@SuppressWarnings("unchecked")

public void nastyMethod( ) {

    // body omitted

}
```

I realize that I really haven't told you how these work-that's intentional. Now that you've seen each annotation in use, you should realize that each has an entirely different syntax. To get a handle on annotations, we'll have to delve into just a bit of theory, and then there are labs going into each of the standard annotations in detail. Buckle up for a moment, let's deal with some technical details, and then you'll be ready for some more practical instruction.

6.1.2 What just happened?

First, you need to understand the difference between an *annotation* and an *annotation type*. Taking the last part first, an annotation type is a specific name of an annotation, along with any default values and related information. You just saw three annotation types: `Override`, `Deprecated`, and `SuppressWarnings`. An annotation, then, uses an annotation type to associate some piece of information with a Java program element (methods, classes, variables, etc.). So your code might only use one annotation type, like `Override`, and yet have ten or fifteen annotations (if it used `Override` ten or fifteen times).

Annotation types can have values as well-note that `SuppressWarnings` passed in the valued "unchecked", which would be used by the annotation type to process or store information. This passed-in value, along with any default values, all make up the annotation's *members*. This is where the *name=value* syntax comes in that I mentioned in the early part of this lab-it allows for an annotation's members to be set.

In addition to the three standard annotation types, there are three categories of annotations:

Marker annotations

Marker annotations are used with annotation types that define no members. They simply provide information contained within the name of the annotation itself. An annotation whose members all have default values can also be used as a marker, since no information must be

passed in. The syntax of a marker is simply:
`@MarkerAnnotation`

Single-value annotations

Single-value annotations have just a single member, named `value`. The format of a single-value annotation is:
`@SingleValueAnnotation("some value")`

Full annotations

A full annotation really isn't a category, as much as it is an annotation type that uses the full range of annotation syntax. Here parentheses follow the annotation name, and all members are assigned values:

```
@Reviews({ // Curly braces indicate an array of values
    @Review(grade=Review.Grade.EXCELLENT, reviewer="df"),
    @Review(grade=Review.Grade.UNSATISFACTORY, reviewer="eg",
        comment="This method needs an @Override annotation")
})
```

NOTE

There are no semicolons at the end of annotation lines.

With each category, there is a slightly different syntax-which is why each standard annotation type is used a little differently. Each of the following three labs will detail one of the standard annotation types, indicate the category it falls into, and give you further details about using that annotation type.

6.1.3 What about...

...tools like XDoclet (xdoclet.sourceforge.net) that already handle this sort of analysis, especially in enterprise programming. XDoclet already provides for much of the same information, reading metadata in source code and managing dependences (especially between the numerous source file listings required for EJBs), all through Javadoc parsing and some nifty class generation tools.

These tools are actually great examples of why annotations are needed. While XDoclet parses Javadoc, it can't figure out if the Javadoc syntax is correct or not-if it finds matching tags, it uses

them, and a misspelling is ignored without a peep. However, annotations are checked by the Java compiler-meaning you can't have something like this in your code:

```
@Override // Note the misspelling
```

The compiler will complain about this misspelling, meaning you're protected not only at the code level, but at the annotation level as well. Now, take this extra checking, and pair it with the associations, analysis, and code generation that XDoclet does. Suddenly, a great tool becomes even better, even more user-friendly, even more a part of the standard suite of Java tools. In short, annotations could be the real breakthrough in making tools such as XDoclet a part of every programmer's toolkit.



6.2 Annotating an Overriding Method

The `Override` annotation type is a marker interface, and has no members to initialize. It is used to indicate that a method is overriding a method from its superclass. In particular, it's supposed to help ensure you actually *do* override a method-by avoiding misspellings or confusion over the correct name and parameter list of the method you're trying to override. In these cases of error, a compiler can catch the problem and report it.

6.2.1 How do I do that?

Because `Override` is a marker interface, there are no values that you need to supply it. Just preface it with the annotation syntax marker, the at sign (`@`), and type "Override". This should be on a line by itself, just before the method declaration you want to indicate as an overriding method, as seen in [Example 6-1](#).

NOTE

Largely by coincidence, the `@` sign, pronounced "at", is a mnemonic for Annotation Type.

Example 6-1. Using the `Override` annotation type

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

public class OverrideTester {

    public OverrideTester( ) { }

    @Override
    public String toString( ) {
        return super.toString( ) + " [OverrideTester Implementation]";
    }
}
```

```

@Override

public int hashCode( ) {

    return toString( ).hashCode( );

}

}

```

This isn't very sexy or glamorous, but it compiles without any problem. Where things start to become useful is when you *don't* do what you intended do. Change the `hashCode()` method to look like this:

```

@Override

public int hasCode( ) {

    return toString( ).hashCode( );

}

```

NOTE

This method exists, but is commented out of, the Override- Tester's source listing in the book's sample code.

Here, `hashCode()` is misspelled, and the method is no longer overriding anything, making the annotation speak up. Compile this class, and you'll get the following error:

```

[javac] src\ch06\OverrideTester.java:1:

                method does not override a method from its superclass

[javac]   @Override

[javac]   ^

[javac] 1 error

```

Suddenly, that little annotation becomes quite a boon, catching mistakes at compile time. It's also such an easy thing to integrate into your programming practices that I'd recommend you use it often.

6.2.2 What about...

...the methods that *should be* overridden? `Override` marks the *overriding* method, not the *overridden* method-this is an important distinction, and you should learn it well. Java has ways to indicate that a method should be overridden already-namely, by declaring that method as `abstract`.



6.3 Annotating a Deprecated Method

Like `Override`, `java.lang.Deprecated` is a marker annotation type. It also has an analog in the Javadoc world, the `@deprecated` tag. Both indicate the same thing, although to different tools (see [What about...](#)). Use `Deprecated` anytime you want to ensure that classes are warned about overriding a particular method.

6.3.1 How do I do that?

`Deprecated` is a marker interface, and is used without parentheses or member values, just as `Override` is. However, it is intended to be placed on the same line as the declaration that is deprecated, where the `Override` annotation was placed on the prior line. [Example 6-2](#) is a simple example of using `Deprecated`.

Example 6-2. Using the `Deprecated` annotation type

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

public class DeprecatedClass {

    /**
     * This method has now been deprecated in favor of doSomethingElse( )
     * @deprecated Use doSomethingElse( ) instead
     */
    @Deprecated public void doSomething( ) {
        // Really... do something...
    }

    public void doSomethingElse( ) {
        // Do something else (and presumably better)
    }
}
```

```

    }
}

```

On its own, this annotation doesn't do anything. However, it comes into play when other classes override deprecated methods, as the class in [Example 6-3](#) does.

Example 6-3. Overriding a method marked as deprecated

```

package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

public class DeprecatedTester extends DeprecatedClass {

    public void doSomething( ) {

        // Overrides a deprecated method

    }

}

```

NOTE

Turn on deprecation checking with the "-Xlint: deprecation" flag.

If you compile these classes, and turn on deprecation checking in your compiler, you'll get a warning

```

[javac] src\ch06\DeprecatedTester.java:5: warning:
    [deprecation] doSomething( ) in
        com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.DeprecatedClass has been deprecated
[javac]   public void doSomething( ) {
[javac]           ^

```

Again, this isn't a revolutionary new feature, but it still adds some help for introspection tools such as XDoclet.

6.3.2 What about...

NOTE

The Javadoc tag is lower-case, the annotation type is uppercase.

...the `@deprecated` Javadoc tag? First, realize that it's not at all made obsolete by the `Deprecated` annotation type. Javadoc comments are consumed by the `Javadoc` tool, and are a vital part of any class's documentation. The `Deprecated` annotation type is then used by the compiler to ensure that your code matches what the documentation indicates—that a method or class is indeed deprecated. It's an important distinction, and well worth remembering. In fact, you should *always* use the two in tandem, one for documentation and one for compilation. Additionally, the compiler will still read and process the `@deprecated` tag for backwards compatibility.

In addition, you might wonder about the `-deprecation` flag, also available to be passed to `javac`. If you compile with the `-deprecation` flag, but without `-Xlint:deprecation`, you get the exact same result as using `-Xlint:deprecation`. In fact, from what I can tell from testing the JDK, these two flags function identically in Tiger.

6.4 Suppressing Warnings

With the advent of Tiger, there are times when pre-Tiger code works exactly as it should, but generates warnings. This is most often the case in collections, as Tiger allows much stronger typing, and in fact pushes you to code that way. However, thoroughly tested code should never issue or generate warnings, creating a bit of a catch 22. Your code works great, but causes warnings in Tiger-on the other hand, ignoring *all* warnings in a program isn't a good idea, either. How do you deal with this situation?

NOTE

You can view warnings from the Java compiler with the "-Xlint" switch.

The answer is to use another standard annotation type, `SuppressWarnings`, which lets you turn off warnings for a particular class, method, or field/variable initializer. At the same time, warnings for other pieces of code are left intact, as they should be.

NOTE

An example of a warning that should be fixed is not handling all enumerated type values in a "switch" statement.

6.4.1 How do I do that?

`SuppressWarnings` is not a marker interface, as `Deprecated` and `Override` are, but instead has a single member named `value`. `value` is a `String` array (`String[]`), and the value of this member is an array of the types of warnings to be suppressed. So, you could suppress unchecked warnings with the following annotation:

```
/**
 * Normal pre-Tiger method body
 */
@SuppressWarnings(value={"unchecked"})
public void nonGenericsMethod( ) {
```



```

    List wordList = new ArrayList( );

    wordList.add("foo");
}

```

NOTE

This code is in `com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.SuppressWarningsTester`.

If you're used to looking at Tiger code, you may see something wrong here-because generics aren't used, this generates an `unchecked` warning (at least, without the `SuppressWarnings` annotation) because `wordList` is untyped. However, this warning disappears with the annotation-particularly useful if you're compiling under Tiger, but targeting a pre-Tiger platform.

If you remove the annotation, you can see the warning that this code generates:

```

[javac] src\ch06\SuppressWarningsTester.java:15:
    warning: [unchecked] unchecked call to add(E) as a member of the
        raw type java.util.List
[javac]     wordList.add("foo");
[javac]           ^

```

Of course, this has no business showing up if you truly are merely trying to target a pre-Tiger platform, and it's here that `SuppressWarnings` really comes into play. You can also specify multiple warnings to ignore:

```

/**
 * Normal pre-Tiger method body
 */
@SuppressWarnings(value={"unchecked", "fallthrough"})
public void nonGenericsMethod( ) {
    List wordList = new ArrayList( );

    wordList.add("foo");
}

```

```
}
```

In fact, there's one more notation step you can take-annotation types that only have one member will automatically pass all values through to that member, as long as that member is named `value`. As a result, you can omit the `value=` portion of the declaration:

NOTE

Curly braces are used anytime a member takes an array of values.

```
/**  
 * Normal pre-Tiger method body  
 */  
  
@SuppressWarnings({"unchecked", "fallthrough"})  
  
public void nonGenericsMethod( ) {  
    List wordList = new ArrayList( );  
  
    wordList.add("foo");  
}
```

This is a nice keystroke saver, at least in my book. The compiler is smart enough to route these values to the annotation type's single member, `value`.

6.5 Creating Custom Annotation Types

While the three annotation types you've seen are useful, they hardly cover all the types of annotations you may want to make on your source code. In fact, you may get so into annotations that you want to define some of your own. Fortunately, Tiger lets you do this with the `@interface` keyword, along with a few other oddball syntactical constructs new to the language.

6.5.1 How do I do that?

Annotation types are, at their most basic level, Java interfaces. As such, they look similar to a normal Java interface definition, but you use the `@interface` keyword instead of `interface`, which tells the compiler that you're writing an annotation type instead of a normal interface. [Example 6-4](#) is a very simple marker interface.

Example 6-4. Simple marker annotation type

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

/**
 * Marker annotation to indicate that a method or class
 * is still in progress.
 */

public @interface InProgress { }
```

You can use this on any method or class you like:

```
@com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.InProgress

public void calculateInterest(float amount, float rate) {

    // Need to finish this method later

}
```

NOTE

In this example, you don't need to prefix "InProgress" with its package, but it illustrates that these are used just like other Java classes.

You can define an annotation type with a member nearly as easily, as [Example 6-5](#) illustrates.

Example 6-5. An annotation with a member

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

/**
 * Annotation type to indicate a task still needs to
 * be completed.
 */
public @interface TODO {
    String value( );
}
```

NOTE

Oddly enough, the Java folks didn't go with the tried-and-true JavaBeans conventions, with methods like `setXXX()` and `getXXX()`.

The declaration of the member is simple enough, but the parenthesis at the end probably looks a little odd—that's because it's not just a member declaration, but also a *method* declaration. You're actually defining a method called `value()`, and the compiler then automatically creates a member variable with the same name. Along the same lines, since annotation types function like interfaces, the methods are all implicitly abstract, and have no bodies.

The variable name `value` is used, which lets source code that references this type use the shorthand notation `@TODO(stringValue)`. This is a nice feature, and one you should strive to allow for. You see all of this in play in the following:

```
@com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.InProgress
@TODO("Figure out the amount of interest per month")
public void calculateInterest(float amount, float rate) {
```

```

        // Need to finish this method later
    }

```

Adding an extra member (or two) is equally simple, as [Example 6-6](#) demonstrates.

NOTE

This is really an amped-up version of "TODO", shown in [Example 6-5](#).

Example 6-6. Multiple members in an annotation type

```

package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

public @interface GroupTODO {

    public enum Severity { CRITICAL, IMPORTANT, TRIVIAL, DOCUMENTATION };

    Severity severity( ) default Severity.IMPORTANT;

    String item( );

    String assignedTo( );

}

```

Here, an enumerated type is added to spice up the mix, and then used by the `severity` member. `item` still holds the item that needs to be handled, and `assignedTo` provides the person the TODO item is assigned to:

NOTE

[Chapter 3](#) covers enumerated types.

```

@com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.InProgress

@GroupTODO(

```

```

        severity=GroupTODO.Severity.CRITICAL,

        item="Figure out the amount of interest per month",

        assignedTo="Brett McLaughlin"

    )

    public void calculateInterest(float amount, float rate) {

        // Need to finish this method later

    }

```

As a final note, you can set member values to defaults, although the syntax looks more than a little odd; [Example 6-7](#) is an updated version of [Example 6-6](#).

Example 6-7. Default values in an annotation type

```

package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

import java.util.Date;

public @interface GroupTODO {

    public enum Severity { CRITICAL, IMPORTANT, TRIVIAL, DOCUMENTATION };

    Severity severity( ) default Severity.IMPORTANT;

    String item( );

    String assignedTo( );

    String dateAssigned( );

}

```

This is probably even stranger for me to type than the new generics syntax, so it may take some time to get used to. Still, it's nice to be able to set these defaults, even if it is a little syntactically strange.

6.5.2 What about...

...extending other interfaces, or even annotation types? You can't. The `@interface` keyword implicitly indicates an extension of `java.lang.annotation.Annotation`-and you can't compile an annotation type that explicitly tries to extend anything else. However, you can extend and implement annotation types, although these extensions and implementations are *not* treated as annotation types.



6.6 Annotating Annotations

Just as you can annotate classes, you can also annotate your own custom annotations. This may seem a little silly at first blush, but if you begin to build up a large repository of customized notations this becomes quite important. Just as Javadoc and comments are incredibly useful for a programmer studying a previously written class, *meta-annotations*, or annotations on annotations, are indispensable for figuring out someone else's intent for a customized annotation.

6.6.1 How do I do that?

There are four standard meta-annotations, all defined in the `java.lang.annotation` package:

Target

This meta-annotation specifies which program elements can have annotations of the defined type.

Retention

This meta-annotation indicates whether an annotation is tossed out by the compiler, or retained in the compiled class file. In cases where the annotation is retained, it also specifies whether it is read by the Java virtual machine at class load.

Documented

This meta-annotation indicates that the defined annotation should be considered as part of the public API of the annotated program element.

Inherited

This meta-annotation is intended for use on annotation types that are targeted at classes, indicating that the annotated type is an inherited one.

These are all somewhat self-explanatory, so with a few examples, these meta-annotations should be easy to put into use. Each is covered in detail in the next several labs.

6.7 Defining an Annotation Type's Target

The first meta-annotation, `Target`, is used to specify which program elements are allowed as targets for the defined annotation. This prevents misuse of an annotation, and is strongly recommended as a sanity check for all your custom annotations.

6.7.1 How do I do that?

`Target` should be used in the lines directly preceding the annotation definition:

```
@Target({ElementType.TYPE,
        ElementType.METHOD,
        ElementType.CONSTRUCTOR,
        ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE})

public @interface TODO {
```

`Target` takes a single member whose type is an array of values, each of which should be an enumerated value from the `java.lang.annotation.ElementType` enum.

This enum defines the various program elements allowed as targets of an annotation type, and is shown in [Example 6-8](#).

NOTE

The actual parameter type in `Target` is `ElementType[]`.

Example 6-8. The `ElementType` enum

```
package java.lang.annotation;

public enum ElementType {

    TYPE,                // Class, interface, or enum (but not annotation)
```

```

FIELD,           // Field (including enumerated values)
METHOD,         // Method (does not include constructors)
PARAMETER,     // Method parameter
CONSTRUCTOR,   // Constructor
LOCAL_VARIABLE, // Local variable or catch clause
ANNOTATION_TYPE, // Annotation Types (meta-annotations)
PACKAGE        // Java Package
}

```

You'll need to remember to import both `Target` and `ElementType` in your code. [Example 6-9](#) shows an updated version of the `TODO` annotation type, first defined back in [Example 6-5](#).

Example 6-9. Annotating an annotation

```

package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

import java.lang.annotation.ElementType;
import java.lang.annotation.Target;

/**
 * Annotation type to indicate a task still needs to
 * be completed.
 */
@Target({ElementType.TYPE,
        ElementType.METHOD,
        ElementType.CONSTRUCTOR,
        ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE})
public @interface TODO {

```

```
String value( );  
}
```

It's interesting to note the `Target` meta-annotation is used on itself (shown in [Example 6-10](#)), indicating that it can only be used as a metaannotation.

Example 6-10. Source code for Target annotation type

```
package java.lang.annotation;  
  
@Documented  
@Retention(RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME);  
@Target(ElementType.ANNOTATION_TYPE)  
public @interface Target {  
    ElementType[] value( );  
}
```

6.7.2 What about...

...annotation types that work for all program elements? In these cases, you don't need to use `Target` at all.

6.8 Setting the Retention of an Annotation Type

The `Retention` meta-annotation defines how the Java compiler treats annotations. Annotations can be tossed out of a compiled class file by the compiler, or kept in the class file. Additionally, the Java virtual machine can ignore annotations (when they are retained in the class file), or read those annotations at the time a class is first loaded. All of these options are specified by `Retention`.

NOTE

A few folks recommend using `Target` and indicating all valid `ElementTypes` for documentation purposes. I think this is pretty silly, to be honest.

6.8.1 How do I do that?

Like `Target`, you specify the retention of an annotation type just before the annotation definition (the `public @interface` line). Also, like `Target`, the argument to `Retention` must be a value from an enum support class—in this case, `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy`. This enum is shown in [Example 6-11](#).

Example 6-11. The `RetentionPolicy` enum

```
package java.lang.annotation;

public enum RetentionPolicy {

    SOURCE,          // Annotation is discarded by the compiler

    CLASS,          // Annotation is stored in the class file, but ignored by the VM

    RUNTIME         // Annotation is stored in the class file and read by the VM

}
```

The default retention policy, for all annotations, is `RetentionPolicy.CLASS`. This retains annotations, but doesn't require the VM to read them when classes are loaded.

A good example of using `Retention` occurs in the `SuppressWarnings` annotation. As that annotation type is purely used for compilation (ensuring warnings of the specified type are suppressed), it does not need to be retained in a class's bytecode:

```
@Retention(RetentionPolicy.SOURCE)  
  
public @interface SuppressWarnings {
```

NOTE

Don't forget to import `java.lang.annotation.Retention` and `java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy`.



6.9 Documenting Annotation Types

Annotations are a nice addition, and are a particularly cool feature if you need to troubleshoot, update, or maintain code that was written by someone else. It also makes for a killer code-level management system on open source projects. In "Creating Custom Annotation Types," I developed very simple annotation types-`InProgress`, `TODO`, and `GroupTODO`-that would all function in that sort of environment. While these are great if you're actually scanning source code, they aren't visible in the code's Javadoc. This is where the `Documented` meta-annotation comes into play. You can use it to ensure your annotations show up in generated Javadoc.

NOTE

If you're using the supplied Ant buildfile, use "ant Javadoc" to generate Javadoc.

6.9.1 How do I do that?

First, to understand what detail you are missing *without* using `Documented`, generate Javadoc for the source code of this book. Pull up those API docs, and navigate to the `com.oreilly.tiger.ch06` package, and then the `AnnotationTester` class. Scroll down, if needed, to the `calculateInterest()` method-you should see something similar to [Figure 6-1](#).

Figure 6-1. `calculateInterest()` without documentation of annotations



Nothing special, right? Right-but there's something missing. Remember the code for this method:

```
@com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.InProgress

@GroupTODO(
    severity=GroupTODO.Severity.CRITICAL,
    item="Figure out the amount of interest per month",
    assignedTo="Brett McLaughlin",
    dateAssigned="04-26-2004"
)

public void calculateInterest(float amount, float rate) {

    // Need to finish this method later

}
```

While the source code contains some pretty important information, in the form of the `InProgress` and `GroupTODO` annotations, this information is missing from the Javadoc.

To fix this, you need to add a `@Documented` meta-annotation to any annotation type that you want to appear in Javadoc. In this case, both `InProgress` and `GroupTODO`, as well as `TODO`, could use this addition. [Example 6-12](#) shows an updated `InProgress`; you can add the same lines to the other annotation types.

Example 6-12. Adding documentation to `InProgress`

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

import java.lang.annotation.Documented;

import java.lang.annotation.Retention;

import java.lang.annotation.RetentionPolicy;

/**
 * Marker annotation to indicate that a method or class
 * is still in progress.
 */

@Documented

@Retention(RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME)

public @interface InProgress { }
```

I've also added the `Retention` meta-annotation to this class; anytime you use the `Documented` annotation, you should pair it with a retention policy of `RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME`. Make this same change to the other annotation types defined in `com.oreilly.tiger.ch03`.

Clean out your old Javadoc files. Now, recompile your classes and run the Javadoc generator again. This time, you'll get a bit different output-navigate again to `com.oreilly.tiger.ch06`, and then `AnnotationTester`, and finally to the `calculateInterest()` method. [Figure 6-2](#) shows the same method, but this time the annotations show up in the Javadoc.

NOTE

"ant clean" will remove all compiled class files, and Javadoc files, allowing a clean generation of Javadoc.

Figure 6-2. Javadoc with annotations showing up

AnnotationTester (Java 1.5: A Developer's Notebook) - Mozilla Firefox

File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Help

file:///C:/Documents%20and%20Settings/Brett%20McLaughlin/My%20Documents/O'Reilly/Writing/Java%201.5%20-%20A%20.../

Bible Music O'Reilly Programming Finance

All Classes

Packages

- com.oreilly.tiger.ch03
- com.oreilly.tiger.ch05
- com.oreilly.tiger.ch06
- com.oreilly.tiger.ch07

com.oreilly.tiger.ch06

Classes

- AnnotationTester
- DeprecatedClass
- DeprecatedTester
- OverrideTester
- SuppressWarningsTester

Enums

- GroupTODO.Severity

Annotation Types

- GroupTODO
- InProgress
- TODO

Constructor Detail

AnnotationTester

```
public AnnotationTester()
```

Method Detail

calculateInterest

```
@InProgress
@GroupTODO(severity=CRITICAL,
           item="Figure out the amount of interest per month",
           assignedTo="Brett McLaughlin",
           dateAssigned="04-26-2004")
public void calculateInterest(float amount,
```

Overview Package **Class** Use Tree Deprecated Index Help

PREV CLASS NEXT CLASS FRAMES NO FRAMES

SUMMARY: NESTED | FIELD | CONSTS | METHOD DETAIL: FIELD | CONSTS | METHOD

Done

6.10 Setting Up Inheritance in Annotations

A classic case of creating a problematic situation comes up with inheritance. Consider a class that has been deprecated, and set to be phased out of use. It's possible (and quite easy) for a programmer who isn't paying attention to extend that class, use it all over the place, and avoid the deprecated warnings that would have shown up if the superclass, which *is* deprecated, was used. The problem is that a class that is deprecated doesn't pass on this deprecation status to its subclasses—creating a potential for real problems in your code. Suddenly you have thousands of references to a non-deprecated class, but you can't remove the deprecated class because it's the superclass of all the referenced objects! Using the `Inherited` meta-annotation can help out here.

6.10.1 How do I do that?

Let's take another example of a status, represented by an annotation, which should be inherited: the `InProgress` indicator. [Example 6-13](#) shows a simple class that uses this annotation.

Example 6-13. Using the `InProgress` annotation

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.PrintStream;

@InProgress
public class Super {

    public void print(PrintStream out) throws IOException {

        out.println("Super printing...");

    }

}
```

[Example 6-14](#) is another simple class, which extends `Super`.

Example 6-14. Extending the Super class


```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.PrintStream;

public class Sub extends Super {
    public void print(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
        out.println("Sub printing...");
    }
}
```

If you generate the Javadoc for these classes, you'll see, as shown in [Figure 6-3](#), that `Super` is correctly noted as being in progress.

Figure 6-3. Super, with an InProgress annotation



The problem is that `Sub`, which is based on an in-progress class, does *not* have this indicator (see [Figure 6-4](#)).

The problem is that the `InProgress` annotation type isn't inherited; this use-case shows that's probably a mistake. To correct it, make the following change to `InProgress`:

```
@Documented
@Inherited
@Retention(RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME)
public @interface InProgress { }
```

Recompile your classes, and re-generate your Javadoc. I'll bet you're expecting to see another figure with the `Sub` class pulled up, flagged as being in progress. Well, that's what I was expecting too-but it doesn't work yet. Presumably this will be fixed before things go final, but hopefully you've at least gotten the idea about what *should* happen.

It's also worth noting that while the documentation feature isn't working correctly, reflection is. You can indeed determine that `Sub` is in progress through reflection-it just doesn't show up in the Javadoc. To see how reflection works with annotations, see [Reflecting on Annotations](#).

Figure 6-4. `Sub`, without an annotation



6.10.2 What about...

...interfaces? Annotations marked as `Inherited` only apply to subclasses, so implementing a method with annotations will *not* result in the annotations being inherited.

Additionally, if you override a method from a superclass, you don't inherit that specific method's annotations. Only the annotations on the superclass itself are inherited, and those by the subclass as a whole.

Yes, that's a mouthful. Stop, take three breaths, and read it again. It will start to make sense-I promise.

6.11 Reflecting on Annotations

So far, all the discussions on annotations have been around looking at them visually—either in source code or in Javadoc. However, there are enough code introspection tools these days that it's worth talking about using reflection to determine what annotations a class (or field, or method) has. The `java.lang.reflect` package has several additions that make this a piece of cake.

6.11.1 How do I do that?

The easiest way to check for an annotation is by using the `isAnnotationPresent()` method. This lets you specify the annotation to check for, and get a true/false result:

```
public void testAnnotationPresent(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
  
    Class c = Super.class;  
  
    boolean inProgress = c.isAnnotationPresent(InProgress.class);  
  
    if (inProgress) {  
        out.println("Super is In Progress");  
    } else {  
        out.println("Super is not In Progress");  
    }  
}
```

NOTE

This code is in the ReflectionTester class.

Running this code gives you the following output:

```
run-ch06:
```

```
[echo] Running Chapter 6 examples from Java Tiger: A Developer's
```

```
Notebook
```

```
[echo] Running ReflectionTester...
```

```
[java] Super is In Progress
```

Additionally, this approach lets you take advantage of the `Inherited` annotation, described in [Setting Up Inheritance in Annotations](#):

```
public void testInheritedAnnotation(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    Class c = Sub.class;

    boolean inProgress = c.isAnnotationPresent(InProgress.class);

    if (inProgress) {
        out.println("Sub is In Progress");
    } else {
        out.println("Sub is not In Progress");
    }
}
```

NOTE

This assumes you follow the steps in "Setting Up Inheritance in Annotations" and mark "Super" as being in progress.

Remember that although `Sub` is not marked as in progress, it inherits from `Super`, which is in progress. Additionally, the `InProgress` annotation was marked as `Inherited`, so the in-progress indicator should be passed on to subclasses. Running this new method shows that this, indeed, works:

```
run-ch06:
```

```
[echo] Running Chapter 6 examples from Java Tiger: A Developer's
```

```
Notebook
```

```
[echo] Running VarargsTester...
```

```
[java] Super is In Progress
```

```
[java] Sub is In Progress
```

Although this is not picked up in the Javadoc, it certainly appears in your reflection-based code.

If you're not checking for a marker interface, though, you may have to go beyond `isAnnotationPresent()`-especially if you need to get values from the annotation. Here's a simple example:

NOTE

Reflection on annotations only works for annotation types that have Runtime retention.

```
public void testGetAnnotation(PrintStream out)
    throws IOException, NoSuchMethodException {

    Class c = AnnotationTester.class;

    MethodElement element = c.getMethod("calculateInterest",
                                        float.class, float.class);

    GroupTODO groupTodo = element.getAnnotation(GroupTODO.class);

    String assignedTo = groupTodo.assignedTo( );

    out.println("TODO Item on Annotation Tester is assigned to: '" +
                assignedTo + "'");
}
```

Once the method in question is located (in this case, `calculateInterest()` on the `AnnotationTester` class), that method can be queried for a specific annotation. In this case, the code locates the `GroupTODO` annotation, and grabs the value of `assignedTo`. The output of this method is shown here:

```
run-ch06:
    [echo] Running Chapter 6 examples from Java Tiger: A Developer's
```


Notebook

```
[echo] Running ReflectionTester...

[java] Super is In Progress

[java] Sub is In Progress

[java] TODO Item on Annotation Tester is assigned to: 'Brett
McLaughlin'
```

To use this code, you obviously have to know exactly what you're looking for-and that's one of the few drawbacks of `getAnnotation()`.

Finally, you can use `getAnnotations()` if you're trying to locate *all* annotations for a program element, or if you need to iterate through all annotations looking for a specific one. For example, here's a simple utility method that prints out all annotations for a supplied element:

NOTE

The for/in loop is detailed in [Chapter 7](#), and `printf()` and other new formatting methods are covered in [Chapter 9](#).

```
public void printAnnotations(AnnotatedElement e, PrintStream out)
    throws IOException {

    out.printf("Printing annotations for '%s'%n%n", e.toString( ));

    Annotation[] annotations = e.getAnnotations( );
    for (Annotation a : annotations) {
        out.printf("    * Annotation '%s' found%n",
            a.annotationType( ).getName( ));
    }
}
```

If you supplied this method the `calculateInterest()` method from `AnnotationTester`, you'd get the following output:

```
run-ch06:

    [echo] Running Chapter 6 examples from Java Tiger: A Developer's
Notebook

    [echo] Running ReflectionTester...

    [java] Super is In Progress

    [java] Sub is In Progress

    [java] TODO Item on Annotation Tester is assigned to: 'Brett
McLaughlin'

    [java] Printing annotations for 'public void com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.
Annotat
ionTester.calculateInterest(float,float) '

    [java] * Annotation 'com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.InProgress' found

    [java] * Annotation 'com.oreilly.tiger.ch06.GroupTODO' found
```

This code is really pretty straightforward, so I'll leave it to you to work through the details. [Example 6-15](#) is the complete code listing for `ReflectionTester`, which has all these reflection-based annotation methods within it.

NOTE

If you don't want to pick up inherited annotations, you can use `getDeclaredAnnotations()` instead of `getAnnotations()`.

Example 6-15. Testing reflection-based annotation methods

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch06;
```

```
import java.io.IOException;

import java.io.PrintStream;

import java.lang.reflect.AnnotatedElement;

import java.lang.annotation.Annotation;

public class ReflectionTester {

    public ReflectionTester( ) {

    }

    public void testAnnotationPresent(PrintStream out) throws IOException {

        Class c = Super.class;

        boolean inProgress = c.isAnnotationPresent(InProgress.class);

        if (inProgress) {

            out.println("Super is In Progress");

        } else {

            out.println("Super is not In Progress");

        }

    }

    public void testInheritedAnnotation(PrintStream out) throws IOException {

        Class c = Sub.class;

        boolean inProgress = c.isAnnotationPresent(InProgress.class);

        if (inProgress) {

            out.println("Sub is In Progress");

        } else {
```

```
        out.println("Sub is not In Progress");
    }
}

public void testGetAnnotation(PrintStream out)
    throws IOException, NoSuchMethodException {

    Class c = AnnotationTester.class;

    AnnotatedElement element = c.getMethod("calculateInterest",
                                           float.class, float.class);

    GroupTODO groupTodo = element.getAnnotation(GroupTODO.class);

    String assignedTo = groupTodo.assignedTo( );

    out.println("TODO Item on Annotation Tester is assigned to: '" +
               assignedTo + "'");
}

public void printAnnotations(AnnotatedElement e, PrintStream out)
    throws IOException {

    out.printf("Printing annotations for '%s'\n\n", e.toString( ));

    Annotation[] annotations = e.getAnnotations( );

    for (Annotation a : annotations) {

        out.printf("        * Annotation '%s' found\n",
```

```
        a.annotationType( ).getName( ));
    }
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    try {
        ReflectionTester tester = new ReflectionTester( );

        tester.testAnnotationPresent(System.out);
        tester.testInheritedAnnotation(System.out);

        tester.testGetAnnotation(System.out);

        Class c = AnnotationTester.class;
        AnnotatedElement element = c.getMethod("calculateInterest",
                                                float.class, float.class);
        tester.printAnnotations(element, System.out);
    } catch (Exception e) {
        e.printStackTrace( );
    }
}
```

NOTE

AnnotatedElement is a new interface that the reflection constructs (like Method and Class) implement. It allows access to the new annotation methods used in this code.

6.11.2 What just happened?

The key to much of the code you've just seen is a new interface, `java.lang.reflect.AnnotatedElement`. In Tiger, the core reflection constructs all implement this interface: `Class`, `Constructor`, `Field`, `Method`, `Package`, and `AccessibleObject`. This allows for the code you've already seen to be introspected for annotations—all these element types provide the following methods as a result of implementing `AnnotatedType`:

```
public Annotation getAnnotation(Class annotationType);
```

```
public Annotation[] getAnnotations( );
```

```
public Annotation[] getDeclaredAnnotations( );
```

```
public boolean isAnnotationPresent(Class annotationType);
```

Since any Java program element can be treated as an `AnnotatedType`, you can always get at an element's annotations using these methods.

NOTE

I've simplified the generics syntax for clarity here. If you're into generics, check out the Javadoc on `AnnotatedElement` for more details on parameters and return types for these methods.

6.11.3 What about...

...annotations that aren't marked as visible at runtime? Recall that you have to explicitly set an annotation's retention to `RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME` for any of this to work. Even if the annotation is retained at compilation (the default behavior), if the VM doesn't load this retention at class-load time then reflection can't pick up the annotation. In fact, this is why the `Inherited` and `Documented` annotations should always be paired up with the following annotation:

```
@Retention(RetentionPolicy.RUNTIME)
```

This ensures that your documentation and/or inheritance is actually readable by code-introspection tools.



Oddly enough, Deprecation does *not* have runtime retention-it has source retention. So, one of the most valuable annotations, the one indicating deprecation, is undetectable through Java reflection.

 PREV

< Day Day Up >

Chapter 7. The for/in Statement

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Ditching Iterators](#)
- [Iterating over Arrays](#)
- [Iterating over Collections](#)
- [Avoiding Unnecessary Typecasts](#)
- [Making Your Classes Work with for/in](#)
- [Determining List Position and Variable Value](#)
- [Removing List Items in a for/in Loop](#)

One of the coolest things about Tiger is that it offers so many new language features. When Java 1.3 and 1.4 were released, they had some new goodies, but most of the changes were either implementation issues (like all the Collection class restructuring), or things you didn't use everyday (like proxies). Tiger is very different, though-you get to write new, funky-looking code, and that's about as good as it gets for hardcore developers.

This chapter examines one of these new language features, the `for/in` loop. This name is a bit deceiving, as the loop never uses the `in` keyword; as a result, it's often called *enhanced for*, and even sometimes *foreach*. No matter what you call it, though, it's mostly a convenience function-it doesn't make Java do anything particularly new, but it does save some keystrokes. If you're an `emacs` or `vi` guy, that's pretty nice-the less you type, the more advanced a programmer you must be, right?

7.1 Ditching Iterators

At its most basic, the `for/in` statement gets rid of the need to use the `java.util.Iterator` class. That class, useful in looping over collections of objects, was rarely useful in and of itself. Instead, it made looping, and accessing the objects in that loop, possible. As a means to an end, though, Sun cut out the explicit usage of `Iterator` and streamlined the basic `for` loop in the process. As a result, you too can ditch the usage of `Iterator`.

7.1.1 How do I do that?

You certainly remember the old (yup, it is indeed old now) `for` loop:

```
/** From ForInTester.java */  
  
public void testForLoop(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
    List list = getList( ); // initialize this list elsewhere  
  
    for (Iterator i = list.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {  
        Object listElement = i.next( );  
        out.println(listElement.toString( ));  
  
        // Do something else with this list object  
    }  
}
```

NOTE

All the unnamed samples in this chapter are in the class `com.oreilly.tiger.ch07.ForInTester`. Remember to compile using the `"-source 1.5"` switch with `"javac"`.

This is a perfect example of `Iterator` simply being the means of getting at objects in the list, rather than providing real value to the loop. `for/in` allows this loop to be rewritten:

```
public void testForInLoop(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
  
    List list = getList( ); // initialize this list elsewhere  
  
    for (Object listElement : list) {  
  
        out.println(listElement.toString( ));  
  
        // Do something else with this list element  
  
    }  
  
}
```

NOTE

This removal of `Iterator` has some consequences-see the later labs in the chapter for details.

Notice that the line `Object listElement = i.next();` from the first code sample has disappeared. This is the basis of what `for/in` does-it removes the `Iterator` from the process.

7.1.2 What just happened?

For those of you into specifications and language structure, the loop is structured like this:

```
for (declaration : expression)  
  
    statement
```

The Java specification defines the precise behavior of the `for/in` loop by translating it to the

equivalent `for` loops shown below. In these loop translations, the terms *declaration*, *expression*, and *statement* should be replaced with the corresponding part of the `for/in` loop. The identifiers beginning with `#` are synthetic variables that the compiler uses for the translation. Their names are not legal Java identifiers, and you cannot use them in the loop body.

A `for/in` loop in which the compile-time type of the expression is `Iterable<E>` (discussed in "Avoiding Unnecessary Typecasts"), is translated to this `for` loop:

```
for ( Iterator<E> #i = (expression).iterator( ); #i.hasNext( ); ) {  
  
    declaration = #i.next( );  
  
    statement  
  
}
```

NOTE

This sample uses generics, covered in [Chapter 2](#).

If *expression* does not use generics and its compile-time type is just an unparameterized `Iterable`, then the loop is translated in the same way except that the `<E>` is dropped:

```
for ( Iterator #i = (expression).iterator( ); #i.hasNext( ); ) {  
  
    declaration = #i.next( );  
  
    statement  
  
}
```

If the compile-time type of *expression* is an array of type `T[]`, where `T` is any primitive or reference type, then the loop is translated as follows:

```
{
```

```
T[] #a = expression;  
  
labels  
  
for (int #i = 0; #i < #a.length; #i++) {  
    declaration = #a[ #i ] ;  
    statement  
}  
}
```

Note that this code is designed to ensure that *expression* is evaluated only once. If the `for/in` loop is labeled with one or more labels, those labels are translated as shown so that they appear after the evaluation of *expression* . This makes a labeled `continue` within the loop statement work correctly.

There are some further points about the syntax of the `for/in` loop that you should be aware of:

- *expression* must be either an array or an object that implements the `java.lang.Iterable` interface. The compiler must be able to ensure this at compile-time-so you can't use a `List` cast to `Object`, for example, and expect things to behave.
- The type of the array or `Iterable` elements must be assignmentcompatible with the type of the variable declared in the *declaration* . If the assignment won't work, you're going to get errors.
- The *declaration* usually consists of just a type and a variable name, but it may include a `final` modifier and any appropriate annotations (see [Chapter 6](#) on Annotations). Using `final` prevents the loop variable from taking on any value other than the array or collection element the loop assigns. It also emphasizes the fact that the array or collection cannot be altered through the loop variable.
- The loop variable of the `for/in` loop must be declared as part of the loop, with both a type and a variable name. You cannot use a variable declared outside the loop as you can with the `for` loop.

NOTE

Using the "final" modifier is a good way to ensure bulletproof code, unless you really need to modify the loop variable.

7.1.3 What about...

...just using a regular for loop? You're welcome to it. `for/in` really doesn't add any functionality-it's ultimately about convenience. And you'll see several instances in this chapter where this convenience comes with a functionality *loss*, rather than gain. So, if you're only in it to get something done, `for/in` doesn't really offer you much, other than the ability to keep up with the number of times you need to iterate over a collection. On the other hand, if your goal in life is to type as little as possible, or if you just want to be the cool guy who uses new and odd-looking structures, `for/in` is perfect.



7.2 Iterating over Arrays

`for/in` loops work with two basic types: arrays and collection classes (specifically, only collections that can be iterated over, as detailed in "Making Your Classes Work with `for/in`"). Arrays are the easiest to iterate over using `for/in`.

7.2.1 How do I do that?

Arrays have their type declared in the initialization statement, as shown here:

```
int[] int_array = new int[4];  
  
String[] args = new String[10];  
  
float[] float_array = new float[20];
```

This means that you can set up your looping variable as that type and just operate upon that variable:

```
public void testArrayLooping(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
    int[] primes = new int[] { 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29 };  
  
    // Print the primes out using a for/in loop  
    for (int n : primes) {  
        out.println(n);  
    }  
}
```

This is about as easy as it gets. As a nice benefit, you don't have to worry about the number of times to iterate, and that alone is worth getting to know this loop.

7.2.2 What about...

...iterating over object arrays? No problem at all. Consider the following code:

```
public void testObjectArrayLooping(PrintStream out) throws IOException {  
    List[] list_array = new List[3];  
  
    list_array[0] = getList( );  
    list_array[1] = getList( );  
    list_array[2] = getList( );  
  
    for (List l : list_array) {  
        out.println(l.getClass( ).getName( ));  
    }  
}
```

This code compiles and runs perfectly.

7.3 Iterating over Collections

Iterating over a collection works in just about the same way as iterating over an array. The main difference is that you're going to have to add some type-casting, as the objects within a collection aren't compile-time determinable, except when using generics (see the next section on [Avoiding Unnecessary Typecasts](#) for details on generics and `for/in`).

7.3.1 How do I do that?

[Example 7-1](#) is a simple program that shows several types of collection iteration.

Example 7-1. Demonstrating the `for/in` loop with collections

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch07;

import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.HashSet;
import java.util.List;
import java.util.Set;

public class ForInDemo {

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        // These are collections we'll iterate over below.
        List wordlist = new ArrayList( );
        Set wordset = new HashSet( );
```



```
// We start with a basic loop over the elements of an array.
// The body of the loop is executed once for each element of args[].
// Each time through one element is assigned to the variable word.
System.out.println("Assigning arguments to lists...");
for(String word : args) {
    System.out.print(word + " ");
    wordlist.add(word);
    wordset.add(word);
}

System.out.println( );

// Iterate through the elements of the List now.
// Since lists have an order, these words should appear as above
System.out.println("Printing words from wordlist " +
    "(ordered, with duplicates)...");
for(Object word : wordlist) {
    System.out.print((String)word + " ");
}

System.out.println( );

// Do the same for the Set. The loop looks the same but by virtue of
// using a Set, we lose the word order and also discard duplicates.
System.out.println("Printing words from wordset " +
    "(unordered, no duplicates)...");
```

```
    for(Object word : wordset) {  
        System.out.print((String)word + " ");  
    }  
}  
}
```

NOTE

This example is culled from *Java in a Nutshell, Fifth Edition* (O'Reilly).

NOTE

When compiling this class, you'll get several warnings from the compiler because the code doesn't use a typed list, like `List<String>`. I'll cover using generics with `for/in` later in the chapter.

This is pretty basic stuff, so I'll save a tree and cut out repetitive discussion. Here's the output from the program, which should look exactly as expected:

NOTE

If you're using the `build.xml` supplied with the examples, just type `"ant runch07"` to see this output.

```
run-ch07:
```

```
    [echo] Running Chapter 7 examples from Java 1.5 Tiger: A Developer's  
Notebook\  
    [echo] Running ForInDemo...  
    [java] Assigning arguments to lists...  
    [java] word1 word2 word3 word4 word1
```

```
[java] Printing words from wordlist (ordered, with duplicates)...
```

```
[java] word1 word2 word3 word4 word1
```

```
[java] Printing words from wordset (unordered, no duplicates)...
```

```
[java] word4 word1 word3 word2
```



As in previous chapters, all program output is shown as it executes within Ant. See the [Preface](#) for details on setting examples up.

← PREV

< Day Day Up >

7.4 Avoiding Unnecessary Typecasts

While `for/in` is nice, you still have to perform all those typecasts, as seen in [Example 7-1](#). If you recall from [Chapter 2](#), though, Tiger introduces some pretty powerful language structures in the form of generics. The main thrust of those new structures is to increase type safety, and that, paired with what you already know about `for/in`, can increase the convenience of this new loop. You can get away with being specific in your loop iterations, rather than getting an `Object` from each iteration and then casting that `Object` to the appropriate type.

7.4.1 How do I do that?

The first step in working with type-specific iterators actually has to occur before you ever type `for/in`. You need to declare your collections using generics:

```
// These are collections we'll iterate over below.  
  
List<String> wordlist = new ArrayList<String>( );  
  
Set<String> wordset = new HashSet<String>( );
```

Then write your code normally, except substitute a type-specific variable instead of a generic `Object` and remove any typecasts:

```
    for(String word : wordlist) {  
        System.out.print(word + " ");  
    }
```

[Example 7-2](#) is a generics version of [Example 7-1](#).

Example 7-2. Using the `for/in` loop with generics

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch07;

import java.util.ArrayList;

import java.util.HashSet;

import java.util.List;

import java.util.Set;

public class ForInGenericsDemo {

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        // These are collections we'll iterate over below.

        List<String> wordlist = new ArrayList<String>( );

        Set<String> wordset = new HashSet<String>( );

        // We start with a basic loop over the elements of an array.

        // The body of the loop is executed once for each element of args[].

        // Each time through one element is assigned to the variable word.

        System.out.println("Assigning arguments to lists...");

        for(String word : args) {

            System.out.print(word + " ");

            wordlist.add(word);

            wordset.add(word);

        }

        System.out.println( );

    }

}
```

```
// Iterate through the elements of the List now.  
  
// Since lists have an order, these words should appear as above  
System.out.println("Printing words from wordlist " +  
    "(ordered, with duplicates)...");  
for(String word : wordlist) {  
    System.out.print(word + " ");  
}  
  
System.out.println( );  
  
// Do the same for the Set. The loop looks the same but by virtue of  
// using a Set, we lose the word order and also discard duplicates.  
System.out.println("Printing words from wordset " +  
    "(unordered, no duplicates)...");  
for(String word : wordset) {  
    System.out.print(word + " ");  
}  
}  
}
```

The output is identical to that from [Example 7-1](#) (previously shown in "Iterating over Collections"), so I've omitted it here.

7.4.2 What just happened?

When using generics in this manner, you're essentially offloading all the typecasting (and possible

`ClassCastException`) onto the compiler, rather than dealing with them at runtime. When the collections were declared, the use of generics (`<String>`, syntactically) allowed the compiler to limit the accepted types passed into the collections. As a nice side effect, your `for/in` statement can make this same assumption, and since the compiler checks all of this at compile-time, rather than runtime, your code works, and saves you some typecasting in the process.



7.5 Making Your Classes Work with for/in

Despite all the collection options available, there are times when it's still useful to define your own custom objects. In cases where your objects represent some sort of collection, it's a good practice to provide a means of letting other classes iterate over them. In the past, this usually meant ensuring that your class provided a `java.util.Iterator`, allowing it to work with a `for` loop. With Tiger, you should consider taking a few extra steps to ensure that your custom objects will work with `for/in` as well. In addition to working with `Iterator`, you'll need to learn a new interface, `java.lang.Iterable`.

NOTE

Note that `Iterable` is in `java.lang`, not `java.util`, as you might expect.

7.5.1 How do I do that?

First, familiarize yourself with [Example 7-3](#) and [Example 7-4](#), the `Iterator` and `Iterable` interfaces. You'll need to grasp both of these to see how the various loops in Java work.

Example 7-3. The `Iterator` interface

```
package java.util;

public interface Iterator<E> {

    public boolean hasNext( );

    public E next( );

    public void remove( );
```



```
}
```

I've obviously stripped this down to the bare essentials; that's all you really need, anyway. These methods should look familiar, although I still find the generics syntax (`<E>` and `E`) a bit odd to look at.

Here's `Iterable`, in the same form:

Example 7-4. The `Iterable` interface

```
package java.lang;

public interface Iterable<E> {

    public java.util.Iterator<E> iterator( );

}
```

There are two basic cases in which `Iterator` and `Iterable` become issues when dealing with custom objects:

- Your custom object extends an existing `Collection` class that already supports `for/in`.
- Your custom object has to handle iteration manually.

7.5.1.1 Extending collection classes

The first case is the easiest to deal with, as you can essentially steal behavior from the parent class to do all the work. [Example 7-5](#) shows a simple class that extends `List`.

Example 7-5. Extending the `LinkedList` class

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch07;
```

```
import java.util.LinkedList;

import java.util.List;

public class GuitarManufacturerList extends LinkedList<String> {

    public GuitarManufacturerList( ) {

        super( );

    }

    public boolean add(String manufacturer) {

        if (manufacturer.indexOf("Guitars") == -1) {

            return false;

        } else {

            super.add(manufacturer);

            return true;

        }

    }

}
```

This class doesn't do much in terms of customization-it does require that only `String` be allowed as a parameter (through the extends `LinkedList<String>` declaration), and that values passed into the `add()` method have "Guitars" as part of their value. This is a rather hackish way to ensure manufacturers are supplied, but it's useful for an illustration.

You can now use this class as shown in [Example 7-6](#). The example creates a new instance of `GuitarManufacturerList`, seeds it with some sample data, and then uses `for/in` to iterate over it. With essentially no work on your part, you get the benefit of iteration from the superclass, `LinkedList`.

Example 7-6. Iterating over GuitarManufacturerList

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch07;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.PrintStream;

public class CustomObjectTester {

    /** A custom object that extends List */
    private GuitarManufacturerList manufacturers;

    public CustomObjectTester( ) {
        this.manufacturers = new GuitarManufacturerList<String>( );
    }

    public void testListExtension(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
        // Add some items for good measure
        manufacturers.add("Epiphone Guitars");
        manufacturers.add("Gibson Guitars");

        // Iterate with for/in
        for (String manufacturer : manufacturers) {
            out.println(manufacturer);
        }
    }
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
  
    try {  
  
        CustomObjectTester tester = new CustomObjectTester( );  
  
        tester.testListExtension(System.out);  
  
    } catch (Exception e) {  
  
        e.printStackTrace( );  
  
    }  
  
}
```

7.5.1.2 Handling iteration manually

In cases where you're not extending an existing collection class, you've got a little more work to do. Still, you'll usually find yourself borrowing at least *some* behavior from existing collection classes, and avoiding direct implementation of `Iterator`. [Example 7-7](#) shows a simple text file reader that lists the lines of a file when iterated over.

NOTE

If you don't pass in "LinkedList <String>" here, and just use "LinkedList", you'll get compiler warnings indicating a possible type mismatch.

Example 7-7. Custom class that doesn't extend a collection

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch07;  
  
import java.util.Iterator;
```

```
import java.io.BufferedReader;

import java.io.FileReader;

import java.io.IOException;

/**
 * This class allows line-by-line iteration through a text file.
 * The iterator's remove( ) method throws UnsupportedOperationException.
 * The iterator wraps and rethrows IOExceptions as IllegalArgumentExceptions.
 */
public class TextFile implements Iterable<String> {

    // Used by the TextFileIterator class below
    final String filename;

    public TextFile(String filename) {
        this.filename = filename;
    }

    // This is the one method of the Iterable interface
    public Iterator<String> iterator( ) {
        return new TextFileIterator( );
    }

    // This non-static member class is the iterator implementation
    class TextFileIterator implements Iterator<String> {
```

```
// The stream we're reading from
BufferedReader in;

// Return value of next call to next( )
String nextline;

public TextFileIterator( ) {
    // Open the file and read and remember the first line.
    // We peek ahead like this for the benefit of hasNext( ).
    try {
        in = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(filename));
        nextline = in.readLine( );
    } catch(IOException e) {
        throw new IllegalArgumentException(e);
    }
}

// If the next line is non-null, then we have a next line
public boolean hasNext( ) {
    return nextline != null;
}

// Return the next line, but first read the line that follows it.
public String next( ) {
    try {
        String result = nextline;

```

```
        // If we haven't reached EOF yet
        if (nextline != null) {
            nextline = in.readLine( );    // Read another line

            if (nextline == null)
                in.close( );    // And close on EOF
        }

        // Return the line we read last time through.
        return result;
    } catch(IOException e) {
        throw new IllegalArgumentException(e);
    }
}

// The file is read-only; we don't allow lines to be removed.
public void remove( ) {
    throw new UnsupportedOperationException( );
}

}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    String filename = "TextFile.java";

    if (args.length > 0)
        filename = args[0];
}
```

```
for(String line : new TextFile(filename))  
    System.out.println(line);  
}  
}
```

NOTE

This code sample is from Java in a Nutshell, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly).

The interesting work is in the `TextFileIterator` class, which handles all the work of iteration. The first thing to notice is that this iteration is completely read-only- `remove` simply throws an `UnsupportedOperationException`. This is a perfectly legal and useful means of ensuring that programmers understand the use-case your custom classes are designed for. I'll leave you to work through the rest of the details; the source code is pretty self-explanatory.

7.6 Determining List Position and Variable Value

`for/in`, more than anything else, is about convenience. However, with that convenience comes a degree of lost flexibility. One such example is the inability to determine the position in a list that the `for/in` construct resides at. As your code executes within the `for/in` loop, there is no way to access the position in the list. Additionally, the list variable itself isn't accessible, making its access equally impossible.

7.6.1 How do I do that?

In short, you don't. Sometimes it's just as important to realize what you *can't* do as it is to learn what you *can*.

A common iteration technique is to use the loop variable, especially if it's numerical, in the loop body itself:

```
List<String> wordList = new LinkedList<String>( );

for (int i=0; i<args.length; i++) {

    wordList.add("word " + (i+1) + ": '" + args[i] + "'");

}
```

This is perfectly legitimate, and really useful if you're performing some sort of count. However, it's impossible to access the iterator in a `for/in` loop, as that's kept internal (and not even generated until compilation takes place). In this case, you're out of luck. You can use `for/in` to display the results of a situation like this, but not to make the assignment itself:

```
public void determineListPosition(PrintStream out, String[] args)

    throws IOException {

    List<String> wordList = new LinkedList<String>( );
```

```

        for (int i=0; i<args.length; i++) {
            wordList.add("word " + (i+1) + ": '" + args[i] + "'");
        }

        for (String word : wordList) {
            out.println(word);
        }
    }
}

```

This is hardly a severe limitation, but it's one you should be aware of.

Another common usage of lists is `String` concatenation, and that illustrates another of the `for/in` limitations. It's common in `String` concatenation to add separators between all but the last of a set of words, such as when printing a list. This separator is often a comma or perhaps a space:

```

StringBuffer longList = new StringBuffer( );

for (int i = 0, len=wordList.size( ); i < len; i++) {
    if (i < (len-1)) {
        longList.append(wordList.get(i))
                .append(", ");
    } else {
        longList.append(wordList.get(i));
    }
}

out.println(longList);

```

Here, all but the last word in the list has a comma appended to it, while the last one is appended without a comma. This makes for a nice list output. However, this rather simple task is impossible with `for/in`, because the variable that is used to do all the work, `i`, is inaccessible in a `for/in` loop. Again, a fairly minor inconvenience, but it's certainly not time to retire our old friend `for` just yet.



< Day Day Up >

7.7 Removing List Items in a for/in Loop

Another common task in loop iteration, particularly over collections, is inloop modification. This is all done through the iterator, as directly modifying the collection creates all sorts of nasty loop problems. Instead, using methods such as `remove()` from `java.util.Iterator` allows modifications in a loop-safe way. However, since the iterator is hidden in `for/in`, this is another limitation of `for/in`.

7.7.1 How do I do that?

This is another one of those "You can't" recipes. To see exactly what it is you *can't* do, take a look at the following code:

```
public void removeListItems(PrintStream out, String[] args)
    throws IOException {

    List<String> wordList = new LinkedList<String>( );

    // Assign some words
    for (int i=0; i<args.length; i++) {
        wordList.add("word " + (i+1) + ": " + args[i] + " ");
    }

    // Remove all words with "l" in them. Impossible with for/in
    for (Iterator i = wordList.iterator( ); i.hasNext( ); ) {
        String word = (String)i.next( );
        if (word.indexOf("l") != -1) {
            i.remove( );
        }
    }
}
```

```

    }
}

// You can print the words using for/in
for (String word : wordList) {
    out.println(word);
}
}

```

In particular, notice the second `for` loop, which uses `remove()` to yank any words in the `List` with "1" in the text. This depends on the usage of `Iterator`, which isn't available in `for/in`, so you're out of luck here.

7.7.2 What about...

...anything else that involves knowing where you are in the list? Nope- it's not possible. Here are just a few examples of other things you *can't* do with `for/in`:

- Iterate backward through the elements of an array or `List`.
- Use a single loop counter to access similarly numbered or positioned elements in two distinct arrays or collections.
- Iterate through the elements of a `List` using calls to `get()` rather than calls to its iterator.

Watch out for this last one-it is a legitimate performance concern. For the `java.util.ArrayList` class, for example, looping with the `size()` and `get()` methods is measurably faster than using the list's `Iterator`. In many cases, the performance difference is negligible and irrelevant. But, when writing an inner loop or other performance-critical code, you might prefer to use a `for` loop with the `get()` method instead of a `for/in` loop.

Chapter 8. Static Imports

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Importing Static Members](#)
- [Using Wildcards in Static Imports](#)
- [Importing Enumerated Type Values](#)
- [Importing Multiple Members with the Same Name](#)
- [Shadowing Static Imports](#)

All right, let's begin with a fair warning-this isn't a very sexy chapter. It's not as exciting as generics, it's not as (obviously) missing a feature in the language as varargs, it's not even as cool as the `for/in` loop. Instead, this chapter is about a feature that is completely convenience-based. It adds no new functionality to the language, and it doesn't even have much to do with how you write code. Sort of an inauspicious beginning, huh?

Still, that said, static imports are extremely cool, especially when you start to pile on additional language features, such as enumerated types. In a nutshell, static imports allow you to import static classes, variables, and enums, and reference them easily in your code. While you may not get any new functionality, the convenience here is very, very nice. As I've said before, I don't know any good programmer who just loves typing out really long variable names, ten or fifteen times in their programs. Static imports help with just that task, and, as such, are very much worth covering.

8.1 Importing Static Members

If you've ever done any output in Java, you've typed `System.out` at least a few times. While there are lots of better ways to handle output, there is perhaps none as simple, direct, and to-the-point as good old `System.out.println()` and `System.err.println()`. However, it's annoying to type `System.out` and `System.err` time and time again (at least, it is for me). In Tiger, this annoyance is gone, via static imports.

NOTE

If you try and import something non-static with this syntax, all you get is a "cannot find symbol" compiler error-it's not very descriptive.

8.1.1 How do I do that?

Anytime you have a static member, like `out` and `err` in the `java.lang.System` class, you can import those static members into your code:

```
import static java.lang.System.err;

import static java.lang.System.out;
```

You just use `import static` instead of `import`. Magically, your code can now use the methods of these static members without prefacing those methods with the static member's package name, as seen in [Example 8-1](#).

Example 8-1. Using statically imported member variables

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch08;

import static java.lang.System.err;
```

```
import static java.lang.System.out;

public class StaticImporter {

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        if (args.length < 2) {

            err.println(

                "Incorrect usage: java com.oreilly.tiger.ch08 [arg1] [arg2]");

            return;

        }

        out.println("Good morning, " + args[0]);

        out.println("Have a " + args[1] + " day!");

    }

}
```

As said before, this isn't anything particularly revolutionary, but it's certainly a nice bonus to the language.

As an added bonus, this same thing applies to static methods, in addition to static variables. For example, consider the `java.util.Arrays` class, which has several static methods, such as `binarySearch()`, `sort()`, and `equals()`. These methods are just static members of the class, and can be imported, just like the `err` and `out` members in [Example 8-1](#):

NOTE

Note that `Arrays.sort()` is a heavily overloaded method. This import directive imports the method by its name, and not any particular overloading of it.

```
import static java.util.Arrays.sort;
```


You can now just code as shown here:

```
sort(myObjectArray); // no need to use Arrays.sort( )
```

This is a particularly nice improvement to the language, I think.

8.1.2 What about...

...static member types? A *member type* is a type defined within another class. For example, the `java.lang.Character` class defines a class within its body, called `Subset`. The formal name of this class, because it does not stand on its own, is `Character.Subset` (and it appears that way within the JavaDocs). You can import a member type, in Tiger and in previous versions, with a normal import statement:

```
import java.lang.Character.Subset;
```

This is a little-known, little-used feature of Java that has nothing to do with Tiger. However, where Tiger does come in is adding the ability to do static imports. In the case of `Character.Subset`, not only is `Subset` a member type, it's a *static* member type—meaning that it could also be imported as seen here:

NOTE

Anonymous inner classes defined within a method are not members, and cannot be imported.

```
import static java.lang.Character.Subset;
```

So, which is correct? Actually, both. However, you shouldn't have both in the same code—just one or the other. Otherwise, compilers will gripe about a name conflict (two identically named types). Personally, I like the clarity of `import static` for this sort of thing, as it reminds me that `Character.Subset` is static—but that's style more than any real best practice.

8.2 Using Wildcards in Static Imports

While importing a single member is a nice addition, there are times when you may want to import a lot of members. In these cases, you could easily fill a page with all the `import static` declarations you'd need. Luckily, wildcards work perfectly well with static imports.

8.2.1 How do I do that?

Piece of cake...just use a wildcard, as you would with normal `import` statements:

```
import static java.lang.Math.*;
```

Now you can use expressions like the following in your code:

```
float foo = sqrt(abs(sin(bar)));
```

Again, nothing flashy here, but well worth knowing. It's possible to import anything declared as `static` into the Java namespace. However, you couldn't do something like this:

```
import static java.lang.System.out.println;
```

That's because while `out` is static, the method `println()` is not. Be careful to keep your static and non-static items straight.

NOTE

`println()` is an instance method of the `PrintStream` class.

8.2.2 What about...

...all the code legibility lost by doing this sort of thing? It's certainly possible to go crazy with imports and lose all track of which methods belong to which class. Of course, this comes from someone who almost never uses wildcards in *normal* `import` statements:

```
import java.io.IOException;
```

```
import java.io.PrintWriter;  
  
import javax.servlet.ServletException;  
  
import javax.servlet.GenericServlet;  
  
// etc.
```

Personally, I like it to be obvious what classes are used, as opposed to dropping an `import java.io.*` statement into code. But that's a stylistic decision, not a functional one, and now Tiger let you keep whatever preference you choose.

As a best practice, though, I'd recommend you only use static imports if you were going to use a static member more than three times. In other words, there's value in the clarity of code that reads `Math.sqrt()` as opposed to just `sqrt()`, when that method is only used once in the entire program. However, if you're using the method fifty times, then it's just as clear to add a static `import` for the method and then use it without the prefix.



8.3 Importing Enumerated Type Values

The next natural thought in working with static imports is to use them in conjunction with another Tiger feature, enumerated values (detailed in [Chapter 3](#)). Since the compiler declares enumerated values as `public`, `static`, and `final`, they are great candidates for being statically imported.

8.3.1 How do I do that?

Remember that enums are just a specialized type of Java class. As a result, the syntax to use them is no different than what you've already seen. [Example 8-2](#) imports the `Grade` values from [Chapter 3](#), and then uses them in a simple program.

Example 8-2. Importing enum values

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch08;

import static java.lang.System.out;

import static com.oreilly.tiger.ch03.Grade.*;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.PrintStream;

import com.oreilly.tiger.ch03.Student;

public class EnumImporter {

    private Student[] students = new Student[4];

    public EnumImporter( ) {
```

```
students[0] = new Student("Brett", "McLaughlin");
students[0].assignGrade(A);

students[1] = new Student("Leigh", "McLaughlin");
students[0].assignGrade(B);

students[2] = new Student("Dean", "McLaughlin");
students[0].assignGrade(C);

students[3] = new Student("Robbie", "McLaughlin");
students[0].assignGrade(INCOMPLETE);
}

public void printGrades(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    for (Student student : students) {
        if ((student.getGrade( ) == INCOMPLETE) ||
            (student.getGrade( ) == D)) {
            // Make this student retake this class
        }
    }
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    try {
        EnumImporter importer = new EnumImporter( );
    }
}
```

```
importer.printGrades(out);  
  
} catch (Exception e) {  
    e.printStackTrace( );  
}  
  
}  
  
}
```

NOTE

Dean and Robbie appear to be spending too much time playing guitar and banjo, and not enough time studying!

Nowhere in this class do you see `Grade.A` or `Grade.INCOMPLETE`, which really reduces the overall clutter. As a result, the code is a good deal clearer, and even a little shorter (not a bad thing).

This same trick works for enums that are declared inline within a class; remember the `Downloader` example from [Chapter 3](#)? It's reprinted here for convenience:

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch03;  
  
public class Downloader {  
  
    public enum DownloadStatus { INITIALIZING, IN_PROGRESS, COMPLETE };  
  
    // Class body  
  
}
```

You can import these into another class with the following line:

```
import static com.oreilly.tiger.ch03.Downloader.DownloadStatus.*;
```

As I mentioned back in [Chapter 3](#), though, this is more of a hack than a real programming solution. If you need an enum in more than one class, it's a better practice to define the enum separately (in its own *.java* file), and then use it in both classes, rather than tying its declaration into a particular class file.



8.4 Importing Multiple Members with the Same Name

When you start digging into the rules for Java imports and the Java namespace (the names available to a piece of code, with or without package prefixes), things begin to get a little hairy. For example, it is illegal to have two type names that are identical within the same package. By extension, you can't import two types into your code with the same name.

On the other hand, this is precisely the sort of thing you *want* when dealing with methods—it's called overloading. So you may have three, four, or more methods of the same name, all taking different parameters, and `javac` will happily compile your code. So here's the short version—types must be named uniquely, methods need not be. And if you think overloading was cool in previous versions of Java, wait until you see the implications for Tiger!

8.4.1 How do I do that?

Suppose you import the `sort()` method from the `java.util.Arrays` class:

```
import static java.util.Arrays.sort;
```

There are 18 different versions of this method, and you get all of them via overloading. However, let's also assume that you also need the `Collections.sort()` methods as well (there are two versions of that one). Since this method takes different sets of arguments than any of the 18 versions you've already imported into your namespace, just add another `import` statement:

```
import static java.util.Arrays.sort;

import static java.util.Collections.sort;
```

Just like that, you're all set, and you get even more overloading. Now the compiler will actually decide, based on your arguments, which method, and even which class, to use to process your method call. Pretty cool!

NOTE

As cool as this is, it really increases the work that someone on the debugging or testing team has to do to figure out what's going on in your code.

8.4.2 What about...

...naming conflicts? As already stated, you'll get a compiler error if you import two types with the same name into your program. So the following lines would be illegal in a source listing:

```
import java.util.Arrays;  
  
import com.oreilly.tiger.ch08.Arrays;
```

You'd get the following error:

```
[javac] src\ch08\SortImporter.java:4:  
        java.util.Arrays is already defined in a single-type import  
[javac] import com.oreilly.tiger.ch08.Arrays;  
[javac] ^
```

When it comes to naming conflicts among imported static methods, though, things are a little more obscure. I've created a simple class, shown in [Example 8-3](#), that defines a method of `sort()` with the exact same arguments as one of the `sort()` methods in `java.util.Arrays`.

Example 8-3. Setting up a namespace conflict

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch08;  
  
public class Arrays {  
  
    public static void sort(float[] a) {  
        // Do nothing  
        // This is just used to illustrate some naming conflicts  
    }  
}
```

Now, add you can create a naming conflict with the following lines in another class:

```
import static java.util.Arrays.sort;  
  
import static java.util.Collections.sort;  
  
import static com.oreilly.tiger.ch08.Arrays.sort;
```

In theory, there is only a potential conflict here-but both `java.util.Arrays` and the new `Arrays` class define a method with the same name (`sort`), that takes an identical argument set (`float[]`). However, the compiler will not choke on this-in fact, it doesn't even provide you a warning! As best I can tell, you're being given the benefit of the doubt as a programmer (never a good thing!). However, if you try and use the method that's a problem, things go wrong, as shown in the following code:

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
  
    float[] f = new float[] {5, 4, 6, 3, 2, 1};  
  
    sort(f);  
  
}
```

This will cause a compiler error:

```
[javac] src\ch08\SortImporter.java:16: reference to sort is ambiguous,  
        both method sort(float[]) in com.oreilly.tiger.ch08.Arrays and  
            method sort(float[]) in java.util.Arrays match  
[javac]     sort(f);  
[javac]     ^
```

So namespaces are a little tricky, and you'd do well to be careful when importing static members of the same name from multiple classes.

8.5 Shadowing Static Imports

As a final act of complete confusion in your code, you're welcome to *shadow* your imports, static or otherwise. Shadowing is the process of having a member variable (or field, or method) effectively hide something that is already in the Java namespace through an import.

8.5.1 How do I do that?

Simply declare a member variable named the same as what is imported, and that you want to shadow. [Example 8-4](#) is an example of just that process in action.

Example 8-4. Shadowing an import

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch08;

import static java.lang.System.err;

import static java.lang.System.out;

import java.io.IOException;

import java.io.PrintStream;

public class StaticImporter {

    public static void writeError(PrintStream err, String msg)
        throws IOException {

        // Note that err in the parameter list overshadows the imported err
    }
}
```

```
        err.println(msg);
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        if (args.length < 2) {
            err.println(
                "Incorrect usage: java com.oreilly.tiger.ch08 [arg1] [arg2]");
            return;
        }

        out.println("Good morning, " + args[0]);
        out.println("Have a " + args[1] + " day!");

        try {
            writeError(System.out, "Error occurred.");
        } catch (IOException e) {
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
    }
}
```

NOTE

[Example 8-4](#) is an updated version of [Example 8-1](#).

Note that a variable named `err` is defined, local to the `writeError()` method. That variable, in that method, will shadow the `err` variable imported from `java.lang.System`. Keep in mind, though, that this adds yet another layer of obfuscation to your code. It's almost always easier to just rename your

variable to avoid this type of confusion, and save everyone some headaches:

```
public static void writeError(PrintStream errorStream, String msg)
    throws IOException {

    errorStream.println(msg);
}
```



Chapter 9. Formatting

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Creating a Formatter](#)
- [Writing Formatted Output](#)
- [Using the format\(\) Convenience Method](#)
- [Using the printf\(\) Convenience Method](#)

Tiger has a rather innocent looking new class called `java.util.Formatter`. Despite its looks, though, this one class provides new functionality to all of Tiger's output methods. To cut to the chase, all you former C programmers will finally get to compile code with `printf()` in it—there, now aren't you smiling already?

9.1 Creating a Formatter

The simplest way to get started with the `Formatter` class is to create a new instance of it, and then do some work. You'll see in later labs that this isn't always the *best* way to go about business, but it's as good a starting point as any.

9.1.1 How do I do that?

`Formatter` has several constructors, listed here:

```
// No-args version -- not particularly useful
public Formatter( );

// Basically, the no-args version with a locale
public Formatter(Locale l);

// Creates a formatter with the supplied destination (sink)
public Formatter(Appendable a);

// Creates a formatter with the destination, using the supplied locale
public Formatter(Appendable a, Locale l);

// Creates a new formatter with the filename as the sink
public Formatter(String fileName);

// Creates a new formatter with a file as the sink, using the specified
    charset
```

```
public Formatter(String fileName, String csn);

// Same as above, but with a locale

public Formatter(String fileName, String csn, Locale l);
```

This is a pretty wide range of options, and should cover your most basic formatting needs. Thus, you could use code such as this to create a `Formatter` targeted at a `StringBuilder`:

```
StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder( );

Formatter formatter = new Formatter(sb, Locale.FRANCE);

// The next lab details what you can do with Formatter
```

I realize this seems sort of trivial, but it's meant to be. `Formatter` is a new class, but not a particularly difficult one to master.

NOTE

If you're unfamiliar with `StringBuilder`, check out the lab at the end of this chapter.

9.2 Writing Formatted Output

Once you've got your `Formatter` instance, I'm going to make the rather silly leap to assuming you want to use it. The best way to do this is through the `format()` method.

NOTE

A sink is a term used to refer to where output goes to-sort of a collection bin. Often this is some output mechanism, like an `Output-Stream`, or an object that can later output what is in the sink, such as `StringBuffer`.

9.2.1 How do I do that?

First, let's deal with the easy stuff-this new class has some simple methods to let you find out how it's configured:

```
// Return the locale for this Formatter
public Locale locale( );

// Returns the last thrown IOException by the sink
public IOException ioException( );

// Returns the sink for output
public Appendable out( );
```

There is also a `close()` method, which, unsurprisingly, closes the formatter when you're done with output (which is particularly important when you're holding onto file resources).

The most interesting methods are the two versions of `format()`:

```
// Notice the varargs for multiple object arguments
public Formatter format(String format, Object... args);

// Same as above, but with a Locale
public Formatter format(Locale l, String format, Object... args);
```

While these methods return the object they're working on, it's common to not assign this return value, and merely discard it instead. This method works, as shown here (in a simple example):

```
StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder( );
Formatter formatter = new Formatter(sb);

formatter.format("Remaining account balance: $%.2f", balance);
```

NOTE

If you're unclear on how varargs or the `Object...` notation works, check out [Chapter 5](#).

This example would output the value in the `balance` variable, as a floating point number, with two decimal places allowed. For those of you used to methods like `printf()` in C, this is no big deal. For those of you who didn't grow up on a steady diet of `structs`, this is still probably a bit odd.

First, the `String` to output is passed in-no big deal here. However, within that `String` are several items that are dynamic-not known until run-time. In the previous example, the dynamic item is the value of `balance`. Anytime you need to insert dynamic data like that, the `%` indicates that a value will be supplied, in the argument list (remember `Object...`), to be inserted into the `String`. The characters *after* the `%` indicate how that value should be formatted:

```
%[argument][flags][width][.precision]type
```

9.2.1.1 Types

The only requirement here is *type* , so the example you saw previously could be written in its simplest format as shown here:

```
formatter.format("Remaining account balance: $%f", balance);
```

NOTE

If uppercase and lowercase versions are listed (%s, %S), the uppercase variant produces the same output as the lowercase variant, except that all lowercase letters are converted to uppercase.

[Table 9-1](#) is a rundown of all the available types. Note that these are actually *conversion types*-if the value supplied is not in the specified format, a conversion is attempted.

Table 9-1. Formatter conversion types

Conversion symbol	Description
<code>%%</code>	Escape sequence to allow printing of <code>%</code> in a <i>String</i> .
<code>%a, %A</code>	Formats the value as a floating-point number in exponential notation, using base-16 for the decimal part, and base-10 for the exponent part. Arguments must be <i>Float</i> , <i>Double</i> , or <i>BigDecimal</i> .
<code>%b, %B</code>	Formats the value as either "true" or "false" (or "TRUE" or "FALSE", for <code>%B</code>). For boolean values, this works as expected. For all other values, any non- <i>null</i> value is "true", while <i>null</i> values are "false".
<code>%c, %C</code>	Formats the value supplied as a single character. Supplied value must be a <i>Byte</i> , <i>Short</i> , <i>Character</i> , or <i>Integer</i> .
<code>%d</code>	Formats the value as a base-10 integer. Arguments must be <i>Byte</i> , <i>Short</i> , <i>Integer</i> , <i>Long</i> , or <i>BigInteger</i> .
<code>%e, %E</code>	Formats the value as a base-10 floating-point number, using exponential notation. Arguments must be <i>Float</i> , <i>Double</i> , or <i>BigDecimal</i> .
<code>%f</code>	Formats the value as a floating-point number in base-10, <i>without</i> exponential notation. Arguments must be <i>Float</i> , <i>Double</i> , or <i>BigDecimal</i> .

Conversion symbol	Description
<code>%g, %G</code>	Formats the value as a base-10 floating point number, with no more than 6 significant digits (if <i>precision</i> is not supplied). Arguments must be <code>Float</code> , <code>Double</code> , or <code>BigDecimal</code> .
<code>%h, %H</code>	Formats the value as a hexadecimal representation of the value's hashcode.
<code>%n</code>	Outputs the line separator for the platform.
<code>%o</code>	Formats the value as a base-8 octal integer. Arguments must be <code>Byte</code> , <code>Short</code> , <code>Integer</code> , <code>Long</code> , or <code>BigInteger</code> .
<code>%s, %S</code>	Formats the value supplied as a <code>String</code> , usually through calling <code>toString()</code> on the object.
<code>%t, %T</code>	The prefix for <i>all</i> date/time conversions. All date/time types listed in Table 9-2) requires a <code>Date</code> , <code>Calendar</code> , or <code>Long</code> argument. Note that the <code>t</code> or <code>T</code> determines uppercase/lowercase, rather than the case of the letter <i>following</i> the <code>t/T</code> .
<code>%x, %X</code>	Formats the value as a base-16 hexadecimal integer. Arguments must be <code>Byte</code> , <code>Short</code> , <code>Integer</code> , <code>Long</code> , or <code>BigInteger</code> .

Because date/time are a bit of a special case, they are listed in their own table, [Table 9-2](#).

Table 9-2. Date and time conversion types

Conversion symbol	Description
<code>%tA</code>	The locale-specific full name of the day of the week
<code>%ta</code>	The locale-specific abbreviation of the day of the week
<code>%tB</code>	The locale-specific full name of the month
<code>%tb</code>	The locale-specific abbreviation for the month
<code>%tC</code>	The century, from <code>00</code> to <code>99</code> (by dividing by <code>100</code>)
<code>%tc</code>	The complete date and time
<code>%tD</code>	The date in short numeric form

Conversion symbol	Description
<code>%td</code>	The day of the month, as a two-digit number-01 to 31
<code>%tE</code>	The date as milliseconds since midnight, UTC, on Jan. 1st, 1970
<code>%te</code>	The day of the month, without leading zeroes-1 to 31
<code>%tF</code>	The numeric day in ISO8601 format
<code>%tH</code>	Two-digit hour of the day, using a 24-hour clock-00 to 23
<code>%th</code>	The abbreviated month name (identical to <code>%tb</code>)
<code>%tI</code>	Two-digit hour of the day using a 12-hour clock-01 to 12
<code>%tj</code>	Three digit day of the year-001 to 366
<code>%tk</code>	Hour of the day on a 24-hour clock-0 to 23
<code>%tL</code>	Three-digit milliseconds within the second-000 to 999
<code>%tI</code>	Hour of the day on a 12-hour clock-1 to 12
<code>%tM</code>	Two-digit minute within the hour-00 to 59
<code>%tm</code>	Two-digit month of the year-01 to 12 (01 to 13 for lunar calendars)
<code>%tN</code>	Nanosecond within the second, expressed as nine digits
<code>%tp</code>	Locale-specific morning or afternoon indicator
<code>%tR</code>	The hour and minute on a 24-hour clock
<code>%tr</code>	The hour, minute, and second on a 24-hour clock
<code>%tS</code>	Two-digit seconds within the minute-00 to 59
<code>%ts</code>	Seconds since the beginning of the epoch
<code>%tT</code>	Time in hours, minutes, and seconds, using a 24-hour format
<code>%tY</code>	Four-digit (at least) year
<code>%ty</code>	Last two digits of the year-00 to 99
<code>%tZ</code>	Abbreviation for the timezone
<code>%tZ</code>	The timezone as a numeric offset from GMT

I know that's a lot of dry detail, especially for a down-and-dirty book such as this, but now you've go

all the conversion codes at your fingertips.

9.2.1.2 Precision

You can add an optional precision indicator to your format string:

```
formatter.format("Remaining account balance: $%.2f", balance);
```

By adding `.2`, it indicates that the value of `balance` should be given two decimal places of precision, ensuring you get a number like `2510.00` instead of `2510`. `.2` in this case fills the *precision* spot in the syntax list. Here are a few rules that apply:

- For `%e`, `%E`, and `%f`, the default precision is 6.
- For `%g` and `%G`, the precision is the *total*/number of significant digits to be displayed.
- For `%s`, `%h`, and `%b` (and their uppercase variants), the precision determines the maximum characters output.



If the formatted output exceeds *precision*, the output is truncated. Additionally, specifying precision for other conversion types can result in an exception at runtime (not compile time).

- Trailing zeros are always added as needed, to match the specified (or default) precision, for numeric types.

9.2.1.3 Width

In addition to precision, the total minimum number of characters to be produced can be set through *width*:

```
formatter.format("Remaining account balance: $%6.2f", balance);
```

Here, the balance will be shown with *at least* six digits of total characters. If the formatted output is less than the specified width, zeroes are added as padding on the left (values are right-justified). A width can be specified for any conversion type other than `%n` (which isn't a true conversion type anyway-it's a line separator).

If *precision* is smaller than *width*, the formatted value is truncated to the supplied precision, and then padded with zeros to the supplied width.

9.2.1.4 Argument

By default, calls to `format()` (and `printf()`, detailed in "Using the `printf()` Convenience Method") match each conversion with the arguments supplied to the method, in order, one after another. However, you can optionally change that behavior with an argument indicator:

```
formatter.format("Remaining account balance: $%6.2f"+
    "(Today's total balance:      $%<8.2f)", balance);
```

Here, the `<` argument is used to indicate that the previous argument should be used (again), rather than continuing on. This is a clever way to reuse arguments without listing them in the argument list multiple times. It also allows the same argument to be formatted in different ways:

```
formatter.format("Date: %tD%nTime: %<tr%n", System.currentTimeMillis( ));
```

You can also explicitly refer to an argument by its position, using the syntax `[arg-number]$`. Thus, you could refer to the second argument in the list with `%2$`.

9.2.1.5 Flags

The final option you have is to specify one or more flags, which are non-numeric characters that appear just before width and precision indicators:

```
formatter.format("Remaining account balance: $%(,6.2f"+
    "(Today's total balance:      $%(,<8.2f)", balance);
```

In this example, two flags are used: the parenthetical `()`, and the comma indicator `(,)`. The parenthetical indicates that negative values should be placed in parentheses, and the comma instructs the formatter to insert commas (or any other local-specific grouping separator) between digits. So, output from these instructions would look like `$(8,134.28)`, or `$(008,134.28)`, depending on the width specified. [Table 9-3](#) has the complete list of valid flags.

Table 9-3. Format flags

Flag	Description
-	Indicates that the formatted value should be left-justified, based on <i>width</i> .
#	Indicates that the formatted output should appear in <i>alternate form</i> . For <code>%o</code> , this means a leading 0. For <code>%x</code> and <code>%X</code> , output will include a leading <code>0x</code> (<code>0X</code>). For <code>%s</code> and <code>%S</code> , the flag is passed on to the object's <code>formatTo()</code> method.
+	Indicates that numeric output should always include a sign (+ or -).
' '	The space value (which is hard to show in a book) indicates that non-negative values should be prefixed with a space. This is generally used for alignment with negative numbers.
(Indicates that negative numbers should appear in parentheses.
0	Indicates that numeric values should be padded on the left.
,	Indicates that the locale-specific grouping character should be used for numeric values.

NOTE

The # flag only works in conjunction with `%s` and `%S` if the argument implements `java.util.Formatter`.

9.2.1.6 Output

It's worth saying that with all of this talk of formatting, nothing is output until you actually *use* your sink:

```
System.out.println(sb.toString( ));
```

It's easy to do lots of great formatting work, and then forget to actually output the results. There are also several other ways to output formatted strings like this, covered in the following recipes.

NOTE

If you've chosen a stream as your sink, then the output occurs as you format it .

9.3 Using the format() Convenience Method

The `Formatter` object is a nice addition to the language-however, it's a bit inconvenient to break your program flow for four or five statements related only to formatting, and then get back to the job at hand. It's even more distracting when you have to do this five or six times in a single code block. Fortunately, there are some nice convenience methods that make these steps largely unnecessary.

9.3.1 How do I do that?

The classes `java.io.PrintStream`, `java.io.PrintWriter`, and `java.lang.String` all have a new method available to them in Tiger, called `format()`. All three classes have two versions of the method:

```
public [returnType] format(String format, Object... args);
public [returnType] format(Locale l, String format, Object... args);
```

The `String` versions of these methods are `static`.

This should look awfully familiar if you just read "Writing Formatted Output". For each of these, `returnType` is the object type itself (so a `PrintStream`, `PrintWriter`, or `String`). For both `PrintStream` and `PrintWriter`, the output is usually ignored; for `String`, the method is usually invoked statically and the result is assigned to a new `String`. Each method used varargs, as did the `Formatter` object, allowing you to pass in as many arguments to be used by the format string as needed.

So, rather than having to create a new `Formatter`, set one of these objects as its sink, and format it, you can handle all of this in a single step:

```
String balanceStmt =
    String.format("Remaining account balance: $%,6.2f"+
        "(Today's total balance:      $%,<8.2f)", balance);
```

This saves a few steps, and now the `balanceStmt` `String` can be output easily. This method is even more useful for the stream and writer versions:

```
System.out.format("Date: %tD%nTime: %<tr%n", System.currentTimeMillis( ));
```



< Day Day Up >

9.4 Using the `printf()` Convenience Method

For those of you who are die-hard C and C++ fans, Tiger gives you the ability to type `printf()` once more.

9.4.1 How do I do that?

In "Using the `format()` Convenience Method," you saw how both the `PrintStream` and `PrintWriter` classes offer a new method called `format()` to handle formatted output. Each class also has a method called `printf()`, which does *exactly the same thing*. That's right- `printf()` and `format()` are interchangeable. So, if you favor using `printf()` over `format()`, you're free to do so, as [Example 9-1](#) shows.

Example 9-1. Using `printf()` instead of `format()`

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch09;

import java.io.BufferedReader;
import java.io.File;
import java.io.FileReader;

public class FormatTester {

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        String filename = args[0];

        try {

            File file = new File(filename);
```

```
FileReader fileReader = new FileReader(file);

BufferedReader reader = new BufferedReader(fileReader);

String line;

int i = 1;

while ((line = reader.readLine( )) != null) {

    System.out.printf("Line %d: %s%n", i++, line);

}

} catch (Exception e) {

    System.err.printf("Unable to open file named '%s': %s",

        filename, e.getMessage( ));

}

}

}
```

Chapter 10. Threading

NOTE

In this chapter:

- [Handling Uncaught Exceptions in Threads](#)
- [Using Thread-Safe Collections](#)
- [Using Blocking Queues](#)
- [Specifying Timeouts for Blocking](#)
- [Separating Thread Logic from Execution Logic](#)
- [Using Executor as a Service](#)
- [Using Callable Objects](#)
- [Executing Tasks Without an ExecutorService](#)
- [Scheduling Tasks](#)
- [Advanced Synchronizing](#)
- [Using Atomic Types](#)
- [Locking Versus Synchronization](#)

From its earliest days, Java has been a multithreaded environment. While the threading capabilities are formidable in Java 1.4, Tiger introduces a whole new slew of concurrency utilities, allowing for further tweaking of your multithreaded programs.

10.1 Handling Uncaught Exceptions in Threads

Normally a Java thread (represented by any class that extends `java.lang.Thread`) stops when its `run()` method completes. In an abnormal case, such as when something goes wrong, the thread can terminate by throwing an exception. This exception trickles up the thread's `ThreadGroup` hierarchy, and if it gets to the root `ThreadGroup`, the default behavior is to print out the thread's name, exception name, exception message, and exception stack trace.

To get around this behavior (at least in Java 1.4 and earlier), you've got to insert your own code into the `ThreadGroup` hierarchy, handle the exception, and prevent delegation back to the root `ThreadGroup`. While this is certainly possible, you'll have to define your own subclass of `ThreadGroup`, make sure any `Threads` you create are assigned to that group, and generally do a lot of coding that has very little to do with the task at hand—actually handling the uncaught exception. Tiger simplifies all this dramatically, and lets you define uncaught exception handling on a per-`Thread` basis.

10.1.1 How do I do that?

The `java.lang.Thread` class defines a nested interface in Tiger, called `Thread.UncaughtExceptionHandler`. You can create your own implementation of this interface and pass it to your target `Thread`'s `setUncaughtExceptionHandler()` method (also new in Tiger). [Example 10-1](#) is a simple `Thread` that does just this.

Example 10-1. Thread with uncaught exception handler

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;

public class BubbleSortThread extends Thread {

    private int[] numbers;

    public BubbleSortThread(int[] numbers) {

        setName("Simple Thread");
    }
}
```

```
        setUncaughtExceptionHandler(  
            new SimpleThreadExceptionHandler( ));  
        this.numbers = numbers;  
    }  
  
    public void run( ) {  
        int index = numbers.length;  
        boolean finished = false;  
        while (!finished) {  
            index--;  
            finished = true;  
            for (int i=0; i<index; i++) {  
                // Create error condition  
                if (numbers[i+1] < 0) {  
                    throw new IllegalArgumentException(  
                        "Cannot pass negative numbers into this thread!");  
                }  
  
                if (numbers[i] > numbers[i+1]) {  
                    // swap  
                    int temp = numbers[i];  
                    numbers[i] = numbers[i+1];  
                    numbers[i+1] = temp;  
  
                    finished = false;  
                }  
            }  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

        }
    }
}

class SimpleThreadExceptionHandler implements
    Thread.UncaughtExceptionHandler {

    public void uncaughtException(Thread t, Throwable e) {

        System.err.printf("%s: %s at line %d of %s%n",
            t.getName( ),
            e.toString( ),
            e.getStackTrace( )[0].getLineNumber( ),
            e.getStackTrace( )[0].getFileName( ));
    }
}

```

NOTE

I used a bubble sort for the example, but you should know that sorts like quicksort are much faster (and therefore much cooler).

This is a pretty normal `Thread`, with the exception of two items. First, there is a rather odd condition thrown into the sorting algorithm: if an `int` less than zero is in the supplied array, an `IllegalArgumentException` is tossed out. Second, to handle this (rather odd) case, an implementation of `Thread.UncaughtExceptionHandler` is defined, which prints out some additional information about the problem, including a line number and filename.

To see this in action, you can use [Example 10-2](#), a simple test program.

Example 10-2. Testing the uncaught exception handler


```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.PrintStream;

public class ThreadTester {

    private int[] posArray = new int[] {1, 3, 6, 3, 4, 2, 5};
    private int[] negArray = new int[] {-2, -8, -3, -9, -10};

    public ThreadTester( ) {
    }

    public void testBubbleSort(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
        Thread t1 = new BubbleSortThread(posArray);
        t1.start( );

        out.println("Testing with postive numbers...");
        // Wait for the thread to complete
        try {
            t1.join( );
            printArray(posArray, out);
        } catch (InterruptedException ignored) { }

        Thread t2 = new BubbleSortThread(negArray);
```

```
t2.start( );

out.println("Testing with negative numbers...");

try {

    t2.join( );

    printArray(negArray, out);

} catch (InterruptedException ignored) { }

}

private void printArray(int[] a, PrintStream out) throws IOException {

    for (int n : a) {

        out.println(n);

    }

    out.println( );

}

public static void main(String[] args) {

    ThreadTester tester = new ThreadTester( );

    try {

        tester.testBubbleSort(System.out);

    } catch (Exception e) {

        e.printStackTrace( );

    }

}
```

```
}
```

NOTE

Running "ant runch10" will automate this for you.

If you run this example, which generates an error when negative numbers are supplied in `negArray`, you'll see the `SimpleExceptionHandler` in action:

NOTE

While this is a fine example, it's still a bad idea to write to the console, or even `System.err`, unless you're sure where those errors are going, and that they will be seen by some set of human eyes. A better idea would be to log these errors somewhere useful.

```
[echo] Running ThreadTester...
```

```
[java] Testing with postive numbers...
```

```
[java] 1
```

```
[java] 2
```

```
[java] 3
```

```
[java] 3
```

```
[java] 4
```

```
[java] 5
```

```
[java] 6
```

```
[java] Testing with negative numbers...
```

```
[java] Simple Thread: java.lang.IllegalArgumentException: Cannot pass  
negative numbers into this thread! at line 23 of
```

```
BubbleSortThread.java
```

```
[java] -2
```

```
[java] -8
```

```
[java] -3
```

```
[java] -9
```

```
[java] -10
```

Also, notice that the negative array (`negArray`) doesn't get sorted. That's because the thread threw an exception and never completed the sort.

10.1.2 What about...

...setting an `UncaughtExceptionHandler` for all threads? Install a default handler: pass an implementation of `Thread.UncaughtExceptionHandler` to the `Thread.setDefaultUncaughtExceptionHandler()`, which is, of course, a static method:

```
Thread.setUncaughtExceptionHandler(new MyDefaultHandler( ));
```

If a `Thread` has its own handler, that of course overrides the default handler. In fact, here's the exact sequence of checks that the JVM goes through when determining how to handle an uncaught exception:

1. Check for a thread-specific handler to invoke, and if one exists, invoke it.
2. Invoke the handler of the containing `ThreadGroup`.
3. If the containing `ThreadGroup` (and its ancestors) have not overridden `uncaughtException()`, pass the exception up the `ThreadGroup` hierarchy, until the root `ThreadGroup` is reached.
4. Invoke the default handler, obtained by calling `Thread.getDefaultExceptionHandler()`.

10.2 Using Thread-Safe Collections

If you've ever used Java's collection classes in an environment with lots of threads, you know that Sun's nod to threading and collections is a bit heavy-handed. You can either use `HashMap`, `List` implementations, and `Set` implementations, which aren't thread-safe, and deal with threading on your own, or you can use `Hashtable` or `Vector`, which has `synchronized` methods all over the place. Tiger has added a number of thread-safe collections, many of which perform even better than `Hashtable` and `Vector` when used correctly. While these classes are hardly magic bullets, they offer more variety, and that's always a good thing.

NOTE

It's still beyond me why the read methods on `Hashtable` are synchronized.

10.2.1 How do I do that?

All of Java's new concurrency support for collections is tucked away in `java.util.concurrent`. And, thankfully, the classes that mirror existing collections serve as drop-in replacements for their non-threadsafe counterparts.

10.2.1.1 ConcurrentHashMap

The first, and probably most valuable, collection to look at is `java.util.concurrent.ConcurrentHashMap`. This class makes the obvious first nod towards concurrence by *not* synchronizing any of its read methods. That takes care of a lot of locking and threading issues right off the bat. Even more importantly, `ConcurrentHashMap` segments its internal hashtable, so you can write to one segment while another thread writes to another (in addition to reads always being allowed). In terms of use, it is *identical* to `HashMap`, so you can add the following import:

```
import java.util.concurrent.ConcurrentHashMap;
```

Now all you have to do is search and replace on "HashMap," and you're all set. I won't bore you with the details...you should get the idea. Just make the change, and your code gets all the benefits of concurrent reads, and even concurrent writes most of the time.

10.2.1.2 CopyOnWriteArrayList

`java.util.concurrent.CopyOnWriteArrayList` is a thread-aware version of `List`, and particular (of course) `ArrayList`. This is a great solution for arrays that are updated infrequently, but are read very often. It dispenses of synchronization, allowing any number of concurrent reads. For writing, it actually creates a new copy of the underlying array, and then assigns that new copy (with changes) back to the underlying copy.

10.2.1.3 CopyOnWriteArraySet

`java.util.concurrent.CopyOnWriteArraySet` works just like `CopyOnWriteArrayList`, and the same functionality applies. You get concurrent reading, and pay a fairly minimal performance cost, as long as you're reading a lot more than you are writing.

10.2.2 What just happened?

`ConcurrentHashMap`'s magic is all in the segmentation of its internal hashtable. By default, there are 16 segments in this hashmap, and any operation on one segment has *no* effect on the others—including threading concerns. So you could, theoretically, have 16 threads operating on 16 different segments, all at the same time. If you have specialized needs for segmentation, you can specify the estimated threads that will write to the object:

```
Map map = new ConcurrentHashMap(2000, 25, 25);
```

The first parameter is the initial size, common to normal `HashMap` implementations. Next comes the load factor, and then the concurrency level. This isn't specifically named as the number of segments, but instead as the number of threads you expect to be performing concurrent updates. The implementation is then free to perform segmentation and internal sizing based upon that value.

As for the `CopyOnWrite` collections, you're basically getting around concurrency issues altogether. The reading part is easy—let any thread read from the collection anytime. Writing is a little trickier, and it's here where the downside comes into play. These collections create entirely new lists (or sets) on update, make the changes requested, and then the modified list (or set) is assigned to the instance. This is a pretty clumsy operation if you're doing lots of writes, but for an occasional write compared to a ton of reads, it works great, and you get a very fast, thread-aware (albeit not thread-safe) collection.

10.2.3 What about...

...the other classes and interfaces in `java.util.concurrent`? Many of these classes are covered in

later labs, such as "Using Blocking Queues" and "Scheduling Tasks". But, there are some additional collection-analogs like those discussed here, such as `ConcurrentLinkedQueue`. Once you understand the basics presented here, you can figure out how these extra classes work with a quick glance at the Javadoc. Remember, most of these are drop-in substitutions for non-concurrent collections, so your learning curve should be next to nothing.

You also might be wondering about how these new classes work with iterators. Any `Iterator` instance obtained from `CopyOnWriteArrayList` and `CopyOnWriteArraySet` reflects the contents of the list or set *when it was obtained*. This means that you are essentially getting a snapshot of the list or set, rather than a dynamic version. Depending on your application, this can be absolutely great, or incredibly difficult! In general, use your iterators and ditch them, obtaining a new instance (through `iterator()`) if you need it again later. This will minimize the possibility of using stale data in your program logic.



< Day Day Up >

10.3 Using Blocking Queues

In [Chapter 1](#), you saw that Tiger introduced a new collection type, `java.util.Queue`. This interface has several implementations, such as `DelayQueue` and `PriorityQueue`. However, all of these assume sufficient room in the queue for adding elements, or, at the least, an error when there isn't room available.

True queues, though, often involve a wait period, where an element (or person—think of a line for a concert) waits in place until an opening is available. The same is true for removal—another thread (or unruly ticketbuyer) shouldn't be able to jump in front if there are already threads waiting to peel off the next item in the queue. Fortunately, the guys at Sun realized this is an important threading concept (or maybe just spent a lot of time trying to see Dave Matthews recently). In either case, the end-result is `java.util.concurrent.BlockingQueue`. This interface defines a means of blocking other threads on a `put`, or a `take`. As an added bonus, I'll even drop the concert ticket analogy now.

10.3.1 How do I do that?

The `Queue` interface defines the `offer()` method for adding elements to the queue, and the `poll()` method for removing elements. `offer()` should be used instead of `add()` (defined in `Collection`), because it returns a `boolean` value indicating if the addition was successful (implying that the queue was not full). In the same fashion, `poll()` simply returns `null` if the queue is empty. However, neither of these methods wait for space to be available, or for an element to be available, respectively.

`java.util.concurrent.BlockingQueue` is an interface that extends `Queue`, and adds two more methods: `put()` and `take()`. This is one of those cases where a code sample is worth a thousand words, so take a look at [Example 10-3](#). This represents one of the classic uses of a queue, in a producer/consumer relationship.

NOTE

"`add()`" throws an unchecked exception if the queue is full, which isn't really appropriate, as a full queue isn't an exceptional condition.

Example 10-3. A producer for a BlockingQueue

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;
```



```
import java.io.PrintStream;

import java.util.Date;

import java.util.concurrent.BlockingQueue;

public class Producer extends Thread {

    private BlockingQueue q;

    private PrintStream out;

    public Producer(BlockingQueue q, PrintStream out) {

        setName("Producer");

        this.q = q;

        this.out = out;

    }

    public void run( ) {

        try {

            while (true) {

                q.put(produce( ));

            }

        } catch (InterruptedException e) {

            out.printf("%s interrupted: %s", getName( ), e.getMessage( ));

        }

    }

    private String produce( ) {
```

```
while (true) {  
    double r = Math.random( );  
  
    // Only goes forward 1/10 of the time  
    if ((r*100) < 10) {  
        String s = String.format("Inserted at %tc", new Date( ));  
        return s;  
    }  
}  
}
```

[Example 10-4](#) is the consumer half of the relationship.

Example 10-4. Consumer for a BlockingQueue

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;  
  
import java.io.PrintStream;  
import java.util.concurrent.BlockingQueue;  
  
public class Consumer extends Thread {  
  
    private BlockingQueue q;  
    private PrintStream out;  

```

```
public Consumer(String name, BlockingQueue q,
                PrintStream out) {
    setName(name);
    this.q = q;
    this.out = out;
}

public void run( ) {
    try {
        while (true) {
            process(q.take( ));
        }
    } catch (InterruptedException e) {
        out.printf("%s interrupted: %s", getName( ), e.getMessage( ));
    }
}

private void process(Object obj) {
    out.printf("%s processing object:%n           '%s'%n",
              getName( ), obj.toString( )); }
}
```

Finally, here's a sample usage:

```
public void testQueue(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
```

```
BlockingQueue queue = new LinkedBlockingQueue(10);

Producer p = new Producer(queue, out);

Consumer c1 = new Consumer("Consumer 1", queue, out);

Consumer c2 = new Consumer("Consumer 2", queue, out);

Consumer c3 = new Consumer("Consumer 3", queue, out);

Consumer c4 = new Consumer("Consumer 4", queue, out);

p.start(); c1.start(); c2.start(); c3.start( ); c4.start( );

while (true) {

    // hang out for a while

}

}
```

NOTE

You can test out this method by running "ant runch10". It will wait forever, though, so you'll have to break out of the program.

You'll see tons of output, as the producer fills the queue and the consumers grab information out of it. What's cool, though, is that the processing cycles through the four consumers, in order:

```
[java] Consumer 1 processing object:
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'
[java] Consumer 2 processing object:
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'
[java] Consumer 3 processing object:
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'
[java] Consumer 4 processing object:
```

```
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'  
[java] Consumer 1 processing object:  
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'  
[java] Consumer 2 processing object:  
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'  
[java] Consumer 3 processing object:  
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'  
[java] Consumer 4 processing object:  
[java]          'Inserted at Tue May 04 08:43:50 GMT-06:00 2004'
```

This lets you know that each consumer, once it gets its turn, has a lock on the queue until it gets an object. This could take a few seconds, or a few days, and the threads really don't care.

There are five out-of-the-box implementations of `BlockingQueue`; all are in the `java.util.concurrent` package:

NOTE

It's a bit of luck that these came out in order. Your results may be completely different, orderwise.

`ArrayBlockingQueue`

You have to specify the initial capacity when you create this queue, and like any other array, this capacity is the fixed limit. This queue has a somewhat reduced throughput as compared to other implementations, but threads are served in the order that they arrive.

`LinkedBlockingQueue`

This queue is based on a linked list (duh!). While you can specify a maximum size, it is by default unbounded.

PriorityBlockingQueue

This queue bases ordering on a specified `Comparator`, and the element returned by any `take()` call is the smallest element based on this ordering. If you don't specify a `Comparator`, the natural ordering is used (assuming the objects supplied to it implement `Comparable`). If your objects *don't* implement `Comparable`, and you don't have a `Comparator` to supply, there's really no reason to use `PriorityBlockingQueue`.

NOTE

There's a nonblocking version of `PriorityBlockingQueue`, `java.util.PriorityQueue`.

DelayQueue

`DelayQueue` is essentially a version of `PriorityBlockingQueue` that uses elements that implement the new `java.util.concurrent.Delayed` interface. Since this interface extends `Comparable`, it fits right into a `PriorityBlockingQueue` structure. Additionally, it won't allow an element to be grabbed with `take()` until that element's delay has elapsed.

SynchronousQueue

This queue has a size of zero (yes, you read that correctly). It blocks `put()` calls until another thread calls `take()`, and blocks `take()` calls until another thread calls `put()`. Essentially, elements can only go directly from a producer to a consumer, and nothing is stored in the queue itself (other than for transition purposes).

These are self-explanatory, so pick the one you need, and go forth and code (well, after reading the rest of this chapter).

NOTE

If you're familiar at all with Ada (a programming language used most often in military defense programs), `SynchronousQueue` is a lot like a rendezvous channel.

10.4 Specifying Timeouts for Blocking

When you're working with threads, you've often got to deal with blocking issues. Sometimes you may want to simply have a thread pause for a bit; other times you may be willing to wait, say, 10 seconds for an object lock, and then you'd rather move on than keep waiting. In these cases, it's possible to specify the exact time you want to wait using the new `java.util.concurrent.TimeUnit` enum.

10.4.1 How do I do that?

Tiger introduces the `java.util.concurrent.TimeUnit` enum, which defines four values: `SECONDS`, `MILLISECONDS`, `MICROSECONDS`, and `NANOSECONDS`. I'll even bet you've already figured out what this class does—it represents time, in meaningful units. One of the common uses is to put a thread to sleep for a specific amount of time:

```
try {
    TimeUnit.SECONDS.sleep(30);
} catch (InterruptedException e) {
    // report error
}
```

NOTE

It's also common to use these units in specifying how long threads should wait for locks, as in `BlockingQueue.poll()`.

Here's an updated version of the `testQueue()` method, shown in [Using Blocking Queues](#):

```
public void testQueue(PrintStream out) throws IOException {
    BlockingQueue queue = new LinkedBlockingQueue();
    Producer p = new Producer(queue, out);
}
```

```
Consumer c1 = new Consumer("Consumer 1", queue, out);
Consumer c2 = new Consumer("Consumer 2", queue, out);
Consumer c3 = new Consumer("Consumer 3", queue, out);
Consumer c4 = new Consumer("Consumer 4", queue, out);

p.start(); c1.start(); c2.start(); c3.start( ); c4.start( );

try {
    TimeUnit.SECONDS.sleep(2);
} catch (InterruptedException ignored) { }
}
```

NOTE

Enums and defining custom methods are all covered in [Chapter 3](#).

This enum defines several other methods of interest:

```
long convert(long duration, TimeUnit unit)
```

Converts the specified duration to units for the current `TimeUnit`.

```
void sleep(long timeout)
```

Puts the thread to sleep for specified units (covered in this lab).

```
void timedJoin(Thread t, long duration)
```

Joins the supplied thread, as with `t.join()`, with a timeout that once exceeded causes this process to bail out.


```
void timedWait(Object o, long duration)
```

Waits to get the lock on `o` for the specified duration.

```
toMicros( ), toMillis( ), toNanos( ), toSeconds( )
```

These are all conversion methods that take a `long` value for duration, and return a `long` value representing the converted value. These are all convenience versions of `convert()`.

10.4.2 What about...

...statically importing `TimeUnit`? Yup, that's a good idea:

```
import static java.util.concurrent.TimeUnit.*;
```

Now you can write code like this:

```
try {  
    SECONDS.sleep(2);  
} catch (InterruptedException ignored) { }
```

10.5 Separating Thread Logic from Execution Logic

Suppose you've just spent 6 or 7 hours, heads-down, coming up with 10 or 15 complicated threads, many of which are interdependent, and finally have the queuing, ordering, and timing all figured out. Just as you're about to pop the top on a Coke™, your boss comes storming in, asking for more functionality, and you realize you're going to need to add another thread. While that in itself isn't hard, fitting them into the timing of the other threads is, and suddenly, you're looking at a complete redesign.

This is all too familiar for programmers working in multithreaded environments. The problem is that in Java (at least, pre-Tiger Java), threads' execution cycles are tied to the code they actually perform. Functionality and timing are all tied together. If you've got Tiger, though, you can get around this, and separate thread functionality from thread execution.

10.5.1 How do I do that?

One of the coolest new interfaces in the `java.util.concurrent` package is `Executor`. This interface defines a means of supplying threads to an object (which implements the `Executor` interface), and letting that object deal with timing and running of the threads, rather than forcing you to place this logic in your threading class. You add your `Runnable` objects to the `Executor` (which generally adds them to an internal queue), and the `Executor` then uses its own threads to peel off the objects and run them.

While you can create your own implementations of this class, you're better off using one of the pre-built implementations. These are not classes unto themselves, but pre-configured `Executor` implementations, each returned from a factory method on the `java.util.concurrent.Executors` class. Here's the basic rundown:

Single thread executor

Obtained with `Executors.newSingleThreadExecutor()`, this results in a pool size of 1, so tasks are executed one at a time.

Fixed thread executor

Obtained with `Executors.newFixedThreadPool(int poolSize)`, this creates an executor with the specified number of threads to run the tasks with which you supply it.

Cached thread executor

This executor, obtained with `Executors.newCachedThreadPool()`, will use as many threads as it needs to run the objects in its queue. It will reuse threads as they become available, as well as create new threads.

Scheduled thread executor

This executor is obtained with `Executors.newScheduledThreadPool()`, or `Executors.newSingleThreadScheduledExecutor()`, and is detailed in [Executing Tasks Without an ExecutorService](#).

So what happens when you have just one little `Callable` object that you want to execute, and you don't need the overhead of `ExecutorService`? Well, it seems those Sun guys thought of everything (except perhaps open-sourcing Java)-you use `FutureTask`.

10.5.2 How do I do that?

`java.util.concurrent.FutureTask` can be wrapped around `Callable` objects, allowing them to behave like a `Future` implementation returned from `ExecutorService.submit()`. The syntax is similar as well:

```
FutureTask<BigInteger> task =
    new FutureTask<BigInteger>(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));

new Thread(task).start( );

BigInteger result = task.get( );
```

The methods available to `FutureTask` are similar to `Future`, so I'll leave it to you to check out the Javadoc. With the details from [Using Callable Objects](#), you shouldn't have any problems.

10.5.3 What about...

...good old `Runnable`? Fortunately, plain old `Thread` and `Runnable` didn't get left out of the mix. You can wrap a `FutureTask` around a `Runnable` object just as easily as you can around a `Callable` object, and the same functionality applies. However, since `Runnable`'s `run()` method doesn't return a result, the constructor is a bit different:

```
FutureTask<String> task =
    new FutureTask<String>(new MyRunnableObject, "Success!");
```

You have to supply a value to the constructor, of the type specified by your parameterization (in this example, a `String`), which is returned by `get()` if execution is successful. While the above example is valid, there are really only two common variants when using `Runnable FutureTasks`:

```
FutureTask<Object> task = new FutureTask<Object>(runnable, null);
```

```
FutureTask<Boolean> task = new FutureTask<Boolean>(runnable, true);
```

The first allows for discarding the result of `get()` altogether, and the second provides a true/false check for the result of `get()`. You'd do well to use one of these yourself.

You essentially just create an `Executor`, give it some tasks, and then don't worry about it:

```
Executor e = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(5);
```

```
e.execute(new RunnableTask1( ));
```

```
e.execute(new RunnableTask2( ));
```

```
e.execute(new RunnableTask3( ));
```

I realize this goes against the nature of control freaks (of which I am one), but the `Executor` is quite competent to handle the running of your tasks.

10.6 Using Executor as a Service

While `Executor` on its own is a great addition to Java, there are times when you need more control over how execution occurs, when it stops, and even *how* it stops. For all of these cases, `ExecutorService` should be used.

10.6.1 How do I do that?

If you take a close look at the factory method signatures for `Executors`, you'll note that each returns an `ExecutorService`, rather than an `Executor`. `java.util.concurrent.ExecutorService` is a subinterface of `Executor`, and adds a good deal of functionality to the simple `execute()` method you saw in "Separating Thread Logic From Execution." Two of these are key in allowing you to stop an `ExecutorService`, either for an error condition or in a normal shutdown situation:

NOTE

This isn't a fully (or even partially) functional FTP server, and is just used for example purposes. You won't find it in the sample code, as it doesn't actually work.

```
public class FtpServer {  
  
    private ExecutorService service;  
  
    public FtpServer(int port) throws IOException {  
        openSocket(port);  
        service = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(100);  
    }  
  
    public void go( ) {  
        try {
```

```
        while (true) {
            service.execute(new FtpHandler(getSocket( )));
        }
    } catch (Exception e) {
        service.shutdownNow( );
    }
}

public void stop( ) {
    try {
        service.shutdown( );
    } catch (Exception e) {
        // report problem
    }
}

}

class FtpHandler implements Runnable {

    private Socket socket;

    public FtpHandler(Socket socket) {
        this.socket = socket;
    }

    public void run( ) {
```

```
        // Handle connection  
    }  
}
```

NOTE

Basically, `getSocket()` would wait for a client to connect, and return the connection to that client as a `Socket` object.

This little pseudo-class primarily illustrates two methods:

`shutdown()`

This method stops the service, but first allows the service to attempt to complete all running and queued tasks. No new tasks are accepted after this call, though.

`shutdownNow()`

A little more direct, this method stops the service, and does *not* allow any queued or running tasks to execute. Currently running tasks are basically tossed, and queued tasks are returned via a `List<Runnable>`, for program use (if desired—in the example, I ignored these completely).

You can choose whichever of these suits you, based on your application needs. There's one other big advantage of using `ExecutorService`, which the next lab, [Using Callable Objects](#), looks at in detail.

10.7 Using Callable Objects

Java's `Thread` class has been around for a while, and certainly stood the test of time. However, there are a few things that `Thread`'s `run()` method can't do—one is to throw checked `Exceptions` (exceptions from `run()` are not checked at compile time), and the other is to return a result. Both of these are things that you can get around with some clever programming, but in Tiger, you no longer have to. Instead, use the new `java.util.concurrent.Callable` class.

10.7.1 How do I do that?

An object that implements the `Callable` interface only needs to implement one method: `call()`. The interface is a generic type, and the parameter you supply to the interface is the type returned by `call()`. So the `call()` method for a class that implements `Callable<String>` returns a `String`.

Like `Thread`'s `run()` method, you can invoke `call()` directly—but it's better to let an `ExecutorService` handle execution, by passing it to the `submit()` method of `ExecutorService`. Let's put these concepts to work, so you can get a better idea of how this fits together. [Example 10-5](#) is a `Callable` implementation that computes random prime numbers.

NOTE

Thanks to David Flanagan for another nice bit of example code.

Example 10-5. A Callable implementation

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;

import java.math.BigInteger;

import java.security.SecureRandom;

import java.util.Random;

import java.util.concurrent.Callable;
```



```
public class RandomPrimeSearch implements Callable<BigInteger> {  
  
    private static final Random prng = new SecureRandom( );  
  
    private int bitSize;  
  
    public RandomPrimeSearch(int bitSize) {  
        this.bitSize = bitSize;  
    }  
  
    public BigInteger call( ) {  
        return BigInteger.probablePrime(bitSize, prng);  
    }  
}
```

Now you can pass one or more of these objects to `submit()`:

```
ExecutorService service = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(5);  
  
Future<BigInteger> prime1 = service.submit(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));  
  
Future<BigInteger> prime2 = service.submit(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));  
  
Future<BigInteger> prime3 = service.submit(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));
```

This should all make sense, except for the addition of a new class: `java.util.concurrent.Future`. Here's the deal: like a `Thread`, a `Callable` object runs happily in the background, and your application won't wait for it to finish. However, as `call()` returns a value, there has to be a way to obtain that value, without having to have it right away (and forcing your program to wait around). This is where `Future` comes in-it allows you to operate upon the `Callable` object, including getting the value of `call()` and stopping its execution, without causing your entire program to block. Here

are the methods of `Future` you want to be familiar with:

```
boolean cancel (boolean mayInterruptIfRunning)
```

This attempts to cancel execution of the task. If the task has not started, it is cancelled; if it has started, `mayInterruptIfRunning` determines if it is cancelled.

```
V get( )
```

This parameterized method returns the result of the task, waiting for completion if needed.

```
V get(long timeout, TimeUnit unit)
```

This parameterized method returns the result of the task, if it completes within the specified waiting period.

```
boolean isCancelled( )
```

This indicates if the task was cancelled before normal completion.

```
boolean isDone( )
```

This indicates if the task is complete.

In most cases, you'll simply call `get()` and wait for the result:

```
ExecutorService service = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(5);  
  
Future<BigInteger> prime1 = service.submit(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));  
Future<BigInteger> prime2 = service.submit(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));  
Future<BigInteger> prime3 = service.submit(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));  
  
try {  
    BigInteger bigger = (prime1.get( )).multiply(prime2.get( )).  
multiply(prime3.get( ));  
}
```

```
        out.println(bigger);  
    } catch (InterruptedException e) {  
        e.printStackTrace(out);  
    } catch (ExecutionException e) {  
        e.printStackTrace(out);  
    }  
}
```

The other methods are typically useful when you have more of a daemon and need to cleanly cancel or shut down tasks yet to be executed.

NOTE

You don't need to call `isDone()` and then call `get()`; `get()` will wait for a completed result before returning.

10.8 Executing Tasks Without an ExecutorService

So what happens when you just have one little `Callable` object that you want to execute, and you don't need the overhead of `ExecutorService`? Well, it seems those Sun guys thought of everything (except perhaps open sourcing Java)-you use `FutureTask`.

10.8.1 How do I do that?

`java.util.concurrent.FutureTask` can be wrapped around `Callable` objects, allowing them to behave like a `Future` implementation returned from `ExecutorService.submit()`. The syntax is similar as well:

```
FutureTask<BigInteger> task =  
  
    new FutureTask<BigInteger>(new RandomPrimeSearch(512));  
  
new Thread(task).start( );  
  
BigInteger result = task.get( );
```

The methods available to `FutureTask` are similar to `Future`, so I'll leave it to you to check out the Javadoc. With the details from [Using Callable Objects](#), you shouldn't have any problems.

10.8.2 What about...

...good old `Runnable`? Fortunately, plain old `Thread` and `Runnable` didn't get left out of the mix. You can wrap a `FutureTask` around a `Runnable` object just as easily as you can around a `Callable` object, and the same functionality applies. However, since `Runnable`'s `run()` method doesn't return a result, the constructor is a bit different:

```
FutureTask<String> task =
```

```
new FutureTask<String>(new MyRunnableObject, "Success!");
```

You have to supply a value to the constructor, of the type specified by your parameterization (in this example, a `String`), which is returned by `get()` if execution is successful. While the above example is valid, there are really only two common variants when using `Runnable FutureTasks`:

```
FutureTask<Object> task = new FutureTask<Object>(runnable, null);
```

```
FutureTask<Boolean> task = new FutureTask<Boolean>(runnable, true);
```

The first allows for discarding the result of `get()` altogether, and the second provides a true/false check for the result of `get()`. You'd do well to use one of these yourself.

10.9 Scheduling Tasks

Along with the ability to separate the logic of a task (in a `Callable`, `Runnable`, or `Thread` object) from its execution, Tiger allows you to schedule execution at specific times.

10.9.1 How do I do that?

Earlier, you saw how the `Executors` class was used to obtain several `ExecutorServices`. Two methods that were brushed over were `newScheduledThreadPool()` and `newSingleThreadScheduledExecutor()`. Both of these return instances of `ScheduledExecutorService`, which adds several features to the basic `ExecutorService` interface. The simplest to use is `scheduleAtFixedRate()`, shown in action in [Example 10-6](#).

Example 10-6. Using scheduled tasks

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;

import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.PrintStream;
import java.util.Date;
import java.util.concurrent.Executors;
import java.util.concurrent.ScheduledExecutorService;
import java.util.concurrent.ScheduledFuture;

import static java.util.concurrent.TimeUnit.*;

public class ScheduleTester {
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {

    // Get the scheduler

    ScheduledExecutorService scheduler =

        Executors.newSingleThreadScheduledExecutor( );

    // Get a handle, starting now, with a 10 second delay

    final ScheduledFuture<?> timeHandle =

        scheduler.scheduleAtFixedRate(new TimePrinter(System.out), 0, 10, SECONDS);

    // Schedule the event, and run for 1 hour (60 * 60 seconds)

    scheduler.schedule(new Runnable( ) {

        public void run( ) {

            timeHandle.cancel(false);

        }

    }, 60*60, SECONDS);

}

class TimePrinter implements Runnable {

    private PrintStream out;

    public TimePrinter(PrintStream out) {

        this.out = out;

    }

}
```

```

    }

    public void run( ) {

        out.printf("Current time: %tr%n", new Date( ));

    }

}

```

Here's some output from this class:

```

[echo] Running ScheduleTester...

[java] Current time: 09:17:04 AM

[java] Current time: 09:17:14 AM

[java] Current time: 09:17:24 AM

[java] Current time: 09:17:34 AM

```

This code is actually every bit as simple as it looks. You obtain a new `ScheduledExecutorService` from `Executors`, and use the `scheduleAtFixedRate()` method to initiate a task. This method takes the task to run (either a `Runnable` or `Callable` implementation), then takes a delay (how long to wait before beginning execution), the period between executions, and the `TimeUnit` that these durations are expressed in. In the example, the `TimePrinter` thread is set up to run immediately, and then every 10 seconds thereafter.

The `scheduleAtFixedRate()` method returns a `ScheduledFuture` instance, which extends both the `Future` interface (detailed in [Using Callable Objects](#)), and the `Delayed` interface, which I haven't mentioned yet. `Delayed` is used for objects that are acted upon after a certain delay. Its `getDelay()` method, in the context of a `ScheduledFuture`, allows you to determine how much time is left before subsequent executions of your task.

The final piece of the puzzle is the `schedule()` invocation, which at first glance may confuse you. `schedule()` works just like `scheduleAtFixedRate()`, but sets up a single execution, rather than multiple ones. In the example, it's used to initiate a thread that will cancel the execution of `TimePrinter`, after an hour (60*60 seconds). This is an important part of scheduling—otherwise the thread printing the date would run on infinitely. It also makes the `ScheduledFuture` object important—it provides the only means of canceling the task's execution.

10.9.2 What about...

...that `final` declaration on the `ScheduledFuture` object? Because the inner class passed to the scheduler has to access the `Future` object, you've got to mark it as `final`. Otherwise, you'll get this error:

```
[javac] code\src\com\oreilly\tiger\ch10\ScheduleTester.java:26:
           local variable timeHandle is accessed from within inner class;
           needs to be declared final
[javac]           timeHandle.cancel(true);
[javac]           ^
[javac] 1 error
```

You'll run into this with all your scheduling programs, so you might want to keep this in mind.

NOTE

For a lengthy discussion on the "final" keyword, you might want to check out *Hardcore Java* (O'Reilly).

10.10 Advanced Synchronizing

If you've not begun to spew out `synchronized` statements by this point in the chapter, you are probably the type of programmer that would be into the new synchronizers available in the `java.util.concurrent` package. Unfortunately, the intimate details are beyond this book (it's a notebook, not an encyclopedia); still, here are some basics to get you pointed in the right direction.

10.10.1 How do I do that?

Tiger introduces four *synchronizer* classes. They all allow you to force threads to wait for a specific condition before continuing execution:

NOTE

All four of these classes are in the `java.util.concurrent` package.

Semaphore

The term *semaphore* has actually been around as long as concurrent programming. A semaphore represents one or more permits. Threads call `acquire()` to obtain a permit from the semaphore, and `release()` when done with the permit. If no permits are available, `acquire()` blocks, waiting for one to become available. In other words, a semaphore acts like a bouncer, only allowing so many people into the party at one time.

Variants on `acquire()`, which allow some control over what happens when a block is encountered, include `tryAcquire()`, which either never blocks or blocks for a specified timeout, and `acquireUninterruptibly()`, which won't let go even if an `InterruptedException` occurs.

CountDownLatch

A `CountDownLatch` is used to block threads until a certain set of operations is complete. When a latch is created, it is closed—any thread that calls the latch's `await()` method will block until the latch is opened. This allows threads to wait on the latch, ensuring that all operations are complete before continuing.

Threads that are performing those required operations can call `countDown()` to decrement the counter supplied to a `CountDownLatch` at its construction. When the latch's counter

reaches 0, the latch opens, and all threads sitting in `await()` become unblocked and continue execution.

Exchanger

An `Exchanger` provides for thread rendezvous for two threads, typically in a consumer-producer relationship. At some point these threads must "synch up," and possibly exchange the results of their individual tasks.

The most common use of an `Exchanger` is when a producer fills a buffer with data, and a consumer drains data from another source. Once the producer has filled its buffer, and the consumer drained its buffer, the two can swap buffers, and continue operation. However, *both* threads must complete their tasks before swapping. `Exchanger.exchange()` does the work here, as you might expect.

CyclicBarrier

`CyclicBarrier` is another thread rendezvous facility. However, this handles the case where multiple threads (generally more than two) must *all* rendezvous at a specified point. You specify the number of threads when you create the barrier, and then each thread calls `await()` when it reaches the point where it's ready to rendezvous. That blocks the thread until all related threads reach the barrier.

Once all the threads have called `await()`, the blocking stops, and all the threads can continue (and often interact). Additionally, the barrier is all-or-none: if one thread fails abnormally, and leaves a barrier point prematurely, all threads leave abnormally.

All of these are covered in detail in the newest edition of *Java Threads* (O'Reilly), which should be showing up on bookshelves in mid- to late summer, 2004. If you're into mutexes, semaphores, and latches, that's the place to go. They'll also be covered in the upcoming *Java in a Nutshell*, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly).

10.11 Using Atomic Types

Another advanced threading feature introduced in Tiger is that of *atomic type*. An *atomic operation* is one that is indivisible: no other threads can interrupt or examine a variable in the middle of an atomic operation. There's the beginning state, the end state, and (for all other threads) nothing in between. An atomic type is simply a type that has atomic operations available to it—it manages to be thread-safe despite being essentially lock-free.

10.11.1 How do I do that?

All atomic types are defined in the `java.util.concurrent.atomic` package. There are a number of types, revolving around `Boolean`, `Long`, `Integer`, and `object references`. This allows you to perform atomic operations on these types, using `AtomicBoolean`, `AtomicLong`, `AtomicInteger`, and `AtomicReference`, respectively.

Each type provides a `get()` and `set()` method, which do what you would expect (get and set the type's value, using an atomic operation). They also offer `getAndSet()`, which sets the value, returning the previous value, as well as `compareAndSet()`, which checks the value, and if it matches the supplied value, sets it to a new value. Additionally, `AtomicInteger` and `AtomicLong` provide for atomic versions of `++` and `--`, through variations on `decrement()` and `increment()` methods. For example, `decrementAndGet()` decrements the value of the atomic type, and returns the update value; `getAndIncrement()` returns the current value, and then increments it in the type. Here are several different ways to write a thread-safe counter, lifted straight out of *Java in a Nutshell*, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly):

NOTE

There are some variations on these types, with additional features, that you can check out in the Tiger Javadocs.

```
// Rely on locking to prevent concurrent access

int count1 = 0;

public synchronized int count1( ) {

    return count1++;

}
```

```
// Rely on the atomic operations to prevent concurrent access
AtomicInteger count2 = new AtomicInteger(0);

public int count2( ) {
    return count2.getAndIncrement( );
}

// Optimistic locking -- compare the result, to minimize overhead,
// and only correct if needed
AtomicInteger count3 = new AtomicInteger(0);

public int count3( ) {
    int result;

    do {
        result = count3.get( );
    } while (!count3.compareAndSet(result, result+1));

    return result;
}
```

If you're not familiar with object references, it's simply the reference to an object. `AtomicReference`, then, allows you to work with an object atomically, by getting and setting the reference in an indivisible manner. The most useful method on `AtomicReference` is probably `compareAndSet()`, which lets you change an object reference if it doesn't match the supplied value.

NOTE

"compareAndSet" is the canonical atomic operation.

Like the lab "Advanced Synchronizing," getting too much further into atomic types would have us we into the ground covered by *Java Threads* (O'Reilly), so I'll refer you to that work if you need to get further detail on atomic types.

10.11.2 What about...

...types like `byte`, `short`, and `char`? These (and their wrapper types) can all be stored in an `AtomicInteger`, providing you the same functionality. You'll just have to do a little conversion on the object's return values, which are almost always an `int`.

You can also use these atomic operations on arrays of the `Integer`, `Long`, and reference types (but not `Boolean`). `java.util.concurrent.atomic` defines `AtomicIntegerArray`, `AtomicLongArray`, and `AtomicReferenceArray` for just these occasions. They provide all the methods of their non-array counterparts, but each method takes an `int` index to indicate which item in the array you want to operate upon.



< Day Day Up >

10.12 Locking Versus Synchronization

The final topic I want to address is the new `Lock` interface that Tiger provides, along with its companion interface, `Condition`. If you're happy using the `synchronized` keyword, then this section might not really interest you. However, if you find `synchronized` limiting, using `Lock` might solve your problems.

10.12.1 How do I do that?

Tiger introduces the `java.util.concurrent.locks` package to add more flexible and extensive locking than available with the `synchronized` keyword. At its simplest, the `Lock` class can be made to emulate a `synchronized` block by calling `lock()`, and then `unlock()` when done. However, it's in going beyond these basics that things get interesting.

`Lock` provides a `lockInterruptibly()` method, which obtains a lock but allows for interruptions-this is something a `synchronized` block can't offer. There are also `tryLock()` methods that attempt to get a lock, but will not wait (or will wait for a specified duration)-another feature not available through use of a `synchronized` block. If a thread is waiting for a lock on a `synchronized` method or code block, it will happily (and quietly) wait forever.

To add to the fun, the `java.util.concurrent.locks.Condition` interface provides for multiple wait-sets per object. This allows conditions to keep threads waiting, and for releasing threads from a wait state based on specific (and even multiple) conditions. So a thread waiting to write, and only then if a value is changed, can be handled differently than a thread that is waiting to write without needing a value to be changed.

NOTE

Can you tell David Flanagan helped out a lot on this chapter? Thanks, David!

[Example 10-7](#) (borrowed from *Java in a Nutshell*, Fifth Edition (O'Reilly)) demonstrates the most common use of explicit locking, something called *hand-over-hand locking*. In this scenario, a linked list is used: a lock is obtained on one node, and then the next node, and traversed one node at a time. However, at each stage, the prior node is released, so only two nodes (at most) in the list are ever locked at once. There's simply no way to simulate this functionality without explicit locking.

NOTE

As David points out in *Java in a Nutshell*, this is a pretty useless list, functionally, although

it's a great example.

Example 10-7. Contrived linked list example

```
package com.oreilly.tiger.ch10;

import java.util.concurrent.locks.Condition;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.Lock;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.ReentrantLock;

public class LinkedList<E> {

    // The value of this node
    E value;

    // The rest of the list
    LinkedList<E> rest;

    // A lock for this node
    Lock lock;

    // Signals when the value of this node changes
    Condition valueChanged;

    // Signals when the node this is connected to changes
    Condition linkChanged;
```



```
public LinkedList(E value) {  
    this.value = value;  
    rest = null;  
    lock = new ReentrantLock( );  
    valueChanged = lock.newCondition( );  
    linkChanged = lock.newCondition( );  
}  
  
public void setValue(E value) {  
    lock.lock( );  
    try {  
        this.value = value;  
  
        // Let waiting threads that the value has changed  
        valueChanged.signalAll( );  
    } finally {  
        lock.unlock( );  
    }  
}  
  
public void executeOnValue(E desiredValue, Runnable task)  
    throws InterruptedException {  
  
    lock.lock( );  
    try {  
        // Checks the value against the desired value
```

```
while (!value.equals(desiredValue)) {  
    // This will wait until the value changes  
    valueChanged.await( );  
}  
  
// When we get here, the value is correct -- Run the task  
task.run( );  
} finally {  
    lock.unlock( );  
}  
}
```

```
public void append(E value) {  
    // Start the pointer at this node  
    LinkedList<E> node = this;  
    node.lock.lock( );  
  
    while (node.rest != null) {  
        LinkedList<E> next = node.rest;  
  
        // Here's the hand-over-hand locking  
        try {  
            // Lock the next node  
            next.lock.lock( );  
        } finally {  
            // unlock the current node
```

```
        node.lock.unlock( );
    }

    // Traverse
    node = next;
}

// We're at the final node, so append and then unlock
try {
    node.rest = new LinkedList<E>(value);

    // Let any waiting threads know that this node's link has changed
    node.linkChanged.signalAll( );
} finally {
    node.lock.unlock( );
}
}

public void printUntilInterrupted(String prefix) {
    // Start the pointer at this node
    LinkedList<E> node = this;
    node.lock.lock( );

    while (true) {
        LinkedList<E> next;

        try {
            System.out.println(prefix + ": " + node.value);
```

```
        // Wait for the next node if not available
        while (node.rest == null) {
            node.linkChanged.await( );
        }

        // Get the next node
        next = node.rest;

        // Lock it - more hand-to-hand locking
        next.lock.lock( );
    } catch (InterruptedException e) {
        // reset the interrupt status
        Thread.currentThread( ).interrupt( );
        return;
    } finally {
        node.lock.unlock( );
    }

    // Traverse
    node = next;
}
}
}
```

NOTE

If you can use synchronized blocks or methods instead of an explicit Lock object, you don't have to worry about unlocking; Java takes care of it for you.

Take special notice that you never see a call to `lock()` without an immediate `try/finally` block in which `unlock()` is called. This is something you need to lock (no pun intended) into your own head—otherwise you'll eventually make a mistake somewhere, and leave an object infinitely locked.

The rest of the code turns out to be pretty straightforward. Walk through it slowly, and I trust you'll have a good overview of both the `Lock` and `Condition` interface.

10.12.2 What about...

...other types of locks? Tiger provides `ReentrantLock` (used in this code), which most closely approximates a `synchronized` block, albeit with the extra features of a `Lock`. Tiger also defines a `ReadWriteLock`, which maintains a separate lock for reading than for writing. Multiple threads may hold the `read` lock, as reading is typically a safe concurrent operation, but only one thread may hold the `write` lock. Implementations of this class (such as `ReentrantReadWriteLock`) are best used for large sets of data, where reading happens often and writing occurs for small sections of data.

Colophon

Our look is the result of reader comments, our own experimentation, and feedback from distribution channels. Distinctive covers complement our distinctive approach to technical topics, breathing personality and life into potentially dry subjects.

The *Developer's Notebook* series is modeled on the tradition of laboratory notebooks. Laboratory notebooks are an invaluable tool for researchers and their successors.

The purpose of a laboratory notebook is to facilitate the recording of data and conclusions as the work is being conducted, creating a faithful and immediate history. The notebook begins with a title page that includes the owner's name and the subject of research. The pages of the notebook should be numbered and prefaced with a table of contents. Entries must be clear, easy to read, and accurately dated; they should use simple, direct language to indicate the name of the experiment and the steps taken. Calculations are written out carefully and relevant thoughts and ideas recorded. Each experiment is introduced and summarized as it is added to the notebook. The goal is to produce comprehensive, clearly organized notes that can be used as a reference. Careful documentation creates a valuable record and provides a practical guide for future developers.

Reg Aubry was the production editor and copyeditor for *Java 1.5 Tiger: A Developer's Notebook*. Sada Preisch was the proofreader. Sada Preisch, Colleen Gorman, and Claire Cloutier provided quality control. Johnna and Tom Dinse wrote the index.

Edie Freedman designed the cover of this book. Emma Colby produced the cover layout with QuarkXPress 4.1 using the Officina Sans and JuniorHandwriting fonts.

Melanie Wang designed the interior layout, based on a series design by Edie Freedman and David Futato. This book was converted by Julie Hawks to FrameMaker 5.5.6 with a format conversion tool created by Erik Ray, Jason McIntosh, Neil Walls, and Mike Sierra that uses Perl and XML technologies. The text font is Adobe Boton; the heading font is ITC Officina Sans; the code font is LucasFont's TheSans Mono Condensed, and the handwriting font is a modified version of JRHand made by Tepid Monkey Fonts and modified by O'Reilly. The illustrations that appear in the book were produced by Robert Romano and Jessamyn Read using Macromedia FreeHand 9 and Adobe Photoshop 6. This colophon was written by Colleen Gorman.

The online edition of this book was created by the Safari production group (John Chodacki, Becki Maisch, and Ellie Cutler) using a set of Frame-to-XML conversion and cleanup tools written and maintained by Erik Ray, Benn Salter, John Chodacki, Ellie Cutler, and Jeff Liggett.

[PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

annotation types

- [custom](#)
- [Deprecated](#)
- [documentation](#)
- [retention](#)
- [SuppressWarnings](#)
- [target definition](#)

annotations

- [annotating](#)
- [annotation type comparison](#)
- [full](#)
- [inheritance in](#)
- [marker annotations](#)
- [meta-annotations](#)
- [reflection](#)
- [single-value](#)

arguments

- [parameterized types as](#)
- [variable arguments \(see varargs\)](#)
- [variable-length argument list](#)
- [zero-length lists](#)

[ArrayBlockingQueue](#)

arrays

- [conversion, automatic](#)
- [for/in loop](#)
- [iteration and](#)
- [java.util.Arrays class](#)
- [methods](#)
- [type-safe](#)

[Arrays.deep.ToString\(\) method](#)

[Arrays.deepEquals\(\) method](#)

[Arrays.toString\(\) method](#)

[atomic operations](#)

[atomic types](#)

[autoboxing](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[blocking queues](#)

[ArrayBlockingQueue](#)

[DelayQueue](#)

[LinkedBlockingQueue](#)

[PriorityBlockingQueue](#)

[SynchronousQueue](#)

[timeouts](#)

[BMP \(Basic Multilingual Plan\)](#)

[Boolean values, boolean values and](#)

[boxing](#)

[unboxing](#)

[conditional operators and](#)

[control statements](#)

[values, primitives](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[cached thread executor](#)

[Callable interface](#)

[char values, surrogate range](#)

classes

[collection, extending](#)

[ConcurrentHashMap](#)

[CopyOnWriteArrayList](#)

[CopyOnWriteArraySet](#)

[enum reference](#)

[enums as](#)

[Executors](#)

[for/in loop](#)

[Formatter](#)

[java.util.Arrays](#)

[java.util.Comparator](#)

[java.util.Queue](#)

[synchronizer classes](#)

[value-specific class bodies](#)

[wrapper classes](#)

[code, introspective](#)

[codepoints](#)

[BMP \(Basic Multilingual Plan\)](#)

[supplementary characters](#)

collection classes

[extending](#)

[iteration](#)

[threads and](#)

[concatenation, for/in loop](#)

[ConcurrentHashMap class](#)

[conditional operators, unboxing and](#)

constructors

[enums](#)

[Formatter class](#)

[control statements, unboxing and](#)

convenience methods, Formatter class

[format\(\)](#)

[printf\(\)](#)

conversion

[arrays, automatic](#)

[primitives to wrapper types](#)

[types, Formatter class](#)

[wrappers to primitives](#)

[CopyOnWriteArrayList class](#)

[CopyOnWriteArraySet class](#)

[CountDownLatch](#)

[covariant returns](#)

[CyclicBarrier](#)



< Day Day Up >

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

declaring

[enums inline](#)

[for/in loop](#)

[decrementing wrapper types](#)

[deep.Equals\(\) method](#)

[DelayQueue](#)

[delegation, varargs and](#)

[Deprecated annotation type 2nd](#)

[documentation, annotation types](#)

[Documented meta-annotation](#)

[DownloadStatus enum](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[ellipsis \(...\), varargs and](#)

[enhanced for](#)

[enumerated types \(see enums\)](#)

[EnumMap, creating](#)

[enums](#)

[as classes](#)

[as indices](#)

[as keys](#)

[constructors](#)

[creating 2nd](#)

[declarations inline](#)

[defining manually](#)

[DownloadStatus](#)

[extending](#)

[extensions](#)

[in arrays](#)

[integers](#)

[iterating over](#)

[interface implementation](#)

[jump tables and](#)

[methods, adding to](#)

[sets](#)

[simple enums](#)

[switch statement and](#)

[value-specific class bodies](#)

[values, importing](#)

[erasure, type safety and](#)

[exceptions, threads and](#)

[Exchanger, synchronizer classes](#)

[execution logic versus thread logic](#)

[Executors class](#)

[as service](#)

[scheduling execution](#)

[ExecutorService, executing tasks without](#)

[expressions, for/in loop](#)

[extensions](#)

[collection classes](#)

[enum](#)

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[fixed thread executor](#)

[flags, formatting and](#)

[for loop](#)

[for/in loop](#)

[array type](#)

[classes in](#)

[declaration](#)

[expressions](#)

[list item removal](#)

[list position](#)

[typecasts, unnecessary](#)

[variable value](#)

[for/in statement](#)

[iterators and](#)

[foreach](#)

[format\(\) convenience method, Formatter class](#)

[formatted output, writing](#)

[Formatter class](#)

[constructors](#)

[convenience methods](#)

[format\(\)](#)

[printf\(\)](#)

[conversion types](#)

[creating](#)

[formatted output](#)

[formatting](#)

[flags and](#)

[precision](#)

[full annotations](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[generic types](#)

[writing](#)

[generics](#)

[placeholders](#)

[getList\(\) method](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[hand-over-hand locking](#)

[hash codes](#)

[hashCode\(\) method](#)

[PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[import method](#)

[import static method](#)

importing

[enumerated type values](#)

[members, multiple with same name](#)

[static members](#)

[shadowing imports](#)

[wildcards](#)

[incrementing wrapper types](#)

[inheritance, annotations](#)

[Inherited meta-annotation](#)

[inline declarations, enums](#)

[integers, enums](#)

[interfaces, enums and implementation](#)

[introspective code](#)

iteration

[arrays and](#)

[collections](#)

[for/in statement](#)

[iterating over enums](#)

[manual iterations](#)

[parameterized types](#)

[variable-argument lists](#)

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[java.util.Arrays class](#)

[java.util.Map](#)

[jump tables, enums and](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[LinkedBlockingQueue](#)

[lint warnings](#)

[list position, for/in loop](#)

lists

[item removal, for/in loop](#)

[String concatenation](#)

[type-safe](#)

locking

[hand-over-hand](#)

[synchronization and](#)

loops

[for](#)

[for/in](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[marker annotations](#)

[member types](#)

members

[importing, multiple with same name](#)

[static, importing](#)

[meta-annotations](#)

[metadata](#)

[method resolution](#)

methods

[adding to enums](#)

[arrays](#)

[Arrays.deepToString\(\)](#)

[Arrays.toString\(\)](#)

[deep.Equals\(\)](#)

[getList\(\)](#)

[hashCode\(\)](#)

[import](#)

[import static](#)

[next\(\)](#)

[offer\(\)](#)

[overloading](#)

[Override annotation](#)

overriding

[in superclasses](#)

[poll\(\)](#)

[printf\(\)](#)

[printList\(\)](#)

[Unicode 4.0](#)

[values\(\)](#)

[← PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[natural ordering](#)
[next\(\) method](#)

[← PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

 PREV

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[objects, callable](#)

[offer\(\) method 2nd](#)

[operators, conditional, unboxing and](#)

[ordering queues, comparators](#)

[ordering, natural ordering](#)

[output, formatted](#)

[overloading methods](#)

[Override annotation type](#)

[methods and](#)

[overriding methods in superclasses](#)

[overriding return types](#)

 PREV

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[parameterized types](#)

[as arguments](#)

[as type parameters](#)

[cast as raw](#)

[iteration](#)

[restricting parameters](#)

[returning](#)

[static variables and](#)

[placeholders, generics](#)

[poll\(\) method](#)

[precision, formatting and](#)

[primitive types](#)

[primitives](#)

[converting from wrapper types](#)

[converting to wrapper types](#)

[values, boxed](#)

[printf\(\) convenience method, Formatter class](#)

[printf\(\) method](#)

[printList\(\) method](#)

[PriorityBlockingQueue](#)

[PriorityQueue](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[Queue interface, offer\(.\) method](#)

[queues](#)

[blocking queues](#)

[comparators and](#)

[natural ordering](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[range\(\) operator](#)

[reflection](#)

[\[annotations\]\(#\)](#)

[\[type safety and\]\(#\)](#)

[Retention meta-annotation](#)

[retention, annotation types](#)

[return types, overriding](#)

[returns](#)

[\[covariant returns\]\(#\)](#)

[\[parameterized types\]\(#\)](#)

[PREV](#)

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[scheduled thread executor](#)

[scheduling execution](#)

[semaphores](#)

[services, Executor class as](#)

[sets of enums](#)

[shadowing imports, static methods](#)

[simple enums](#)

[single thread executor](#)

[single-value annotations](#)

[static imports](#)

[shadowing](#)

[wildcards in](#)

[static members, importing](#)

[static variables, parameterization and](#)

[StringBuffer, replacing with StringBuilder code](#)

[StringBuilder](#)

[superclasses, overriding methods](#)

[supplementary characters, codepoints](#)

[SuppressWarnings annotation](#)

[SuppressWarnings annotation type 2nd](#)

[surrogate pairs](#)

[switch statement, enums](#)

[synchronization](#)

[advanced synchronization options](#)

[locking and](#)

[synchronizer classes](#)

[CountDownLatch](#)

[CyclicBarrier](#)

[Exchanger](#)

[semaphores](#)

[Synchronous Queue](#)

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[Target meta-annotation](#)

[ternary operator](#)

[threads](#)

[collections and](#)

[exceptions, catching](#)

[thread logic versus execution logic](#)

[Tiger's new features](#)

[timeouts, blocking queues](#)

[toString\(\) method](#)

[type safety](#)

[arrays](#)

[erasure and](#)

[java.util.Map and](#)

[lists](#)

[reflection](#)

[type-safety](#)

[types](#)

[atomic](#)

[generic](#)

[writing](#)

[parameterized](#)

[iteration](#)

[restricting parameters](#)

[primitive](#)

[unnecessary casting](#)

[wildcards](#)

[typing 2nd](#)

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[unboxing](#)

[conditional operators and](#)

[control statements](#)

[Unicode 4.0](#)

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[value-specific class bodies](#)

values

[enums, importing](#)

[primitives, boxed](#)

[variables, for/in loop](#)

[values\(\) method, iterating over enums](#)

varargs

[delegation and](#)

[ellipsis \(...\)](#)

[variable arguments \(see varargs\)](#)

variable-argument lists

[iterating over](#)

[variable-length argument list](#)

variables

[static variables, parameterization and](#)

[value, for/in loop](#)

[VM \(Virtual Machine\) primitive, converting to wrapper types](#)

 PREV

< Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[warnings, SuppressWarnings annotation](#)

[wildcards](#)

[static imports](#)

[types](#)

[wrapper classes](#)

[wrapper types](#)

[converting from primitives](#)

[converting to primitives](#)

[incrementing/decrementing](#)

 PREV

< Day Day Up >

[PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[XDoclet, annotations and](#)

[PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[← PREV](#) < Day Day Up >

[\[A\]](#) [\[B\]](#) [\[C\]](#) [\[D\]](#) [\[E\]](#) [\[F\]](#) [\[G\]](#) [\[H\]](#) [\[I\]](#) [\[J\]](#) [\[L\]](#) [\[M\]](#) [\[N\]](#) [\[O\]](#) [\[P\]](#) [\[Q\]](#) [\[R\]](#) [\[S\]](#) [\[T\]](#) [\[U\]](#) [\[V\]](#) [\[W\]](#) [\[X\]](#) [\[Z\]](#)

[zero-length argument lists](#)

[← PREV](#) < Day Day Up >